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PROCEEDING
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: We are back with
Docket DE 11-216. When we were last together, we had gotten through the evidence of PSNH and OCA's witnesses. We had had Mr. Mullen go through his direct, if I'm correct?

MR. MULLEN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, still have cross-examination of Mr. Mullen, and Mr. Fromuth's direct and cross-examination, I believe.

> So, why don't we begin with appearances, and, then, if there's any other procedural matters to get oriented, it's always a little hard for me to remember where we left off. So, anything we have to go back over, if there's any other matters, before we get into the evidence, we'll do so. But let's first take appearances.

MR. FOSSUM: Good afternoon. Matthew Fossum, on behalf of Public Service Company of New Hampshire.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good afternoon.
MR. RODIER: Good afternoon. Jim
Rodier, for PNE. Mr. Fromuth is with me.
MS. MIRANDA: Good afternoon,
Commissioners. Joey Lee Miranda, from Robinson \& Cole,
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along with my colleague, Jon Schaefer, on behalf of the
Retail Energy Supply Association.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good afternoon. MS. CHAMBERLIN: Good afternoon, Commissioners. Susan Chamberlin, Consumer Advocate, for the residential ratepayers.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good afternoon.
MS. AMIDON: Good afternoon. Suzanne
Amidon, for Commission Staff.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Good afternoon, everyone. So, are we right that we pick up with Mr. Mullen's cross-examination, unless is there anything we should take up first?

MS. AMIDON: If I may, madam Chairman.
What I would like to do is ask Mr. Mullen to summarize his direct testimony, just to give a context for the cross-examination that will follow. And, as you know, there is a Settlement Agreement that's been -- a Partial Settlement Agreement that's been filed in this docket, Exhibit 9. The transcript was available to the parties, and I'm assuming they took advantage of that in order to prepare for today.

I think that is all that I have at this point.
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
workings of the ADE rate, "ADE" standing for "Alternative Default Energy Service". And, in my testimony, when I was on the stand, I highlighted what's essentially the one difference between my position on the term of service and the position of PSNH and OCA. PSNH and the OCA support a 24 -month term of service. And, in my prefiled testimony, I recommended a 12-month term of service.

And, to summarize the main reasons for
that: One, I think just on simplicity terms, it's a better match for the eligibility criteria. If we recall, in order to be able -- to be eligible for service under Rate ADE, a customer must be served by a competitive supplier for at least 12 consecutive months. So, there, by having a term of service for Rate ADE of 12 months, I think it's just a better match. Also, in Mr. Hall's rebuttal testimony, he had mentioned that, with relation to the term in the Settlement Agreement that this -- actually, this came -- the Settlement Agreement came later, the term that I had recommended in my original testimony, about this being looked at on a pilot basis, he said, "yes, you know, it's probably a good idea, and I think we should look at this on an annual basis." So, I think that
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[WITNESS: Mullen]
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is that acceptable to everyone to, although I know Mr. Mullen summarized testimony before, to kind of get reoriented here to start off the afternoon?

MR. FOSSUM: Yes.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Then, unless there's anything else, are we good to go?
(No verbal response)
(Whereupon Steven E. Mullen was recalled
to the stand, having been previously
sworn.)
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Mullen, you were
sworn before. You remain under oath.
WITNESS MULLEN: Yes.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Please proceed.

STEVEN E. MULLEN, Previously sworn DIRECT EXAMINATION (resumed)
BY MS. AMIDON:
Q. And, Mr. Mullen, please, if you will, summarize the testimony that you filed in this docket.
A. Okay. Bringing us back to a few weeks ago, we heard significant testimony from PSNH and from the OCA witness about the Partial Settlement Agreement and the \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}

## [WITNESS: Mullen]

12-month term of service that I recommended is also a better match for the annual assessment of the rate.

Also, I think it helps mitigate -- the shorter term of service helps mitigate any potential concerns about this being anti-competitive, because the shorter term of service would limit the amount of time any eligible customer could be served under this rate.

As another reason, it gives a better idea, you can see more action, especially with this being a 36-month pilot, you can see more in terms of how customers react to the term of service ending and fulfilling their full term of service. If you were to go with a 24-month term of service, under a 36-month pilot, there could be a number of customers still being served by the rate at the end of the 36 -month pilot period, that you wouldn't be able to see their full reactions during that entire term of service.

Let's see. Also, if for some reason, at
the -- if the Commission were to terminate this rate, and say "well, you know, it's not really -- this isn't really working right", my position would be that the customers should serve their remaining term of service. So, if a customer had just recently started being served under Rate ADE, and it was a 24-month term of
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
[WITNESS: Mullen
service, they could have a significant period of time
just look at it --
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Please.
MR. RODIER: -- just for five seconds?
(Atty. Amidon handing document to Atty.
Rodier.)
MR. RODIER: I notice this is nothing
recent then. So, on that basis, we have no objection.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Then,
we'll mark it for identification as Exhibit?
MS. DENO: Fifteen.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Fifteen. Thank you.
(The document, as described, was
herewith marked as Exhibit 15 for identification.)
MS. AMIDON: Thank you.
WITNESS MULLEN: And, if I could just
add something in relation to this?
MS. AMIDON: Yes, please.
WITNESS MULLEN: PSNH provided this
response with respect to the 24 -month term of service that
they support. So, there are a few spots on here where it
says " 24 months", that the only difference in how I would
look at it would be the "12 months", it would substitute
"12 months" for those. But the decisions, the "yes" or \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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front of you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. And, it consists of a one-page -- I think, maybe a two-sentence answer and a diagram which depicts various situations, depending on when a customer chooses to -the ADE, and what various things will happen to reset the 24-month clock, for example, and is that correct? How would you characterize this?
A. It's a flow chart, that, essentially, it's a decision tree. And, it shows whether a customer would be served under Rate ADE or Rate DE, other things like whether the clock has started again or is it continuing? I just think that it -- it's a good pictorial view of what lots of words of the text might say.

MS. AMIDON: May I offer this as an
exhibit? I think we're up to -- mark it for identification as "Exhibit 15 ", is that correct? I think it might be helpful to the Commission, and the other parties have copies of it. But it offers a flow chart that's easier to follow, because it's in color and shows the various decision points.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is there any objection to marking it as an exhibit? Mr. Rodier.

MR. RODIER: Madam Chairman, could I
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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"noes" and all that won't change.

## BY MS. AMIDON:

Q. Okay. For example, if you go to the first triangle that's green, it says "is 24-month clock running?" Under Staff's position, it would be "is 12-month clock running?"
A. Correct.

MS. AMIDON: Thank you. The witness is available for cross-examination.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Why don't we continue with other signatories to the Settlement Agreement. So, PSNH.

MR. FOSSUM: Thank you.
CROSS-EXAMINATION
BY MR. FOSSUM:
Q. Mr. Mullen, I just wanted to ask, since it's been a while since the first part of this hearing, and I know that you've given a quick summary of your direct, I just wanted to ask a few questions that would, I think, help clarify where we are.

Regarding eligibility for Rate ADE, just
very briefly, how does a customer become eligible to be served under Rate ADE?
A. The customer must have been receiving service from a
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
competitive supplier for a period of at least 12 consecutive months.
Q. And, are there circumstances under which Rate ADE can close to new customers?
A. Yes.
Q. And, what circumstances would those be?
A. And, if I could refer to, give the right direction here, if you look at the Settlement Agreement, the description starts on Page 5, in Section 2.2.4. But the technical workings of that are described in Section 2.3.3.1, on Page 7. To summarize that, PSNH will be looking at the projected marginal costs on a monthly basis. And, if, in one of those monthly reviews, it's comparison of the marginal costs at that time for the remaining months of the period are more than 75 percent -- have increased by at least 75 percent of the amount of the adder, as compared to the projections of marginal costs for those same periods at the initial setting of the rate. If that occurs, then the rate could be temporarily closed to new customers.
Q. You said that's what happens when the rate increases. Does the same thing happen if the forward prices would decrease?
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to recover on its fixed costs?
A. Actually, yes, it does. Yes.
Q. Thank you.
A. Sorry.
Q. Is it possible that Rate ADE can be above the rate for Rate DE?
A. That's possible.
Q. So, the price available to a customer under Rate ADE will depend or could depend upon the market prices at the time the customer returns to PSNH for Default Service?
A. Yes.
Q. Have you reviewed the transcript from the first day of this hearing?
A. Briefly.
Q. Either through that review or your own recollection, do you recall questions from Commissioner Harrington about whether a customer could leave for a competitive supply and keep Rate ADE as an insurance policy?
A. Do you have a reference?
Q. Yes. The transcript, at Page 170.
A. Okay. I'm there.
Q. Now, this wasn't a question to you. I was just wondering whether you recall the question being asked.
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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A. Well, the rate wouldn't close, but PSNH will file a request for a authorization to decrease the rate.
Q. And, during any time that the availability of Rate ADE is closed, what happens to customers returning for Default Service?
A. They would be assigned to Rate DE.
Q. Now, as for -- so, you -- I'm sorry, you spoke about the price, how the price of Rate ADE is set. Currently, as you understand it, is the proposed price for Rate ADE below Rate DE?
A. Yes.
Q. Even at a price that's below Rate AD -- that is below Rate DE, does Rate ADE provide an opportunity for PSNH to recover on its fixed costs?
A. No.
Q. Could you explain that. Does it provide any opportunity for PSNH to recover on its fixed costs?
A. It's just the adder -- the rate itself is the marginal cost of providing power. That's the bulk of the rate. And, so, that's not a fixed cost to PSNH, that's essentially the market price of power. The adder is calculated on the non-operating costs of the Scrubber. So, --
Q. So, does the adder then provide an opportunity for PSNH
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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Nevertheless, for purposes of refreshing your recollection, the question asked to Mr. Estomin that -Dr. Estomin, excuse me, on Page 170, at Lines 14 through 18, regarding an "insurance policy". Do you have that in front of you?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Does that refresh your recollection?
A. Yes.
Q. So, in light of -- so, in light of the fact that you have said that Rate ADE could be above Rate DE, do you think it's likely that a customer would leave for a competitive supply and believe that, for 12 consecutive months, and believe that Rate ADE would remain as an insurance policy?
A. Well, I think -- I think, under various circumstances, you know, there's lots of different -- there's lots of different outcomes. And, so, I think, to view it as an insurance policy kind of ignores the working -- the movements of the market prices that can happen and the changes in the rates that could happen.
Q. Thank you. I want to turn now to the issue that you had said that there's some disagreement among the signatories about on the term of service. And, you do agree that there should be a term of service, is that
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correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, we only -- the only disagreement is the length of that term?
A. Correct.
Q. In the first part of this hearing, do you recall stating that you understood the arguments of PSNH and the OCA about the benefits of a 24-month term of service?
A. Yes.
Q. Would you agree that PSNH -- that PSNH's argument for a 24-month term of service was that it would avoid an extended term of customers being on a discounted rate, and to avoid having customers pay a higher rate for an extended period?
A. Yes, I recall that.
Q. And, do you recall Dr. Estomin's testimony that he believed the 24-month term would be more attractive to returning customers?
A. Yes, I recall.
Q. Okay. And, despite those arguments, you still believe 12 months is the preferred term?
A. That's correct.
Q. Now, going to the issues that you had raised in your \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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summary of your direct, the first issue that you had raised for in favor of a 12-month term of service was that it matched, essentially, the eligibility term, is that correct?
A. I said it was "a better match", yes.
Q. A better match. What is the purpose of the 12-month eligibility requirement that is the purpose of requiring a customer to be on competitive supply for 12 consecutive months before qualifying for Rate ADE?
A. The purpose of that is to, if I'm following your correction -- your question correctly, is to ensure that there's not a lot of going back and forth.
Q. In other words, to use a term that's come up previously in this case, would it help avoid "gaming"?
A. Well, that's one thing that can happen with people going back and forth. I might say that there might be people that move back and forth, and it's not necessarily gaming.
Q. You had also mentioned a better match with the annual review. What would the purpose be for the annual review?
A. To see how the rate is working and to see if there's any adjustments that need to be made.
Q. So, if I follow you correctly, the purpose of the
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eligibility requirement is, and I'Il use my word, and if you don't agree with it, please say so, is to help avoid gaming, and the annual review is just to provide an opportunity to make sure that the Rate ADE is providing the benefits that it's intended to provide. Is that an accurate summary?
A. Sure.
Q. Now, are either of those purposes the same as the purpose of the term of service?
A. Are they the same as the purpose for the term of service?
Q. Well, I guess, in other words, what is -- I'll start this way. What is the purpose of the term of service?
A. The term of service is provided so that there -- again, it's to avoid -- well, I was going to say -- I was going to say "it's to avoid people moving back and forth", however, people aren't required to stay on Rate ADE. However, what it does is, it avoids a lot of back-and-forth with Rate DE.
Q. The term of service avoids a back-and-forth with Rate DE, is that --
A. Well, sure. Because, if there's a term of service under Rate ADE, returning customers aren't served by Rate DE, assuming Rate ADE is open.
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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Q. Would you agree with Mr. Hall's previous testimony that the -- that the purpose of the term of service is to provide benefits -- or, is to ensure that customers do not receive an extended period of discount rates or an extended period of excessively high rates?
A. Well, I think that's one of the things. There's also the -- the whole point of ADE is to provide, for those returning customers, what it does is it, especially through the adder, provides some benefit to other customers on Rate DE.
Q. So, and I guess what I'm trying to get at is that the term of service and the eligibility criteria and the annual review all serve essentially somewhat different purposes. Would you agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. So, there's no particular underlying purpose that requires those terms to match, it just sounds better?
A. Well, that's your characterization. But I think that, yes, they all have their purpose.
Q. Now, you also mentioned in your direct that it would help "mitigate concerns about being anti-competitive". Could you explain that?
A. Well, yes. And, I think there's, you know, there's been some concern from, I think, competitive suppliers
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that this rate will keep people out of the competitive market for an extended period of time. Now, whether those concerns are valid or not, I think a shorter term of service helps alleviate those concerns.
Q. Now, and you're not an attorney, Mr. Mullen?
A. That's correct.
Q. But are you familiar with the terms of the restructuring statute, RSA 374-F?
A. Generally.
Q. Would you agree that the primary purpose of the restructuring law is to reduce costs for all consumers of electricity?
A. I think that's one of many that are stated in the statute.
Q. Well, could the implementation of Rate ADE have the effect of reducing the costs for consumers of electricity?
A. It could.
Q. So, if it does have that effect, and would that be a basis to limit it to $\mathbf{1 2}$ months?
A. I'm not sure I'm following your question.
Q. Well, if it has the effect of lowering costs for consumers of electricity, wouldn't that be a basis to extend it to $\mathbf{2 4}$ months, to ensure that those benefits \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
A. Yes, that's correct.
Q. Now, why would the -- what do you believe would be the most likely reason that the rate would be terminated prior to the end of the pilot period?
A. Well, you know, I wasn't thinking of anything in particular. I was just trying to cover potential outcomes that could happen. I mean, there's a variety of things that could happen, you know. Now, whether it requires a termination or whether it requires some adjustments to the rate, you know, I haven't really thought through every scenario that could happen, but I was trying to cover different potential outcomes.
Q. Is it possible that Rate ADE could be terminated because few or no customers take it?
A. That could happen.
Q. So, in that case, would there be a significant impact for having to continue few or no customers till the end of the term of service?
A. In that particular circumstance, no.
Q. And, Mr. Mullen, also in the first day of this hearing, you had mentioned, but I didn't hear you mention today, the possibility that "a longer term of service could limit customer options". Do you recall saying that?
A. Yes. That's right.
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are provided?
A. Well, that assumes (a) that people stay on the rate for the entire period, which they're not required to. Sorry, I lost my train of thought.
Q. If I might ask about that. That may be for an individual customer, and you said this is a concern about competitive suppliers. So, I was wondering if this could have the effect of lowering costs in the marketplace for all consumers of electricity, as opposed to, say, an individual consumer?
A. Well, I think that, where the rate is calculated based on marginal costs, I would think that those are basically reflective of the market price. So, whether you have a longer term of service or a shorter term of service, I think that, you know, those same market prices should be available. Again, I support the shorter term of service for a variety of reasons, not just for one in particular.
Q. Yes. And, one of the other reasons that you had raised is that, if a customer is on Rate ADE at the time that the pilot period ends or that the rate is terminated, the customer should remain on, in your opinion, the customer should remain on Rate ADE until the end of their term of service?
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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Q. Currently, customers have the option of having competitive service for PSNH's Default Service, is that essentially the case?
A. Yes.
Q. So, once Rate -- assuming Rate ADE is implemented, would that choice change?
A. The choice of returning to Default Service?
Q. Would customers still have the choice of obtaining competitive supplier service or PSNH's Default Service?
A. Depending on how long they were being served by a competitive supplier, they would -- if they wanted to return, they would still have the competitive supply option, and, if they wanted to return to PSNH, they would either be served under Rate DE or Rate ADE.
Q. Either of which is a default service option, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. So, in that customers would have the choice to remain on competitive supplier service or return to PSNH's Default Service, have those choices changed? Would those choices change with the implementation of Rate ADE?
A. With the implementation of Rate ADE, the only thing that changes is the amount of time somebody would be
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
served under -- a returning customer would be served WITNESS MULLEN: Yes, I did. Thank you. MR. RODIER: Great.

BY MR. RODIER:
Q. Do you have the transcript in front of you?
A. Ido.
Q. Would you turn to Page 52.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: And, if both the witness and any questioner keep in mind, we don't have the transcript. So, it's --

MR. RODIER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right, some of
"we" have it, some of "we" don't have it. There's nothing wrong with using it, just don't assume that we're reading along with you.

## MR. RODIER: All right.

## BY MR. RODIER:

Q. Mr. Mullen, let me know when you get to 52 .
A. I'm there.
Q. Okay. I'm going to just read an excerpt from Lines 5 through 10. And, the reason I'm going to road it is, didn't PSNH ask you a few questions related to "gaming" a moment ago?
A. Yes, they did.
Q. Okay. Well, since you have it in front of you, would \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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original concerns of the Commission?
A. Yes.
Q. With this second filing, this is PSNH's attempt to meet those concerns, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, the terms of the Settlement Agreement -- would you agree with me that the terms of the Settlement

Agreement meet those concerns of the Commission?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. And, the question before the Commission is which proposal the term of service is a better implementation, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. So, either one meets the original concerns. And, it's your testimony that 12 months is a better term of service; it's PSNH and OCA's testimony that 24 months is a better term?
A. Yes. That's correct.

MS. CHAMBERLIN: That's all I have.

## Thank you.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Then let's turn to non-settling participants. Mr. Rodier.

MR. RODIER: Thank you. Mr. Mullen, by the way, did you have a good holiday?
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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you read into the record 5 through 9, starting with the sentence that begins with "a customer"?
A. And, I believe this is a question from you to the PSNH panel at the time?
Q. It's Mr. Hall's answer.
A. Yes.
Q. All right. It's in response to my question.
A. Okay. I believe this is your question to Mr. Hall.
Q. No. If you look on the previous page, which is 51, isn't it -- you're right, it is my question. Then, given that, would you read that same section that I asked you to, and then read Mr. Hall's answer, which is on Page 10 [Line 10?]. I'm sorry.
A. Okay. The section of the question that starts on Line 5 reads as follows: "A customer has to be with a competitive supplier for $\mathbf{1 2}$ months, I'm very sorry. After that, they can go back to ADE on January 1st, leave again on April 1st, come back again July 1st, and leave again on October 1st?" And, Mr. Hall's answer was "They could."
Q. And, do you agree with that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, to the extent the impression might have been left that there's no potential for gaming, this might
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say otherwise, is that correct?
A. What this says is people can -- people aren't required to stay on Rate ADE, and they can move back to competitive supply and come back to Rate ADE.
Q. Right. All right. So, like, in the spring, when the supplier's costs are down, they may -- they could jump to a competitive supplier, and then go back in the summer, when the competitive prices are higher. Is that correct?
A. They could do that.
Q. Okay.
A. A lot is going to depend on the market prices at the time, compared to the existing cost of Rate ADE.
Q. Absolutely. You're absolutely correct. Now, the Settlement -- a couple questions on the Settlement Agreement. First one would be -- well, I got the wrong copy of the Settlement Agreement in front of me. My notes are -- well, let me just wing it then off the top of my head. There is a provision in here that says that, if the Commission -- the parties are still looking for an agreement by January 1st, correct?
A. Looking to have the rate implemented by January 1st.
Q. Okay. Now, if the Commission comes out with an order and they change something, there is a provision in here \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
"PSNH, the Staff, and OCA", but it doesn't mean necessarily that we exclude anybody?
A. Well, as I see it, Rate ADE is a tariffed rate. PSNH, the Staff, and OCA can't make changes to tariffed rates. Those get approved by the Commission.
Q. Yes. I'm just working on the part that says "PSNH, the Staff, and OCA shall work cooperatively to develop any recommended changes to the design of Rate ADE."
A. And, again, those are recommended changes.
Q. Right. And, would there be -- I think you're saying there would be an opportunity for input into that process for people like PNE?
A. Yes, I believe there would.
Q. Okay. That's all I wanted. Now, the other one I want to go to is the annual -- well, first of all, why is this a pilot? And, I'm looking for a very short answer.
A. So it can be evaluated as to how it's working, how many people are taking advantage of it. Just to basically get a good view of, you know, whether it -- whether it should be modified in any way, and how customers react.
Q. And, so, basically, keep it on a short leash, in case something unanticipated should happen?
A. Sure. There's lots of different things that could
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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that says the Settling Parties get together, figure out what to do about it, is that right? Now, I'm actually -- I found it. Top of Page 8.
A. I'm there.
Q. "Should the Commission approve an implementation date other than January 1, 2013, PSNH, the Staff, and the OCA shall discuss whether to amend the date by which the annual report shall be filed and shall report the results of such discussions to the Commission." Now, "PSNH, the Staff, and OCA shall work cooperatively to develop any recommended changes to the design of ADE to the extent that such changes are necessary." Okay. I'll skip the end of the sentence for sake of brevity. Because my question really goes to, if the Commission orders something here to change, why is it just the PSNH, the Staff, and the OCA get to consult with each other and work cooperative? Isn't it possible that my client sees the decision, and they say "well, this thing is on a pretty short leash. You know, we'd like to get our word in edgewise here as to how to make the recommended changes." Is that precluded?
A. I don't think it's precluded, no.
Q. Okay. And, what makes you think that? It just says -it doesn't say that explicitly, it just includes the
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happen, marketwise or otherwise. So, it's basically an evaluation period. It's a test period.
Q. Well, and do you recall that I suggested, through some questions I believe to Mr. Hall, that, when you tell people "you can get a lower rate from Public Service, but first you've got to go to a competitive supplier for a year", do you recall me suggesting that could open the floodgates?
A. It could. There's lots of things that could happen.
Q. Yes. Okay. So, there could be some unanticipated consequences? That's probably the third time you've been asked that question, and $I$ apologize.
(Court reporter interruption.)

## BY MR. RODIER:

Q. I said "there could be unanticipated consequences." Now, at the end of the pilot period, the Commission has an opportunity to review this, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Going back to Section 2.2, "which pilot shall be evaluated by the Commission". Now, I wonder what that means, if the Commission -- it doesn't say "the Staff of the Commission", it means "the Commission". So, are we talking about there's going to be a hearing, perhaps?
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
A. If you turn to Page 8, in Section 2.4.3, it says there, "At least 3 months prior to the end of the pilot period, PSNH shall file a request to extend, modify or terminate Rate ADE and shall include information about the historical performance of Rate ADE and support for the requested relief." So, I think that in and of itself would see a hearing.
Q. Okay. So, there would be notice, opportunity to be heard, etcetera?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. That's good. So, I want to talk to you briefly about the adder. The adder is the non-operating costs of the Scrubber?
A. That's correct.
Q. And, why are the costs of the Scrubber in there, and let me just add a multi two-part question, isn't it because RSA 125-0:18 says that the costs of the Scrubber "shall be recovered through default service". Does that sound right?
A. That's what 125-0:18 says, yes.
Q. So, in essence, it says "you must recover the cost of the Scrubber through default service"?
A. Correct. Meaning not through any other rate component.
Q. Yes. Not on the wires or anything like that?
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this is based on?"
Q. Okay. That's helpful. Well, I thought we agreed that the costs of the Scrubber must be recovered through Default Service?
A. Yes. And, they are.
Q. Does that mean just part of the costs or would that mean total costs? All of the costs?
A. It would be any costs that the Commission finds allowable into the Default Service rates would be included in the Default Service rates.
Q. Well, isn't the non-operating -- isn't the operating costs of the Scrubber going to be included in the Default Service rates or is Public Service not going to recover those?
A. PSNH will recover whatever the Commission determines are recoverable. And, currently, there's a temporary adder, temporary rate component that's included in Default Service to include what up until this time that the Commission has approved in rates for the Scrubber.
Q. That's for Rate DE, isn't that correct?
A. Yes. And, that's a Default Service rate.
Q. Right. In ADD -- ADE, though, it's not all of the costs of the Scrubber, it's just the non-operating costs?
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A. Correct.
Q. Okay. Why -- tell me why it's just non-operating costs? Aren't the operating costs costs of the Scrubber?
A. Well, and I think you had a discussion with Mr. Hall on this at length during the hearing. And, as he said, first, those, the non-operating costs are readily identifiable, as compared to others that include allocated costs. But, also, the Commission, when PSNH first proposed a Rate ADE, one of the concerns the Commission had was that PSNH proposed a flat penny adder, and said "there was no basis for that." So, what the -- using the non-operating costs of the Scrubber is, is a way that it can be calculated. And, it's based on, you know, actual numbers. It's based on -- you know, so, there is a basis for it. You know, there's nothing that says that the adder has, you know, that there's nothing -- the Commission didn't say "well, it must be part of the Scrubber costs" or anything like that. So, what it does is it gives you a way to, as things change over time, say "here's what the adder is", rather than just saying "well, it's a penny." This was, again, to respond to one of the Commission's concern about, "well, how do we know what \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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A. That's correct.
Q. So, we have a question here as to whether or not the operating costs must be included as well in ADE? Would you agree with that?
A. I think that's your question, yes.
Q. Okay. And, you don't think so, and tell me one more time very briefly why?
A. I don't think they need to be, because, again, as you pointed out, the statute in 125-O says that the costs of the Scrubber get recovered through default service rates.
Q. Yes.
A. Currently, they are being recovered through default service rates. So, I don't see any problem with that.
Q. Okay. Now, you're saying ADE isn't a default service rate?
A. It is.
Q. Okay. And, is there some inconsistency here? You're saying that it must be recovered through default service rates, and then you just agreed that ADE is a default service rate?
A. Yes. But, again, the operating costs are included in Rate DE, which is Default Service. It's not that there's any costs that aren't recovered between either
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
one of those rates.
Q. Okay. So, you're saying that the -- here's what it comes down to then, 125-0:18, when it says "the costs of the Scrubber must be recovered through default service rates", what you're saying is not necessarily true for ADE?
A. Both operating and non-operating costs are currently included in Rate DE.
Q. Right.
A. What the adder in Rate ADE does is provide some additional recovery that goes back to the benefit of Default Service customers.
Q. Okay. Does 125-O:18, is that applicable to Rate ADE?
A. It's applicable to default service rates.
Q. Okay.
A. And, the Commission can approve whatever it --
Q. Yes.
A. -- deems appropriate for default service.
Q. I'm wondering if you have a response to the question that I asked, which is "does 125-0:18 apply to Rate ADE?"
A. And, I believe I just answered that. I said that 125-O applies to Default Service rates. And, the Commission can approve what it deems appropriate for Default
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Service rates, including alternative methods of providing Default Service.
Q. Okay. I think we've beat that one into the ground.

So, thank you for your responses. I'm going to move on at this point.

Okay. Well, let's talk about, since we're on this general subject, the marketing -- well, let me just read you the response to one of PSNH's data requests. "PSNH's proposed calculation of Rate ADE admittedly does not include any costs for marketing or outreach programs."

MS. AMIDON: Could you please identify the data request?

MR. RODIER: I don't have it in front of me.

BY MR. RODIER:
Q. So, what I will just do is ask Mr. Mullen, are there any costs for marketing, outreach, cost of administration, promotional materials, marketing, sales or customer service included in Rate ADE?
A. No.
Q. Is that because these costs don't exist?
A. No, they exist.
Q. Okay. Are they -- the fact of their existence, are
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they incurred because of the existence of Rate ADE?
A. Again, I think you had a lengthy discussion with Mr. Hall about these types of costs. And, he's basically saying that people that would be performing these tasks are currently employed and being paid. So, to that extent, there's not an incremental cost associated with that. And, they're --
Q. Do you agree?
A. And, they're not seeking any additional recovery of those costs.
Q. Okay. You're not saying there aren't any opportunity costs, are you?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Because, if they re-deploy people, they're not doing what they have been paid to be doing all along, now they're working on a new program, Rate ADE.
A. Well, I hesitate to make a general statement like that, because I think people shift what they're working on all the time, depending on what their job function is.
Q. Right. Would you agree with me that the people in question would otherwise be working on other PSNH projects, if they weren't re-deployed to ADE?
A. Well, I think, any time you're working on one thing, you can't be working on something else.
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Q. That's right.
A. But, again, it would depend on the individuals, it would depend on what the nature of their job is anyhow.
Q. So, I mean, if somebody is there right now, and they're working on some existing project, their salaries are being recovered through base rates, aren't they?
A. Depends on what they're doing, and, right now, it depends on where their time is charged.
Q. Well, if the time is charged, it's recovered through base rates, isn't it?
A. Well, they also have transmission rates. They also have -- there's other components to their rates.
Q. Okay.
A. So, when you say "base rates", I assume you're referring to distribution rates, and that might not be the case.
Q. With that clarification, I understand. You're correct. So, we could have a situation here where somebody is, I don't know, is in, I don't know, Customer Service, been there for five years. Now, they're working on marketing, outreach, promotion, marketing, sales and customer service for Rate ADE. And, the costs of that are being recovered through base rates?
A. Possibly. Again, depending on -- like I say, there's
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other rate components.
Q. Okay. "Other rate components", meaning "transmission costs" or something like that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Back to, very quickly, and I know we want to make sure we get out of here at 4:30, so I'm going to try not to belabor this. You heard me question previously about two PSNH customers in Manchester that live next door to each other?
A. Yes. I believe it was on Dubuque Street.
Q. Exactly. And, so, let's make it North Bay Street this time, to go to a silk stocking district.
A. I know where that is, too.
Q. I bet you do. Okay. So, we have two customers on North Bay Street. And, one is a loyal PSNH customer, the other flew the coop as soon as they could, these are residential customers, to go to a competitive supplier. Now, there is going to be some kind of marketing and outreach program, is there not, on Rate ADE?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, isn't the one who has been loyal going to wonder how he can or she can get the new lower rate?
A. And, I would assume that would be done through the \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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outreach program, education.
Q. Okay. And, so, the point would be, the prodigal son who left can come back with a much lower rate than Rate DE; the one who is loyal is out of luck?
A. That assumes circumstances as they are today. The one who was -- you say is "loyal", would not have yet met the eligibility criteria for the rate.
Q. Well, implied in the -- how I was using the word "loyal" is that they stayed with Public Service and resisted the blandishment of companies like PNE, right?
A. I understand your characterization.
Q. Okay. Do you -- is the PUC training any people to answer calls from people that are complaining that they can't get this rate?
A. Not that I am aware of, but Consumer Affairs is not my department.
Q. Okay. Could precipitate workload for the Commission, couldn't it?
A. We won't be increasing the number of people that are here. They answer questions all the time. And, there's always changes in tariffs.
Q. All right. It could precipitate workload for PSNH, couldn't it? People say "what do I got to do to get this?"
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A. It could.
Q. Do you think the Commission could get angry calls about their neighbor getting a lower rate and they can't get it?
A. Well, I think that that goes to the education, in terms of why it's available for some and not for others.
Q. Yes.
A. But, by the same token, those that would currently be eligible to receive the rate under Rate ADE, those customers already wouldn't be paying the same as a PSNH customer, because they're getting whatever the competitive suppliers have offered.
Q. Right.
A. So, there's already a difference in what they're paying.
Q. Right.
A. However much that is depends on what the suppliers are offering.
Q. Well, that brings me to my next question. The

Commission has expressed an interest in market
enhancements, has it not?
A. Could you be more specific?
Q. Well, like the POR docket?
A. The Commission has a docket open on that. I don't
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think the Commission has ruled on it one way or another.
Q. That's correct. But what precipitated that was the reference in an order of the Commission that they wanted to "consider market enhancements". If you're not aware of that, that's fine.
A. No, I'm aware of the docket.
Q. Okay.
A. And, they said they would open it and take a look at it.
Q. Right. Because they were interested in market -considering market enhancements, is that correct?
A. They're interested in exploring the idea.
Q. Okay. So, do we have a -- do we have some schizophrenia here, where, on one hand, the Commission is looking to go forward here and enhance the market for residential customers, on the other hand, it's considering, to use a phrase that came up earlier, to "reverse the trend"?
A. I don't see it that way at all.
Q. Why is that?
A. Because what I see is, under this rate, I don't see the customers of PSNH are going to be any worse off than they are. If anything, this is a different option, and
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it addresses something that was in the Commission's prior docket, DE 10-160. Where it requested that PSNH develop a tariff proposal that would look to mitigate the impacts on those customers who have not migrated, that it's caused -- that the cost impacts, based on -resulting from those that have migrated. What this proposal does is it addresses that.
Q. And, that brings me to my last question. Now, it does, but, you know, do you recall in a subsequent order that the Commission issued that they said there were many issues that have to be developed with respect to whether this program is consistent with 374-F and 369-B?
A. I'm not sure whether that was in relation to this proposal or something else. I have a vague recollection of what you're referring to.
Q. Okay.
A. But I can't place it in the right docket.
Q. Well, --

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Rodier, do you have a citation to that order?

MR. RODIER: It was the order that denied my motion to dismiss. And, as a matter of fact, it's mentioned in Mr. Fromuth's testimony. And, if I
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to me ?
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: No. I was just curious about which order you were referring to.

MR. RODIER: Okay.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: So, thank you.
MR. RODIER: Okay. You're welcome.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Does that conclude your questioning?

MR. RODIER: It does.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
Ms. Miranda.
MS. MIRANDA: Thank you. For the record, Joey Lee Miranda, from Robinson \& Cole, on behalf of the Retail Energy Supply Association. Good afternoon, Mr. Mullen.

WITNESS MULLEN: Good afternoon.
MS. MIRANDA: Just a few questions.

## BY MS. MIRANDA:

Q. In response to cross-examination from PSNH today, you indicated that the Rate ADE allows PSNH to recover for fixed costs associated with the Scrubber, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And, those costs are incurred by PSNH whether they
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could get it. Let me be more specific here. On Order Number 25,372, June 8th, --

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Excuse me. Could you give us the exhibit number please?

MR. RODIER: Excuse me?
CMSR. HARRINGTON: The exhibit number,
so we can find what you're reading from?
MR. RODIER: No, I'm just reading it,
this is Mr. Fromuth's testimony.
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Oh. Okay.
MR. RODIER: It hasn't been filed yet.
And, so, I'm just stating, in response to the question, just make believe I've got this memorized, not reading from anything in particular. Your Order Number 25,372, June 8th, 2012, the Commission stated "Many factual issues will need to be developed in order for the Commission to determine, pursuant to RSA 369-B:3, IV(b)(1)(A), RSA Chapter 374-F, and RSA 377, whether the proposed redesigned ADE is reasonable and serves the public interest."

I have no -- I have no further
questions, madam Chairman.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
MR. RODIER: Do you have any follow-up
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}

## [WITNESS: Mullen]

serve Rate ADE customers or not, is that correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. Also in response to cross-examination from PSNH, you indicated that Rate ADE could lower costs of electricity for customers taking service on that rate, is that correct?
A. Yes, it could.
Q. But it could also increase the cost of electricity for customers above what customers on DE are paying, depending on the market, is that correct?
A. Well, it could. But you'd also have to look at what they're paying to competitive suppliers. Because, if they're on ADE, they're obviously coming from a competitive supply. So, depending on the relative pricing there, it could increase or decrease from that as well.
Q. Okay. So, it's possible that there is actually -- a customer, even though ADE is currently lower than DE, a customer returning to PSNH may actually pay more by taking Rate ADE than they would have paid if they stayed with a competitive supplier?
A. That's possible. Then, l'd have to question, you know, why they were coming off competitive supply.

MS. MIRANDA: Okay. Thank you. Those
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are the only questions I have. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Then, I
think we've been around all of the parties. Questions
from the Commissioners?
CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes. I have a few.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commissioner
Harrington.

## BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:

Q. Let me see. Mr. Mullen, I guess let me start out with a basic something here. What is the purpose? What is the Rate ADE hoping to accomplish by being established?
A. The purpose is to try to mitigate the cost impacts to non-migrating customers, that is the ones who are remaining on PSNH's Default Energy Service, by those who have migrated to competitive supply. Again, this was a subject of an earlier Commission docket, DE 10-160. And, in its orders, the Commission requested that PSNH develop a tariff proposal that would address that concern.
Q. So, you're saying that, through the use of this rate, it will tend to lower costs associated with people that stay on Default Service, regular Default Service?
A. Correct. And, that's essentially through the working of the adder.
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51 certainly one consequence.
Q. And, just so I get this straight, the ADE is broken down into two things. One was the marginal cost of power, which I assume was more or less a pass-through?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, then, the adder was put onto that, and that's going to be revenue that comes out additional to costs?
A. Yes. Well, additional to marginal costs.
Q. Additional to marginal costs, okay. And, that's because that they are based on the fixed costs of the Scrubber, which aren't marginal, they're going to be there regardless, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, what we're doing is taking part of the cost of the Scrubber and assigning it to people who are on this ADE rate?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. So, that means some of the costs that would have been with the regular Default Service, they don't have those costs?
A. No. Those costs are still figured in the Default Service rate. What this does is this creates a
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Q. Okay. And, that seems to conflict with, and I'm looking at 374-F:3, F:3, II, where it says "The commission should ensure that customer confusion will be minimized and customers will be well informed about changes resulting from restructuring and increased customer choice." And, above that, it says "Customers should expect to be responsible for the consequences of their choices." Now, are there any customers out there that I'm not aware of that are forced to take Default Service, because nobody else offers it to them? Is that still the case in Public Service's territory anyway?
A. No, I don't believe that. That's correct.
Q. So, a customer should expect to be responsible for the consequences of their choice. Any customer could leave and go on Default -- off of Default Service and get a competitive supplier, or they could stay on Default Service?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Well, if the customer needs to be responsible for that, why are we trying to come up with a system to make life better for them? Isn't that one of the consequences of their actions, staying on Default Service?
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## [WITNESS: Mullen]

calculation whereby additional revenue gets recovered, and then gets applied against the Default Energy Service rate through the reconciliation process.
Q. So, the people that stay on Default Service will be benefited at the expense of the people that are paying the alternate rate? I'm trying to follow this.
A. Yes. There will be additional revenue received from the ADE customers that will go towards lowering the costs paid by Default -- regular DE customers.
Q. Okay. So, is the purpose of this then to slow down migration of Default Service customers?
A. No.
Q. Okay. Then, why are we worried about lowering their costs?
A. Well, one thing that came -- that rose in DE 10-160, and, again, that was during -- especially during a period where there were not as many options for customers, especially on the residential and small commercial side, the situation happened that, when customers, primarily large customers left, there was a smaller customer base to recover fixed costs over. So, this proposal was developed in relation to that circumstance.

It could be that nobody takes Rate ADE,
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and then there won't be any additional benefit to Default Service customers, because there wouldn't be any revenue from an adder.
Q. Okay. Can you just help me with this flow diagram a little bit? I know it came from Public Service, but I'm sure you can help address my questions on it. I'm just trying to get how this works. So, we're just starting in the upper left-hand corner with the red box, "Customer is taking supplier service." So, somebody, and since we're dealing with residential customers, somebody used to be a Default Service customer of Public Service, and then they chose to go to a competitive supplier. Is that correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. And, so, for whatever reason now, they have decided they want to come back to customer service. They don't want to deal with that competitive supplier anymore?
A. Back to Public Service, yes.
Q. Okay. So, this "24-month" is the next block, "Is 24-month clock running?" And, I guess we've been told your position would be "Is 12-month clock running?" Can you explain what that means?
A. That is really for the situation where a customer had \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
or "closed" on Rate ADE?
A. That gets to the circumstance that I described before under questioning from Mr. Fossum. And, that's if -Rate ADE is normally open. However, when PSNH looks at the monthly projections of marginal costs, if the change in those projections is more than 75 percent of the adder, the rate could be closed. So, this is referring to the situation "is it open or is it closed?"
Q. Okay. So, there would be a -- this is would be a dynamic situation, where customers, based on their individual circumstances of where they have been buying their electricity or how they have been buying it over the past time frame, is there any -- does this go -where does this go back to? Probably would start fresh from January 1st, if it was implemented then?
A. Now you've lost me to where you are.
Q. Maybe I can reword it. To get to this initial box, the green box, which says "Is 24-month clock running?" It would be -- that's based on what they did previously as a electric customer, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So, does everybody start with a fresh slate on January 1st, if this were to go into effect then, or is
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previously been served under Rate ADE, and they had gone back to the competitive market.
Q. So, this is for somebody who, I don't know, the boxes that aren't on the chart, I guess, Default Service customer of Public Service, left to go to take supplier service, came back to Public Service and took ADE, then went back to a supplier? Do I have that right?
A. Well, this -- yes. So, this box, this green box is questioning "do they fit that circumstance or not?"
Q. Okay. And, then, going down, if the answer is "no", that means "the clock isn't running." So, what does that imply then? That they aren't eligible for Alternative?
A. No. What that means is they were not previously served under Rate ADE. This is their first time coming back from a competitive supply.
Q. Okay. So, does that mean the clock's running or not running?
A. The clock is not running.
Q. Not running. So, you would go to the "no", I mean, is clock not running, you come down to "no". "12 months" -- "12 consecutive months on supplier service?" And, if the answer is "yes", then they go over to "Is Rate ADE open?" And, what exactly does that imply, "open"
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}

## [WITNESS: Mullen]

it January 1st, looking backwards two years?
A. Everybody starts with a fresh slate. This would be the first time that the tariff is actually available. So, there would be nobody that was previously served under ADE, so nobody would already have a $\mathbf{2 4}$-month clock running.
Q. So, the fact that they may or may not have been served under DE in the past, then left and came back and left and came back, has no bearing?
A. Correct.
Q. Only whether they were ADE in the past?
A. They wouldn't have been ADE.
Q. Yes. But, I mean, that's the only criteria as we go into the future?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. All right. I think I have a little better handle on how that works now. Thank you. There's a couple of things on here I'm trying to figure out what's the rationale for. And, the green box that talks about "12 consecutive months on supplier service?" Is there any basis for that "12 months"? I mean, why not 6 months or 13 months or whatever?
A. It's, you know, there's no -- there's no magic number. But it's a reasonable number of months in terms of,
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well, how long have they been out on competitive supply? You know, if they have been out for a month, do you set up a new tariff proposal for them or, you know, 12 months is, you know, basically, is looked at as a reasonable significant period of time.
Q. So, you would have a situation then where some customers were eligible for one rate, some customers weren't?
A. Correct.
Q. For instance, if someone went with a competitive supplier, and the supplier went out of business. And, at that time, the only option left to them was to come back to Public Service. If they had been with this -both people, two people been with that supplier, one for 14 months, one for 6 months, the one with 14 months would come in and get Rate ADE at a lower rate than the one that came in with the 6 months, because they would have to get the DE rate, is that correct?
A. Well, that's possible. But, considering there's other competitive supplier options out there, if one supplier was to go out of business, I would assume there would be others there that they could also look at.
Q. And, this is where I get confused. Because you said earlier that the intent of this was not to get
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customers back to Public Service. But, if that doesn't happen, what is this rate accomplishing?
A. This rate is put in place to, again, to provide benefit to other default -- DE customers through the revenue provided from the adder.
Q. So, in order to do that, you've got to attract people to take the ADE rate?
A. Well, again, but I don't see the purpose of developing the rate as being done for that purpose. I see it being done to provide benefit to other customers. Now, again, I think I said in my prefiled direct testimony that the number of customers that take it, it's going to basically depend on how the amount of the adder compares to the margin charged by suppliers. Assuming that marginal cost is at the market price will be the same for all players. So, you have to kind of see how that matches up. And, right now, I'm not sure how that's going to match up. It could be that a number of people take advantage of ADE, it could be that nobody does.
Q. But, I guess, in order to accomplish the goal of benefiting Default Service customers, somebody has to take the Alternate -- the ADE rate or that won't happen, correct?
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A. Correct.
Q. Okay. So, maybe it's not what we're trying to accomplish here with this rate, but it's certainly required for it to accomplish that. We have to get customers that are paying the ADE rate, so you can take advantage of that adder to help the DE rate?
A. Correct. In order for any benefit to flow to DE customers, customers have to be taking service under ADE.
Q. Okay. And, these are the same customers that are supposed to be responsible for the consequences of their choices. So, I get back to that. And, I'm just, again, a little confused as to what we're trying to accomplish with that. But you're saying that you have two customers then could be in the situation where they, for whatever reason, they both decide they want to do business with Public Service, as far as buying Energy Service from them. And, we're supposed to be sensitive to a regulated utility charging, you know, actual, prudent and reasonable costs. And, that would have to be the same, whether it was ADE or DE, and yet they're different. So, how do you reconcile that seemingly confusion?
A. Well, first, without the proposal for ADE, they would
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have just basically one choice, and that would be DE. Second, also in 374-F, I'm looking at 374-F:3, V(e), which also says that "Notwithstanding any decision of subparagraphs (b) and (c), as competitive markets develop, the Commission may approve alternative means of providing transition or default services which are designed to minimize customer risk, not unduly harm the development of competitive markets, and mitigate against price volatility without creating new deferred costs, if the Commission determines such means to be in the public interest." So, I think, it's certainly, you know, this is certainly something that's permitted.
Q. Okay. So, what you're saying here is, even though the rates are both held to the same standard, they can be different, and that's acceptable?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. And, this section you just read talks about or "not unduly harm the development of competitive markets". What effect do you think this will have on competitive markets, if this rate were to go into effect?
A. It's one other option out there. Again, I don't see, you know, I don't see this as something that's going to cause all the competitive marketers -- competitive
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suppliers to lose their customers. Again, because this is priced above marginal cost. So, again, you've got to think that the competitive suppliers are, you know, have their market price as their basis. And, now, whatever they do to that price, you know, for any margin or whatever else they add to it, you would think it would be somewhat comparable. What this basically does is it provides another option, which also, to the extent customers take service under it, provides benefit to other customers.
Q. Well, I guess, if you look at competitive markets, I look at them and I picture them as Public Service being a cost-based utility, it's not part of the competitive market. They develop their costs and they get recovery through the tariffs for those costs. So, to the effect that, now you've got a different non-competitive market-based option out there, at a lower price than Default Service, I'm finding a hard time saying or figuring out how this doesn't harm the development of competitive markets. It would seem to me it would be directly harmful to it, in that the goal here is to get people to leave competitive suppliers and sign up with Public Service under this new Rate ADE. Because, unless, we already established, unless that happens,
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service through Public Service. So, I don't see how it cannot be harmful to the competitive supplier market?
A. Well, that could happen.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. All right.
That's all the questions I have. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Commissioner Scott. CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you.

## BY CMSR. SCOTT:

Q. Following the same stream of questioning. So, I just want to make sure I understood you right, Mr. Mullen. Your thought was, and I don't want to put words in your mouth, so, if I do, please correct me, that the ADE rate will still be higher than the competitive supplier rate?
A. I say on that, I'm not sure.
Q. Okay. Would you agree that a rational customer would not likely change from a competitive supplier back to PSNH, if the ADE was higher than the rate they were currently paying?
A. That would be my assumption, yes.
Q. Do you think it's possible that this pricing scheme with ADE would be an inducement for people to leave PSNH Default Service?
A. Well, if so, then they have to -- you know, if creating
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there is going be no benefit to the DE rate customers, which, as you stated, is the whole purpose of this.
A. But, by the same token, competitive suppliers will all know how this rate is calculated. So, they can, you know, they will be looking at forward prices, as well as PSNH. And, they will know, they can do a pretty easy calculation of the adder, plus this is set on an annual basis, with some provision for changing it possibly at six months.

You know, so, suppliers can alter their offerings, they can, again, they will know how this is set. So, from that perspective, you know, PSNH, I don't believe, knows how competitive suppliers set their rates. So, you know, I think that -- I don't see this as something that's going to cause competitive suppliers to fold up their tent and go home. I just see it as another option that's out there.
Q. Okay. But, again, I'm still trying to get back to this then. Where we have retail suppliers out there now, the purpose of this new rate structure is to get funds to flow to the Default Service customers that are presently Default Service customers. And, the only way that happens is if a customer, who used to be with a competitive supplier, switches back to a cost-based
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this rate leads a current Default Service customer to figure "well, 12 months down the road, I'll be eligible for Rate ADE", not knowing what that ADE price is going to be at that time, you know, I think, as more and more competitors come into the market, there will be more options out there as well.

So, you know, could there be a customer out there who thinks that way? Possibly. But, I think, doing that, you have to have a pretty good crystal ball, in order to figure out that "12 months, I'm still going to be better off than where I am."
Q. Let me ask the question another way. Is it -- if I was a PSNH customer, and I believed that the ADE rate ultimately was going to be less than the competitive supply rate, do you believe that would be an inducement for me to leave PSNH and go to a competitive supplier?
A. I think, if you thought that, and actually thought that you had a pretty good handle on that, that's certainly an outcome. However, where, again, the foundation for the rate is on market prices, and competitive suppliers most likely are starting with market prices as well. So, unless there's expected to be a significant difference between the two, again, then I go back to my "crystal ball" comment.
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Q. Right now, though, the Default Service rate is higher than the competitive rate, competitive suppliers' rate?
A. I'm not sure of what all the competitive suppliers are offering. Right now, PSNH's Default Service rate is 7.11 cents. But that's scheduled to change on January 1st. And, right now, PSNH has proposed a rate that's almost 9 cents. Again, I'm not familiar with what competitive suppliers are offering. I'm aware of one that's slightly below 7.11 cents, but I'm not sure what others are offering.
Q. In the scenario I presented that, as a customer, I think all this -- I have this crystal ball, and I think this is how I want to go. Do you see that -- would that have the potential to be a windfall for the competitive suppliers, at least in that first year?
A. A windfall, in terms of an influx of new customers? That's possible.
Q. Okay. Let me go back to the term of service. Just a couple quick questions on that. Do you -- obviously, you've made a good case, I think, for the 12 months. Others have made a case for the $\mathbf{2 4}$ months. I'm not suggesting the Commission would do this. What's your opinion if we split the baby and did 18 months, for instance?
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A. That's, again, that's a potential outcome. You know, I've supported 12 months for the reasons l've stated, and PSNH and OCA have stated -- supported 24 for their reasons. So, again, you know, the Commission is free to do as it chooses. But, you know, I would still support the shorter 12 months.
Q. Or, another alternative, what if we were to split, for instance, residential and non-residential customers, put one at 24 months and the other at 12 months, is there a value to something like that?
A. That's nothing that I had looked at before. So, again, I suppose that's a -- that's a potential outcome. It's not something that I had considered.
Q. Okay. Thank you. You mentioned, both in your testimony verbally and in your -- I don't remember what exhibit it is, forgive me, the need for a review or the 12-month review, and your indication was that was a good thing, I believe. And, some of the things I think were talked about were the impact on competition, is that correct?
A. That's one of them, yes.
Q. How would we or how do you plan to measure that? How would we know if it's having a negative impact on competition?
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\} information about the number of customers taking service under Rate ADE. So, in looking at that, we'll be able to see some of the movement back from competitive supply. That's one way we can review it.
Q. So, help me out then with that a little bit more. So, what would that tell us about the impact on competition? How would we --
A. Well, if we saw a significantly large number of customers being served under Rate ADE, we know that they're no longer in the competitive market. Granted, you'd also have to kind of see, well, how many of those customers stay on ADE for the term? Or, maybe stay on for a couple months, then go back to competitive supply. So, you know, there could be some movement from competitive supply, but there also could be movement back to competitive supply from the ADE, depending on what's going on in the marketplace.
Q. And, you feel we'll be able to see that, we'll have sight of that?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay. How about gaming -- well, let me back up. Is gaming necessarily bad?
A. Bad for whom?
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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Q. I guess that's my question. So, I know, in the just competition? different reasons. the end of this? Commissioners' earlier order, we talked about "gaming could have a negative impact on PSNH", if I remember correctly. Is some gaming okay, though? Is that not
A. Well, you know, some people might look at one customer moving back and forth as "gaming", and others might just think it's a customer taking advantage of opportunities in the marketplace. So, you know, whether it's good or bad, I think, if something is done in a way where it becomes detrimental in one way or another, but you really have to look at -- I think it's hard to paint all customer movement with the same brush, and say "well, all this movement back and forth is "gaming"." Different customers will move for
Q. So, the proposal is for a 36-month pilot. What do you see as the -- you know, at the end of the day, what does this do for the utility? Where do we end up at
A. Well, I think, one of the things that's going to have to be assessed is, you know, how's this working? Is it providing benefit to other customers? You also have to look at, you know, what kind of impacts is it having on
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
Q. Mr. Mullen, looking at the Settlement Agreement, there
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the competitive market? And, should it continue? Should it be revised further? You know, there's going to be -- there can be a lot of changes between now and end of 36 months that could impact this proposa one way or another. So, I think what it provides is a way to reassess everything and say "Okay. Now, knowing what we know, and having this track record of customers that took service under ADE, and how long they stayed? You know, what the impacts were or weren't, I think would just provide a good assessment of all of that.
Q. At the end of the day, isn't the Default Service rate going to determine all of that at the end of the day, as far as migration and what competitive suppliers are doing?
A. Well, that's -- and that's one indicator, and it's basically the relationship of the Default Service price to what the market price is and what competitive suppliers are offering.

CMSR. SCOTT: Okay. Thank you. That's all I have.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. A few more questions.
BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:

## , DE 11-216\} [Day 2]\{11-26-12\}

## basis.

Q. So, in both cases, you're forecasting -- using forecasted numbers. And, then, at what point do you analyze actuals?
A. That all gets done through the DE reconciliation process. Any revenue from the adder, from this rate, gets incorporated into the DE reconciliation. That's done on an annual basis.
Q. And, the Rate ADE doesn't get adjusted on the basis of actuals, actuals could tell you whether the rate -whether the class should be closed -- whether the rate should be closed?
A. No. The rate should be -- whether the rate is closed or not depends on the marginal costs and the forecast of marginal costs, looked at on a monthly basis each year, compared to what the forecast for those particular months was at the beginning of the process.
Q. You're right. I was wrong. So, it's comparing an earlier set of forecasts against a more current set of forecasts?
A. Correct.
Q. So, there's no reconciliation for Rate ADE as we think of it in Rate DE?
A. Correct.
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are a few just mechanical questions I want to be sure I understand. And, following in the order that they appear, if you turn first to Page 6.
A. I'm there.
Q. Section 2.3.1 requires "forecasting of marginal costs". Then, 2.3.2, addressing the adder, to include "non-operating costs of the Scrubber". It doesn't say if it's "forecasted" or "actual". What's anticipated there?
A. That is going to be done in the same manner as PSNH's Energy Service rate is calculated. Let me see if I can refer you to -- for example, if you look at Exhibit 7, which is PSNH's April 27th testimony.
Q. I'm sorry, which exhibit?
A. Exhibit 7.
Q. And, did you give us a page yet or no?
A. I did not. And, it's one of the attachments at the very back. It's Attachment 5, which is a three-page attachment.
Q. All right.
A. If you look at that, you can see that, say on Line 19, it says "Total Forecasted Merrimack Scrubber Non-Operating Cost", then you have "Forecasted Retail Megawatt-Hour Sales". So, it's done on a prospective \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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Q. All right. Going back to the Settlement Agreement, Section 2.3.3, at the bottom of Page 6, I think maybe what we were just talking about. Just describe, give me maybe a little more explanation of how that 2.3.3 provision would operate.
A. Yes. As we just discussed, on a monthly basis, PSNH will take a look at its forecasted marginal costs, and compare those to the prices that were used when the rate -- the annual rate was set at the beginning of the process. So, for instance, when you get to the 15th day of February, PSNH will provide a forecast for the remaining period of March through December, assuming this goes into effect on January 1st. And, it will compare those forecasted marginal prices to the forecasted marginal prices for the months of March through December that were included in its annual forecast at the beginning of the process. To the extent that the new forecasts -- new forecasted marginal prices are more than 75 percent of the adder, then the rate could be closed.
Q. And, if you don't hit that 75 percent trigger, you'll just be looking at comparison of the forecast used for the start of the program to what the more updated forecasts are for the completion, the remaining months
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## of the program?

A. Right. And, the rate would remain open, if you did not hit the 75 percent trigger.
Q. And, as you get closer to the -- to December, assuming it started January 1st, you'd be comparing fewer months forecast to the original forecasted levels?
A. Yes.
Q. So, let's assume that's all in place. You get to the following January 1st, the second year for Rate ADE. How is the rate set?
A. And, that is set on an annual basis. And, that would be done, again, they would look at a 12-month calendar year, assuming it goes into effect January 1st, forecast the prices for the year, calculation for the Scrubber adder.
Q. Is there a docket open, parties to intervene, to evaluate all of that, or is it more of a sort of compliance filing, in your anticipation?
A. I believe that would be done through a docket process.
Q. So, that would have to come in some number of months before January 1st, --
A. Yes.
Q. -- in order to be through the process and a resolution?
A. Yes. And, I'm just trying to refresh my memory on \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}

## decision-making process.

Q. Also, on the very top of that page, it actually begins on the bottom of Page 4, is the provisions that allow someone to come in and out of service under ADE that Mr. Rodier was asking you about. Tell me if I have this right. There is an eligibility requirement to get involved in the program in the first instance. So, the 12 months consecutive service from a competitive supplier, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. Once you're eligible and a customer opts to get into ADE, leave the competitive supplier and get in on ADE, they can come and go without any consecutive periods of time, as long as they don't go more than -- they don't go a full $\mathbf{1 2}$ months off the program. But, if they go in and out every month or a few months, that's okay?
A. If they go back to competitive supply for a period of less than 12 consecutive months, and the term of service, whether it be $\mathbf{2 4}$ months or 12 months, would continue -- that clock will continue to run. If they go back to competitive supply for a period of more than 12 -- at least 12 consecutive months, and they were to come back to Rate ADE, they would start a new clock.
Q. What's the logic of requiring a full 12 months to be
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here. It would be set at the same time that the price on the Rate DE is set, and that's discussed in Section 2.2.3.
Q. So, although it doesn't say a deadline for the Company to make a filing, there will have to be something, if this were approved, as a setup, would have to set some dates for filing of a proposed Rate ADE?
A. Yes.
Q. In the provision just above that, I had forgotten to ask you about that one, so, I'm glad you flipped back to it. What's the reason for a nine month delay for implementation for smaller customers?
A. That is for PSNH to get its computer systems to allow for those changes to those rate classes.
Q. Do you anticipate the marketing efforts at the beginning of the program would be designed to include those that, although they can't yet take it, would be aware of how it's going to play out?
A. I would assume so, yes. Because it would, even though somebody might not be eligible for nine months from now, until nine months from now, to the extent that they were to make some decisions about whether to stay on Default Service or go to competitive supply in the interim, I think that could help inform their
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eligible for the program, full 12 months off of PSNH's service in order to be eligible? But, once they're in the program, they're able to come and go?
A. Well, I think it's, you know, it's -- if you were to keep them -- if you were to restrict them from going back to the competitive market, I think then that certainly could be viewed as "anti-competitive", because now you're restricting what option they previously had even under Default Service.
Q. Can you explain that?
A. Well, under Default Service, they're free to leave and go to the competitive supply. If somebody were to return to PSNH and be served under ADE, and said "oh, by the way, you're now -- you have to take this rate, you can't go back to competitive supply", that -- I'm sure that would be viewed as "anti-competitive".
Q. But what about if you were to allow someone to come back on the service, and if they decide to leave again and go back to a competitive supplier, why not have another eligibility period before they can return to ADE?
A. Well, you do, and that's, again, the 12 months.
Q. Well, maybe I'm misunderstanding. I thought we were saying that, once you've met the eligibility test and
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you opt for ADE, you could stay on it for a few months, and then leave, and come back in a few months after that. And, so, there's no corollary to the 12-month eligibility period once you're in the program. If you're out 12 months, then you reset that clock. But you can come and go in the program for any reason you may choose. And, what's the logic? If we think there's a good reason for requiring a 12-month eligibility period to initially get in the program, why is it no longer important that someone stay put for a while under ADE?
A. Well, again, then I think you'd be limiting options that they currently have now to come and go under regular Default Service. So, this is not to be more restrictive than what currently exists.
Q. If you turn to Page 8 of the Settlement Agreement, it calls for, in Section 2.4.3, that, at least three months prior to the end of the pilot period, PSNH will have an obligation to make a request to extend or modify or terminate the rate. Is three months adequate time for people to be able to evaluate the program, make sense of its success or lack of success, and whether any modifications should be made or whether it should even be terminated at that point?
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A. For the Default Service reconciliation dockets?
Q. Yes.
A. Those come in on an annual basis. And, there's not a set time it has to be resolved by. Because, if you recall, we also look at operation of PSNH's plants and outages and all sorts of things, and that takes some time to go through. As a result of those reconciliation dockets, there isn't a rate adjustment at that time. But any adjustments through the reconciliation process take place through the Default Service rate-setting process independent of the reconciliation.
Q. How long does the Default Service rate-setting time from filing to our general track record on when the rate changes go into effect?
A. The rate is initially set on annual basis, and it usually comes in during the month of September. And, then, we have hearings in December, for a rate on January 1st. Then, we have a mid-year review, that information is filed around the middle of May for a July 1st rate change.
Q. Earlier this afternoon you were asked, I think by Mr. Fossum, that "could the Rate ADE be terminated if few or no customers take it?" And, you said "yes, that's
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A. Well, I think, if you go back to the beginning of Section 2.4, on Page 7, in 2.4.1 and 2.4.2, it describes other reports PSNH is going to be filing, either monthly or annually, about activity in the rate, number of kilowatt-hours served, difference between the revenue received and marginal costs. So, I think there's going to be a lot of information filed in the interim, prior to that three-month filing, that would allow for people having a good idea even before that filing comes in.
Q. And, that's a good point, if people are evaluating what's being generated regularly over the course of the pilot. But, then, the three-month period is really when the Commission process kicks in to put it out through an adjudicative process for review and parties' participation. You think three months will work?
A. I think it's certainly doable. I mean, it wouldn't be a new thing, as it is today. So, you know, I think there would be a decent track record, in terms of what's happened over time. And, that I think -- it says "at least three months", too. So, I mean, it could come in sooner than that.
Q. The time period for the reconciliation dockets is -- is it more like six months?
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correct." Is there a provision in the Settlement Agreement that says that that l've missed?
A. I believe there is. And, now, you've tested me with finding it. Yes. On Page 4, Section 2.2. The last sentence says that "Nothing in this agreement shall be construed to limit the authority of the Commission to terminate this rate prior to the end of the 36 -month pilot period."

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Thank
you. That's it for questions from me. But, Commissioner Harrington, another question?

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes. Just still trying to maybe just follow this moving in and out thing, to make sure l've got it correct.

## BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:

Q. I think I understand you have to be with a competitive supplier for 12 months before you're eligible for Rate ADE, that's correct?
A. At least $\mathbf{1 2}$ months.
Q. At least 12 months. Once you meet that, you are then able to come back to Public Service and get the ADA rate?
A. ADE, yes.
Q. ADE rate. And, then, you could take that for two
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
months, and then go back to a competitive supplier for two months, and come back and get the ADE rate again?
A. Yes.
Q. And, is there any -- there was some other clock involved in that. How does that work? You just keep switching every two months?
A. You could do that. And, then, your term of service, whether it be $\mathbf{1 2}$ months or $\mathbf{2 4}$ months, would continue to run. The only time that that clock would be reset is if you went back to competitive supply for a period of at least $\mathbf{1 2}$ consecutive months.
Q. So, you could do this back and forth for up to that term of service, let's just say it's 12 months, and then, if you stayed with Public Service, you'd go to the DE rates, or you could go back to a competitive supplier?
A. Once your term of service runs out, --
Q. Yes.
A. -- if you stayed with PSNH, you would be assigned to Rate DE.
Q. Okay. Fine. That helps. Thank you. Now, one final question. Has there been any analysis done on this? I mean, it appears what we're doing is putting out a proposal, and, you know, as stated by yourself and in \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
A. Well, otherwise would be using competitive suppliers or, without this rate, would be served under DE.
Q. Okay. And, is there -- this is what I'm trying to get at. Do we have any numbers? I mean, how many customers that have left Public Service, that are on some competitive supplier now, how many would have to come back to make this program successful, because, I mean, was there any estimate? Do we need a thousand customers? Twenty thousand customers?
A. I'm not aware of any analysis on that. But, one way to look at it is, any customers that are served under this rate would provide -- any revenue from them would provide some benefit that isn't currently there.
Q. Okay. And, after the 12 -month clock or whatever period of time runs out, then they have the option of being assigned to Default -- just the regular Default Service rate or going back to a competitive supplier. And, I'm assuming no analysis has been done for that. Because, in other words, if this thing worked fairly well, you'd get people coming back. And, if it was, as Commissioner Scott pointed out, most rational people are not going to say "well, I can get a", making up a number, "a 10 percent rate increase by going with ADA -- ADE rates, go back to Public Service. I'll just
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82
various letters, filings here, it's -- the idea is to help the DE customers, I mean, it's to mitigate some of the costs that they're seeing. So, in order for that to happen, someone just doing a loop, you know, if they were a DE customer, they became a competitive supplier customer, they came back to ADA [ADE?], that really didn't -- they have to get them back, you still haven't created any more customers for Public Service that way, you just stayed even. So, presumably, you're going to have to get customers that would otherwise not come back to use this ADE rate. So, if you bring customers back, then I guess you're saying, because you're covering the marginal cost of power, plus the adder, each customer will be paying that adder, and that's an extra source of revenue that they would get -- Public Service wouldn't receive otherwise, is that correct?
A. That's an extra source of revenue that would get applied to the benefit of other Default Service customers.
Q. Okay. But that's where the benefit of the program comes from, is bringing back people who otherwise would be using competitive suppliers?
A. Yes.
Q. Okay.
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stay with the competitive supplier." And, if their rates were lower than the competitive supplier, then people would come back. Presumably, they're -- I guess the best case would be that it would be lower than the regular Default Service rates, but lower than the competitive supplier, that would induce people to come back, take the ADE rates, which would bring in the extra revenue. But, after 12 months, they lose that. So, then, they're looking at Default Service rates versus competitive supplier rates. So, chances are, they're going to make the same decision they made six months -- or, two years ago, whenever they first left, and say "well, that's still lower, so I'm going to go back and do that again." So, now, there's no more benefit from this program for that -- at least for those people. So, has there been any analysis of how this works in equilibrium, when you're going to have this constant flow of, if the rate attracts people in, at the end of a year, it's more than likely going to have them go back to where they came from?
A. Well, there's a lot of scenarios in your question. I can tell you, I haven't performed that analysis. And, I can't speak for the Company or anyone else in this room as to whether they have performed any such
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analysis.
Q. But you haven't seen any?
A. I have not.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. Thank you. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Ms.
Amidon, do you have redirect?
MS. AMIDON: No, I don't. Thank you. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Then,
you're excused, Mr. Mullen. Thank you. Let's take a
break for ten minutes, let's say 3:15, to resume with Mr. Fromuth.
(Recess taken at 3:02 p.m. and the hearing resumed at 3:18 p.m.) CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: We're back on the
record. Mr. Fromuth, I think you are up next. Are you ready, Mr. Rodier?

MR. RODIER: Yes, we are. And, if we
could have the witness sworn here, before we takes a seat, that would be good.
(Whereupon August G. Fromuth was duly sworn by the Court Reporter.)
MR. RODIER: May I?
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Please.
AUGUST G. FROMUTH, SWORN
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}

## BY MR. RODIER:

Q. Mr. Fromuth, a couple of introductory questions. Let me first just very quickly go to so-called "Freedom
Energy Logistics". Does the providence of Freedom
Energy Logistics, does that go back to the mid '90s or earlier?
A. Yes.
Q. And, briefly, the signature accomplishment at that point was the obtaining a decision from the New Hampshire Supreme Court that basically said there's no exclusive franchise for utilities, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. And, one other thing about Freedom, did you pioneer the market in New England for MPEUs?
A. Yes, I did.
Q. And, what's an "MPEU"?
A. It's a "Market Participant End User". It's an entity that can take power directly from the Grid, from the Power Pool, by conforming to some entry requirements and other stair-step items that it must clear with the various states in which it's located.
Q. Is there anybody we've heard of that you can mention here today to give an example of an MPEU?
A. Yes. We have a number in New Hampshire that are --
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## DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. RODIER:
Q. Mr. Fromuth, did you prefile some testimony in this proceeding?
A. I did.
Q. Do you have a copy of it with you?
A. Ido.
Q. Is there any errors or anything in there that you would want to correct at this point? Or does it look --
A. No, it's fine.
Q. It's still accurate, okay. And, it's true and accurate to the best of your information, knowledge and belief today, right?
A. It is.

MR. RODIER: Okay. Madam Chairman, could I have that marked for identification please?

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes. That would be
"Exhibit 16".
MS. DENO: Yes.
(The document, as described, was
herewith marked as Exhibit 16 for
identification.)
MR. RODIER: Okay. Thank you. Does
anybody need a copy?
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have a commercial relationship with the Power Pool directly, and don't operate with any kind of a supplier middleman, including Saint Anselm's College, High Liner Foods, the Manchester Union Leader.
Q. Okay. And, do you provide services to them, managing their accounts?
A. We do. We schedule and coordinate their power for them.
Q. Okay. Now, I just want to ask you about one of the other companies that you're CEO, PNE Energy Supplier. That's sort of a newcomer to the market, would you say?
A. Yes. That's a competitive energy provider.
Q. And, you're testifying on behalf of PNE here today, is that right?
A. That's correct.
Q. And, was PNE the first company to market to residential customers in New Hampshire?
A. Indirectly, PNE was -- is a source of supply for an aggregator, Resident Power, that was the first to aggregate households, to combine them to sell power to households.
Q. Okay. So, and that's one of your companies as well, Resident?
A. Right.
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Q. And, working, not exclusively, but working with PNE, they were the first to market with a residential offering in New Hampshire?
A. That's correct.
Q. Okay. Now, what I want to -- hmm, just trying to -oh. The only other thing in a preliminary I want to ask you is are you active in NEPOOL and ISO-New England?
A. Yes.
Q. And, what's your capacity with NEPOOL?

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Mr. Rodier, before
you go on, I'm a little concerned.
MR. RODIER: Yes.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: As far as I can
tell, none of this is in the prefiled testimony. The whole point of prefiled is for everyone to have an opportunity to review and ask discovery questions. It's interesting information, but, if it wasn't important enough to put in the prefiled, why are we going into it now?

MR. RODIER: Well, madam Chairman, I think it is, on the top of Page 1, isn't it? It talks about "MPEUs", talks about "Freedom Logistics", talks about how "Mr. Fromuth is Vice Chairman of NEPOOL, Chair \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
them back. And, it will frustrate, I think, almost ten years of marketplace advancement and increased sophistication to have, as the saying goes, the 900-pound gorilla re-enter the market with an offering price that will be, obviously, well below where they are right now, or where they will be after the first of the year, according to their forecast. And, my concern is that this will redirect folks away from the notion that they should be, on the whole, migrating away from being dependent upon standard offer service or default energy service. And, they retreat from essentially what's been public policy in New Hampshire for more than a decade, through, I think, three gubernatorial terms, obviously, ten years of PUC rulings, and investment in this state, by not just my firm, but many, many others that have come into this state and have built customer books that are substantial for one reason, and that's because the price advantage to customers for doing so has been evident.

My view is that Public Service is, in many respects, acting like a competitive energy provider by undertaking this rate class. And, throughout their testimony, they haven't shied away from the notion that the idea here is to lure customers
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of the End User Sector." I'm just trying to establish his -- refresh the Commission's mind, you know, his credentials. And, I'm almost through. And, certainly, if that's your wish, that we move on, we're going to move on.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Well, we need -- we have.

MR. RODIER: Okay. I agree.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: -- not a lot of time
left. And, we're to focus on the issues --
MR. RODIER: I agree.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: -- of his testimony regarding ADE. So, --

MR. RODIER: So, we'll do that.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
BY MR. RODIER:
Q. Mr. Fromuth, would you please summarize your testimony.
A. My testimony focuses on the fact that the offering before the Commission of Rate ADE is, in our view, anti-competitive. It will injure the marketplace from the standpoint of creating, not just confusion, but it will create the perception and, obviously, which is tied very much to the reality, that PSNH is seeking to have customers return to the host utility, with an offering price that's an inducement to do so to lure
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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back into the fold, so that they can -- so they can gain revenue that would then be applied to the activities that they have described. That's all well and good, but it does completely -- causes a complete juxtaposition of what the role -- I thought the role was of the host utility, which is to be a prior of default service, and not to be and actively campaign to bring new business back to its supply.

MR. RODIER: That's all we have, madam
Chairman. Are you through, Mr. Fromuth? Is that your summary?

WITNESS FROMUTH: Yes, that is my summary.

MR. RODIER: Okay. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. MR. RODIER: You're welcome. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I don't know if there was any discussion of order of witnesses -- I mean, excuse me, order of cross. If not, I think we should first take the non-settling parties, which really is RESA, and then go to the settling parties. So, Ms. Miranda?

MS. MIRANDA: Thank you. Good afternoon, Mr. Fromuth.

WITNESS FROMUTH: Good afternoon.
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## BY MS. MIRANDA:

Q. Wouldn't you agree that, when customers have accurate price signals, they can make better choices about their energy use and management?
A. Yes.
Q. And, the most accurate price signal that a customer can receive is the actual price they're paying for a particular power at a particular time of day or month, correct?
A. Correct.
Q. And, PSNH is planning to base Rate ADE on a forecast of pricing for up to a year in advance, is that correct?
A. That's my understanding.
Q. And, when customers have accurate price signals, can they use those accurate price signals to make conservation decisions and energy efficiency decisions about their power?
A. Yes.
Q. And, if the price during the month of August is artificially low, customers don't have a reason to conserve energy in August, for instance, is that correct?
A. That's correct.
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}

## A. That's right.

Q. And, typically, when an introductory rate is provided, the customer is also told what the rate will be after the introductory rate expires, is that correct?
A. I'm sorry, could you repeat that.
Q. Assuming a customer takes an introductory rate from a competitive supplier, do they typically know at the end of the introductory period what their rate will be or how that rate will be calculated?
A. Yes, they do.
Q. Thank you. When PSNH proposed its original Rate ADE, that was rejected by the Commission. Are you familiar with that?
A. A bit.
Q. And, in that, in that original proposal, they proposed to adjust rates two times per year, is that correct, in January and July?
A. That's my recollection.
Q. And, now, they're proposing only to adjust the rates once per year, is that correct?
A. That's right.

MS. MIRANDA: Thank you. I have no further questions.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr.
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Q. You were here on the first day of the hearing, correct?
A. I was.
Q. And, did you hear PSNH testify that Rate ADE is no different than introductory rates from competitive suppliers?
A. I believe I did.
Q. And, when a customer is considering an introductory rate from a competitive supplier, they aren't required to -- typically aren't required to be on, for instance, Rate DE from PSNH for some period of time, is that correct?
A. No.
Q. That's not correct?
A. You are correct. They are not required to have that preface to their deal, right.
Q. And, they're not required to determine how long they were on a particular rate from PSNH, for instance, before being eligible for an introductory rate, is that correct?
A. That is correct.
Q. And, they're not typically required to understand how long they were with another competitive supplier before they are eligible to take an introductory rate from a competitive supplier, is that correct?
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## Fossum.

MR. FOSSUM: Thank you.

## BY MR. FOSSUM:

Q. Mr. Fromuth, I'd like to begin by understanding your role exactly. Your -- the first page of your testimony states that you're the "Managing Director of Freedom Logistics, and CEO of PNE", is that correct?
A. That's correct.
Q. And, earlier in this docket, Freedom Logistics had petitioned to intervene as a joint petition with Halifax American Energy Company. Are aware of that?
A. I believe so, yes.
Q. And, in response to Mr. Rodier's question, you testified that you're here only on behalf of PNE today, is that correct?
A. That's right.
Q. So, as the Managing Director of Freedom Logistics, do you have authority to speak for that entity?
A. Yes, I do.
Q. Does Freedom have a position that's different from PNE?
A. No.
Q. So, would it be fair to say that the positions that Freedom has taken at various stages of this docket would be the same as PNE would have taken had PNE been
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the entity asserting those positions?
A. Correct.
Q. And, would the same also be the case for Halifax American Energy Company?
A. Yes.
Q. Thank you. Do you have a copy of your testimony with you?
A. Ido.
Q. Would you turn to the bottom of Page 2 of your testimony please. Now, in the paragraph at the bottom numbered " 1 ", your testimony states: "The purpose of RSA 374-F is to "harness the power of competitive markets"." Did I read that accurately?
A. Yes, you did.
Q. And, you have a footnote there that attributes that quotation to "RSA 374-F:1, I". Is that also correct?
A. I believe that -- yes. That's right, yes.
Q. Isn't it true that RSA 374-F:1, I, actually begins by stating "The most compelling reason to restructure the New Hampshire electric utility industry is to reduce costs for all consumers of electricity"?
A. Well, I don't have the cite here, so I can't react to that.
Q. I'm happy to provide you with a copy of the statute, if \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
five six, the seventh line down in the version that I've handed to you, does that read that "increased
customer choice and the development of competitive markets for wholesale and retail are key elements of a restructured industry"? Does it begin with that phrase?
A. It does.
Q. So, you would agree then -- do you agree then with the statute -- do you agree with the statement then that "increased customer choice is a key element in the restructured industry"?
A. I would. And, this is the same statute, I believe, that also prescribed for the divestiture of all of PSNH's generating assets, which, as we all know, has not yet transpired. So, there's a number of aspects to not yet transpired. So, there's a number of aspects to
the statute that are relevant today, and some that were not fulfilled.
Q. Yes, I understand. I was just asking whether you
agreed that "increased customer choice is", as the statute says, "a key element of a restructured industry"?
A. It does say that.
Q. Thank you. Returning to your testimony, near the
bottom of Page 3, you state that Rate ADE is a
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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you'd like to read it?
A. Sure.

> MR. FOSSUM: May I approach? CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Please.
> (Atty. Fossum handing book to the witness.)

BY MR. FOSSUM:
Q. So, I would restate my question. Does RSA 374-F:1, I, actually begin with the phrase "The most compelling reason to restructure the New Hampshire electric utility industry is to reduce costs for all consumers of electricity"?
A. It does.
Q. Thank you. So, would you agree that the actual purpose of RSA Chapter 374-F is to reduce costs for all consumers of electricity?
A. Well, it certainly is one of the stated purposes, yes.
Q. Now, if the Commission were to approve Rate ADE, would any customer be forced to take Rate ADE?
A. No.
Q. Would Rate ADE provide another option to customers for their consideration?
A. It would.
Q. Now, in RSA 374-F:1, I, about one, two, three, four, \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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"discounted default service rate", do you see that?
MR. RODIER: I think you have to be a little more specific, madam Chairman. Even I'm having a little trouble finding it.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Yes. I haven't

## found it either.

MR. FOSSUM: I apologize. There's no line numbers, so -- yes. The very last line in the main text on Page 3.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Is this under "A.
Issues with respect to RSA 374-F"?
MR. FOSSUM: Yes. So, directly above where the footnotes begin, the very last line -- the last two lines state about "the design of Rate ADE", and "PSNH is proposing...a discounted default service rate", in the last line there.

## BY THE WITNESS:

A. Well, the line that I am reading from here, which I think is geographically where you are, it says: "The design of Rate ADE PSNH is proposing to take on the role of a competitive supplier by means of offering a discounted default service rate targeted to customers who have migrated to competitive suppliers." Is that where you are?
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
Q. Yes. And, it was the characterization of "a discounted default service rate". Now, as we've read, the very first words of RSA 374-F indicate that "the most compelling reason to restructure the industry is to reduce all costs." So, wouldn't a rate reducing costs meet that compelling reason?
A. The marketplace that is anticipated by the restructuring statute is one in which the players in the marketplace were to be competitive providers, and that the PSNH's role would sunset as a supplier. That was the idea 10 or 12 years ago, and here is where we are today. We're talking about re-entering Public Service into the marketplace with a newer rate, a lower rate as of today, and it takes on all the appearances of a competitive energy provider.
Q. Okay. Thank you. On the next page of your testimony, on Page 4, there's a section of your testimony, letter " A " there, and there is, in the third paragraph under letter " A ", your testimony states: "There cannot be two very different definitions under RSA 369-B for the term "actual costs"; one based on booked costs", and then, in parentheses, "(Rated DE), and then the other based upon estimated marginal costs", and then, in parentheses, "(Rate ADE)." Did I read that accurately? \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
[WITNESS: Fromuth]
102
A. You did
Q. Is it your testimony that marginal cost is not an actual cost?
A. No.
Q. In the very next sentence of your testimony, it reads "An "actual" cost is a booked cost as utilized in the calculation of Rate DE for over ten years." Did I read that accurately?
A. You did.
Q. So, does your testimony say that "an actual cost is a booked cost"? Is that an accurate characterization of your testimony there?
A. That is what is said, yes.
Q. So, is it your testimony then that marginal costs are not actual costs?
A. No, it is not.
Q. Then, perhaps you could help me understand why your testimony states that "actual cost is a booked cost" and that marginal costs are not. That I don't -- could you explain that apparent inconsistency?

MR. RODIER: Excuse me. That
mischaracterizes his testimony. He says "actual costs are". He's saying -- the question was "tell me why they aren't?"
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CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: I think it's a
question of what the "aren't" was qualifying.
MR. RODIER: Oh. I'm sorry.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: But why don't you go
ahead and rephrase the question Mr. Fossum.
BY MR. FOSSUM:
Q. In your testimony, it seems to state that "actual costs are booked costs", and that "Rate DE is based on booked costs", which are actual costs, but that there is another cost, marginal costs, that are not permitted to be included under the term "actual" costs. Is that correct?
A. The marginal cost is a cost that is not included under the booked costs, that's right.
Q. So, I guess to phrase it very simply, is marginal cost an actual cost?

MR. RODIER: That question has been
answered at least twice already.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: No, l'd like to hear
the answer.
MR. RODIER: Okay.
WITNESS FROMUTH: Your question is what
again?
BY MR. FOSSUM:
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Q. Are marginal costs an actual cost?
A. Marginal costs are an actual cost, certainly.
Q. Thank you.
A. They're incurred costs.
Q. Turning to Page 5 of your testimony, in the third paragraph under section, the V, "Summary and Conclusion". That third paragraph states: "Moreover, the PUC does not appear to have any authority to implement a default service option to encourage long-term use of default service." Is that correct?
A. That's what it says, yes.
Q. Now, do you still have the statute book in front of you?
A. Ido.
Q. Could you turn to RSA 374-F:3, V, please. And, more specifically, to subpart (d), 80 percent of the way down the page. Now, that section reads: "The commission should establish transition and default service appropriate to the particular circumstances of each jurisdictional utility." Did I read that accurately?
A. You did.
Q. So, the Commission has the -- would you agree that the Commission has the authority to establish default
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service appropriate to the particular circumstances of PSNH?
A. It would certainly make reference to that here, yes.
Q. Thank you. And, I apologize. Going back a couple of pages in your testimony, and I apologize, to Page 3. At the very top of the page, the paragraph numbered " 2 ". The testimony states that "By law, Default Service means electricity supply that is available to retail customers who are otherwise without an electricity supplier." Did I read that accurately?
A. Yes.
Q. To the best of your knowledge, does the law provide any reason for a customer to be "otherwise without a supplier"?
A. Does the law provide any what?
Q. Any reason for a customer to be "otherwise without a supplier"?
A. I'm not sure I understand what your question is.
Q. Well, could you turn please to RSA 374-F:2, Paragraph l-a, under "Definitions". There it defines "Default Service" to mean "electricity supply that is available to retail customers who are otherwise without an electricity supplier and are ineligible for transition service." Is there anything in there that asks why a
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customer is "otherwise without an electricity supplier"?
A. In other words, the circumstances of why they don't have one is not explored, is that what your point is?
Q. Yes. That's what I'm asking.
A. Right. That's what -- that doesn't address that, no.
Q. So, is it possible that a customer could be without a supplier for pretty much any reason?
A. Yes. There are all sorts of reasons.
Q. Could it be that the customer doesn't want a supplier?
A. It could very well be that, yes.
Q. Could it be that a customer, for example, might have poor credit, and that no supplier would be willing to offer service to them?
A. True.
Q. Now, back to your testimony on Page 3, in the first paragraph under " A ", the second to last sentence, it reads: "PSNH erroneously believes that default service is for any customers who, for whatever reason, elect not to have a competitive supplier supply their energy." Did I read that accurately?
A. You did.
Q. So, because -- since a customer, as we've just discussed, could be without a supplier for any reason,
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isn't your characterization of PSNH's belief, in fact, accurate, and not erroneous?
A. Well, I think that PSNH's belief is erroneous, because I view their position is based upon a sense of what the market is -- is or is not offering. And, their view of the market behavior, with respect to what will happen as a consequence of introduction of ADE, that is erroneous. And, they're portraying this as being a choice that does not exist currently. And, my argument is that it very much does exist currently.
Q. Could you point me to where that is spelled out in your testimony?
A. Well, I think it's spelled out throughout my testimony, but I'm opining on it right now. I'm not citing a particular statement in the testimony. I'm simply elaborating on what I already said in writing.
Q. In your direct, you testified that you believe "Rate ADE would be anti-competitive", is that accurate?
A. Yes.
Q. If a new competitor, a new competitive supplier entered the market tomorrow, say, with prices set exactly at the same level as PSNH's, would that be harmful to competition?
A. No.
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Q. If that new competitor offered prices below those offered by PSNH, would that be harmful to competition?
A. No.
Q. So, in your opinion, it's only because it's PSNH making this offering that is harmful to competition?
A. Well, what goes into making a rate offer, a price offer by a competitive supplier, is not just merely the construct of that rate. That rate has to be arrived at through careful calculations of what the costs are that go into the rate. Not just the energy, but all the other aspects of it. Plus, to offer the rate and to promote it, a competitive provider has to spend a great deal of resources to broadcast that rate offering and has to put it out in the marketplace. So, all of those add up to a war chest that a competitive provider must have to essentially offer -- successfully offer a rate inducement to the market and get people to enroll. In the case of PSNH, I think we've heard here today, on the first day, that there really isn't any value that's been assigned to the marketing budget of PSNH, which we know is immense from past disclosures. And, in the case with my company, whereas sometimes we spend as much as $\$ 10,000$ a month on marketing and putting information out into the media,
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we could find ourselves having to double down or triple down or spend enormous sums just to keep up with the fact that PSNH, which, as you know, has very, very expansive resources, would be able to spend --
Q. Mr. Fromuth, I --
A. -- at a much higher rate than we could.
Q. I apologize for interrupting. I asked a relatively simple, straightforward question. Is your belief that this is "anti-competitive", is that based upon the fact that it's being offered by PSNH?
A. Based upon the fact that it's being offered by a company with a very, very large deep pocket, and the ability to go and market a product that may not be successful financially, but may have other objectives.
Q. So, is the answer "yes"?
A. Yes, it is.
Q. So, is it your testimony then that the relative financial strength of the competitor has something to do with whether they have a competitive offering? Or that whether their competitive offering would be anti-competitive, I'm sorry?
A. Well, let's be clear. The offering that's being proposed by PSNH has a cost basis to it, which they, obviously, detailed for us, plus it has a marginal
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objective. So, that's an unfair advantage posed by PSNH's entry into this market with this rate.
Q. Well, I want to follow up, I guess, on two things.

First, you said that other companies entering have a profit motive and a desire to return money to shareholders that PSNH does not have. Is that an accurate summary of what you -- at least one portion of what you said?
A. Yes. Because I was comparing the offering, if it was coming from a private company, as you pointed out, versus PSNH. So, in that isolated instance, that is a very, very great advantage for PSNH and a disadvantage for the private actor.
Q. Would a "private actor", as you describe it, with substantial funding from some source, coming into New Hampshire and offering prices at or below what PSNH is offering, would that be anti-competitive?
A. No. That's the case today with many companies.

MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. I have nothing
further.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.
Ms. Chamberlin, questions?
MS. CHAMBERLIN: I have no questions. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Ms. Amidon?
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element that's going to be fully allocated to the operation or the retirement of the Scrubber costs.

In a competitive market environment, while we don't have to worry about Scrubber costs, we have to worry about profit margin, we have to worry about cost of capital, we have to worry about labor, we have to worry about the O\&A of operating a business. So, all of those things must go into the cost of our recovery in a price, none of which have I heard is a consideration for Public Service. Plus, we have to have a profit margin to return to our investors; also not a concern of Public Service.
Q. Okay. I was merely asking a follow-up on your prior testimony that you gave just a few minutes ago, having to do with the relatively financial strength of a company. And, I understood your testimony to be that, because PSNH has, as you put it, I believe, "deep pockets", that is a problem that may be anti-competitive. Is that accurate?
A. Well, it's also -- it's also the equivalent of, say, an entity coming in that does not have a profit objective, but merely a market share objective or a cost recovery objective, coming into the market, and competing with other companies. Every other company has a profit
\{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
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MS. AMIDON: We have nothing. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right.
Questions from the Commissioners? Commissioner Harrington.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Yes. I've got a few questions.

## BY CMSR. HARRINGTON:

Q. On -- I'm not quite as organized, so, I'll be jumping around your testimony a little bit more. On Page 4, in the middle of the page, there was a lot of discussion of actual costs versus booked costs and so forth. And, I had read this slightly different, I guess. I thought what you were implying here is that, in the case of the DE rate, that it was based on the actual booked costs versus the estimated marginal costs for the ADE rate. And, that the major difference was one was an actual and one was an estimated cost, is that correct?
A. Yes. That's a much better flowing characterization than I had in there.
Q. Okay. I just wanted to make sure on that. And, going along that paragraph a little lower, it says:
"Moreover, PSNH's proposed calculation of Rate ADE admittedly does not contain any costs for marketing, outreach", etcetera, etcetera. And, so, again, if I'm
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reading this correctly, what you're saying is, because the administration, promotional materials, marketing, etcetera, are not in the ADE rate, then that rate cannot be an actual cost, because it's missing some actual costs?
A. That's correct.
Q. Oh. Well, while we're on that particular page, something that I thought was -- right at the beginning of Paragraph A, the first paragraph -- under "A", I should say, it says concluding "moreover, PSNH must supply all default service Rates DE and ADE in the first instance from its own generation assets." Can you elaborate on that statement? What does the "first instance" mean? Is it before buying power someplace else?
A. Well, before resorting to market purchases, they first draw down all available power from their own generation.
Q. So, I'm trying to get this straight. So, if the cost of running, say, the Merrimack plant is higher than the real-time price for buying power in the ISO market, they run the plant nonetheless, rather than buying it cheaper?
A. Well, Commissioner, I'm not aware of what the practices
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"Introduction", you quote from a PUC docket. And, on the third line it says -- it talks about "residential and smaller commercial customers who have little choice but to remain on Energy Service even as market price fluctuations above and below Energy Service rates." Would you say that that statement is no longer true then? That there are choices?
A. I'm looking here for -- to look at your cite.
Q. Yes. But it's --
A. Right. And, the choice is much more expansive now than when first written.
Q. Okay. And, there was a lot of discussion on what meant competition, what was good for competition and what wasn't. And, there was talk about, if an entity was -had deep pockets or a lot of financial resources, would that be good or bad for how they -- whether they were considered competitive or not. And, you seem to be saying that Public Service is not competitive as, let's say, some other independent non-public utility that came in to sell electricity in New Hampshire, because of their -- and this is the part I'm trying to get, is it because of their rate structure? Or, is it because they're a public utility that has -- you know, is a cost of service basis, as compared to another company
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are on a daily basis by PSNH. But it's my, on my information and belief, that they generally operate the power plants when there is, obviously, both regulatory and economic financial reasons for doing so. In the scenario that you pose, I actually don't know what would be the internal policy.
Q. Okay. Fair enough. Thank you. Looking at 374-F:2, and it talks about "'default service" means electricity supply that is available to retail customers who are otherwise without an electricity supplier and are ineligible for transition service." Do you agree with Mr. Mullen, that there is nobody in New Hampshire that is otherwise without an opportunity to at least select an electric supplier now?
A. The abundance of providers out there means that there is virtually a provider for everybody. And, if somebody in his example, and now that l've had it to think about it, was -- had less than desirable credit, then there would probably be an arrangement that could be made to satisfy the credit concerns of the provider, to enable that customer to participate in a non-PSNH source of energy.
Q. Okay. And, kind of going along with this availability of options, on Page 1 of your testimony, under
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that doesn't have that?
A. Well, first of all, the disadvantage that I posed was one where, certainly, there are companies here in the state who probably have the financial wherewithal and the strength of Public Service just on a value measurement. The problem I have with is the other end of that transaction. Which is that those companies are here for one reason only, and that is that they see an opportunity to make a profit in serving this market. Without that opportunity, of course, they would redirect their efforts elsewhere.

The question on the table, though, is
"does Public Service have that same motivation?" And,
I'm submitting that they don't. And that, without a profit motivation, without a return on that transaction, that goes into the profit bucket, then that is a -- that basically is a -- well, it submarines the market, because what it does is it puts into the marketplace a player of significant financial strength, that has the wherewithal to do these transactions, but whose objectives are not to make a profit, but to retire the cost of an investment that has caused them to look for other ways to do so, other than the traditional mechanism. So, that is a much different
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set of motivations. It's almost a public policy motivation, not a private market motivation. And, that makes for a very, very screwed up marketplace.
Q. And, you said in the beginning of your testimony here, sort of like a mini-resumé, you've been involved in competitive power supply for sometime, and in a number of states. That's correct?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Okay. Now, we've also discussed previously that the proposed ADE rate would be approximately seven cents a kilowatt-hour. What will -- if that rate were to be out there and were available to people, what effect would that have on competitive suppliers in New Hampshire?
A. Well, we have looked at this. And, we think that the competitive marketplace would have, as a result, pressures that would cause other marketers, whose rates are higher than seven cents, obviously, to have to go and calibrate themselves against that benchmark rate. Now, that in and of itself is a calculation that each one of these marketers must do on their own. The problem with the whole structure of the rate is that, in every case, the private competitive energy providers will be factoring in a profit margin of some value to
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have a very, very good handle on how some of the non-energy costs are priced by Public Service. We know what they are, because we have independent sources. But, Public Service, for instance, will price capacity charges to a particular end-user at a rate that's much different than what we think that capacity charge ought to be. So, we have some -- we feel we have a disadvantage there, because PSNH can have capacity charges associated with a single account, that is less than what we would price that capacity charge at, then there is another source of pricing disadvantage for us that would become much more striking under this scenario.
Q. So, you -- I guess it sounds like you're saying their method of coming up with this marginal cost to provide full requirements, plus an adder, is on a completely different basis than a competitive supplier would be doing it?
A. It very well could be.

CMSR. HARRINGTON: Okay. All right.
Thank you. That's all the questions I have.
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you
Commissioner Scott.
CMSR. SCOTT: Good afternoon.
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compete with the random seven cents that we're talking about. That is not a factor that goes into PSNH's equation. So, therefore, at the beginning of the day, when the number is set, everybody has to have a rate that's below PSNH, but it does include a profit margin. And, since the rate has been said to have been benchmarked against what the forward price curve is in the marketplace, it's hard for me to see how, over time, competitive energy providers could successfully and continually realize a margin, if continually pressed to price against PSNH's value of what the forward market is telling them.
Q. And, the costs that that -- the ADE is made up of is the marginal going-forward cost, I think was the term, marginal cost to provide full requirements of service, plus an adder. Now, is that marginal cost to provide full requirements, is that basically the same cost that competitive suppliers could go out and buy electricity for?
A. Well, that is a question that I don't have the answer to, Commissioner. Because, in our business, we obviously have a -- assert the same look, forward look at prices in the energy market that everybody else in the trade does. But what we don't have is we don't
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## WITNESS FROMUTH: Good afternoon.

## BY CMSR. SCOTT:

Q. Okay. I'm going to re-ask, I think, something you've already answered, I apologize, from Commissioner Harrington, but just a clarification. So, if the ADE is implemented, and, again, we've been talking about seven cents, is your -- is it correct, effectively, you were suggesting that the competitive electric suppliers would then adjust their prices to accommodate that price?
A. Well, with Rate ADE going into force at that level, then your price levels, of course, with competitive providers would have to respond to that and to maintain some pricing advantage to their -- either their incumbent customers or future customers, because, without a pricing advantage, there really isn't any relevance in the marketplace for competitive providers.
Q. Thank you. And, do you agree that the availability of an ADE, lower than the DE, could create a windfall for the competitive electric providers? Is that a potential scenario, at least in the short term?
A. I think the windfall scenario is one in which people flock to competitive providers, so they can put in their time to become eligible for the ADE. I'm not a
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really big believer in that. I think that that all depends upon how well publicized the ADE rate is by Public Service. That's a concern that l've got. Because, if they're going to put it forward, they need to do, obviously, something to get the word out. And, that could consist of a major media campaign, it could consist of sending out their flock of key account reps to pedal it to their markets. It's very, very ambiguous right now, because they have not assigned any value to it, nor have they said what their plan is. But any time you're coming into this market, whether you're a brand new entrant, or you're one that's been around for a while, you have to put a lot of resources into promoting your product, and making sure that there's an distinction understood by the marketplace as to what you're offering. So, if PSNH is going to break new ground here, which, of course, they are, then they're going to have to make sure that it is well publicized that they're doing so. And, that is a -and the effect of that will be it's hard to measure today, until we know what the scale that's going to be.
Q. Thank you. If, in the scenario that, again, the ADE is in effect, and, as a competitive supplier, you start to Iose customers to that Alternative Default Service, is
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presumably, they would wait till their contracts lapsed, and then they would have to have the information that this was a choice. The fact that it's a choice may not be provided to them by their competitive provider, for obvious reasons. So, there would have to be some sort of an advisement given them by PSNH that this was going to take place. PSNH, to my knowledge, has no information, independent information as to what the duration of independent customer contracts are. So, they would have to do a random notification on an ongoing basis to do this to make folks aware of it. I think that, so, it would take some time, a considerable amount of time for this to come home to roost, because then you have a delay, in terms of how this information is filed, accumulated, and reported. And, the impact over time certainly could be seen in a way that perhaps your migration reports now reveal what's going on with more specificity. But I think that my concern is that, by the time that information reached this body, some serious damage could be done, and the market could be -- the market could be distorted by, frankly, artificially low prices.
Q. Is the -- is 36 months enough time to see that result,
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there anything that would prevent you from implementing a similar program, for somebody who was a PNE customer, and they wish to come back, they get a lower rate. Is that a possibility or does that make sense?
A. Well, it does make sense. But my problem would be that I would -- I have to clear all my costs, then I would also have to clear some sort of a margin to justify the offering. And, in that respect, I'm not competing on a level playing field with PSNH.
Q. Thank you. You may recall, when Mr. Mullen was here, I was asking him some questions regarding the annual review. And, maybe you could help me out. One of the things, assuming we went down this road, is we would want to be looking at anti-competitive impacts of ADE. Do you have any suggestions on, if we went down that -if we were to do that, how would we measure that? How would we know that's happening? Are there some metrics we could use?
A. Well, I think that, from an absolute numerical standpoint, it would be important to quantify both the number of customers and their size that had undertaken this migration back to ADE from a competitive supplier. Most customers who are engaged in a competitive supplier relationship are under contract. So,
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## that negative result?

A. Well, I think 36 months is plenty of time to see that result. But it's also probably enough time to have some harm done to the competitive market that can't be reversed. What I'm focusing on, basically, is that the market has matured and has come a long way in ten years, with basically the helping hand of public policy, which has not reversed itself. This would be a situation in which it would be -- created a great amount of uncertainty, because then private consumers of electricity would always be of the mind that, rather than being told to migrate away from Public Service, which was what the initial intention was, I thought. They're being induced to come back. And, obviously, there being induced to come back with the Commission blessing that action.

```
                                    So, I think it creates a very, very
```

distorted and confused marketplace, where, to date, we've had a single-minded marketplace directionally, in the direction of more competition, welcoming more entrants into the market. And, I think that one thing that could possibly happen would be that marketers, if they weren't successful and saw a lot of migration, might pick up and leave and go elsewhere where this
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wasn't going on.
CMSR. SCOTT: Thank you. That's it. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.

## BY CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS:

Q. Mr. Fromuth, you've a couple of times referred to the difference between companies like yours and PSNH, that you had investors, you had people you had to answer to, regarding profit for their investment. But PSNH also has shareholders, does it not?
A. True.
Q. So, is the difference, in your mind, that some of the other costs of PSNH's operations are being picked up through the standard Default Service rate, and that dropping some of those costs out of the ADA rate -- ADE rate -- you've got me doing it now -- the ADE rate is what's unfair?
A. Well, my simple understanding of this, and I'm certainly open to being clarified, is that there is no revenue that would be forthcoming from the ADE rate that would be to the benefit of stockholders. So, it's essentially a -- hard-wired to simply be a recovery of costs that have been already expended. And, if there's an effort to try and find a way to bring those costs back in to recover the spend on the Scrubber.
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A. Well, my experience in a number of other states is that there is a trajectory that the other states are on, to a large degree. In which there is a point in the future, at some point, the hope is that there will be a end to the process of floating a standard offer. And, that all customers will eventually find a competitive provider for whom then we'll will supply their energy. That, obviously, has a model that harkens back to 15 , 20, 25 years ago with telecom. And that, of course, was something that you had to hasten on us, I say "you", I mean the Commission, had to hasten in many states because they had to go and sort of set up a -sort of a forced exit, if you will, from the host utility. And, when folks didn't respond to that, then they were simply migrated over to random suppliers, who were -- obviously, met a certain standard in the state. And, they took them on. And, at the same time as taking them on, they were, in some measure, guaranteed that the poor credit folks would pay their bills for a period of time. That is not a bad example, in my view, to what could be applied here. Now that we've got companies out there that are proving themselves, the concept is no longer innovative or new. It's in place, and it's operating, I think, in some 14 or 15 states.
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And, my comparison to that is that, when you have, obviously, a market that is full of folks, like myself, who have investors that have set up these businesses to do this, then there's a whole different metric involved in accounting for the return on one's investment. And, I don't feel that that's the case with Public Service, as it is for the private players that are in the market.
Q. You understand that the opening of this docket grew out of concerns raised in a prior docket addressing customer migration, that there was an increasingly small pool of customers left to absorb all of the fixed costs of the Company, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. And that, if competitive offerings continued to grow, as we've hoped that they do, that problem would be exacerbated, correct?
A. Yes.
Q. Do you have any experience in the other states you've worked in or your knowledge of the industry of how to get through the transition that we're seeing here, in a way that's both good for competition, with some mindfulness of the realities of those customers who are left with fewer and fewer of them to share those costs?
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That could be the way in which the credentials of those companies could be verified and ascertained. And, some standard could be applied to make sure that they were all equipped to take on migrated customers. And, the incumbent utilities all settled into a role of being a sort of pipes and wires outfit only.

Another mechanism that I think is a fair one, is that, when folks leave a competitive provider, and go back to the host utility, and that largely is done for reasons of price, and price alone. Then, that should not be something that is done without a cost to that customer. And, that customer could be induced to either rethink that move, by having to pay a re-entry fee to the host utility, or to pay a per kilowatt-hour rate in excess of the normalized rate for the duration of the time that they were back with the utility.

In this state, it's largely a non-cost
event, in fact, it's a cost advantage for many customers to return to the host when the price is advantageous to do so, if they have a contract open they can do that. That, to me, seems to be also a reversal of a good common sense policy, because, if the intent is to move customers into a total relationship, without looking back to the host utility, a total
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relationship where they're buying their power from a competitive provider, then there should be a disincentive to go back to the host. And, I know it's referred to as "gaming" here. But, you know, whether it's pejorative or not, it goes on. And, I think that that's a process that someone should be assessed a cost, and, probably, appropriately, it should be the customer.
Q. You mentioned a moment ago that there were -- I wrote down 14 or 15 states, I think you were saying that are in "some form of this transition", is that what you were saying?
A. That's correct. Yes.
Q. Do you happen to know if any of them are in the situation we are in New Hampshire, where we have something of a hybrid, of movement to competitive supply for some of our electric utilities, and not taking that step for PSNH through the Legislature?
A. I'm pretty familiar with the other scenarios in the other states. And, I have to say, this is a very unique situation.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. I have no other questions. I have a million questions, but I have no other questions now. Thank you. Appreciate it.
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don't know if people could stay a bit late to be able to work our way around the room. And, you know, if we were able to finish by 5:00, is that -- would that work for everyone? We've got a conference call starting at 5:00.
So, we would have to end by then. And, we've got a court reporter who can't go on forever.

Let's go off the record.
(Brief off-the-record discussion ensued.)
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Then,
we're back on the record. We will now then go to closing arguments. And, we'll stay a bit late to be able to finish by 5:00, when we have another commitment. If people can try to limit their remarks to 5-7 minutes, that would be helpful, in order to give a chance for everyone to have an opportunity.

Because, although it's not a total
Settlement, it's a Partial Settlement, I think what I'd
like to do is have the non-settling parties go first, then have the settling parties bearing the burden to go last.

So, l'd begin either with Mr. Rodier or
Ms. Miranda, I don't care between the two, if you have a preference among yourselves?

MR. RODIER: May Mr. Fromuth come back?
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Any redirect, Mr. Rodier?
MR. RODIER: Well, there's a lot of things l'd like to do, madam Chairman. But, in view of the hour, etcetera, etcetera, I'm very happy to just drop it right here.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: All right. Then, you're excused. Thank you, Mr. Fromuth. Well, actually, you can sit there while we figure out what we're still doing this afternoon. But you're done being a witness, so you can relax.

We have no other witnesses, as far as I know. Is there anyone I'm missing?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Seeing nothing, then do we have any procedural matters, other than addressing the exhibits?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Is there any
objection to striking the identification of the exhibits and making them full exhibits in the docket?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Seeing no objection,
then we'll do so. I had anticipated we would go to closings, oral closings at this point. It's 4:20. I
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CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Oh, certainly. MR. RODIER: Well, thank you very much. I'm going to keep my comments very brief here. I really think it's a well-tried issue, if you want to call it that, I think. All the facts are out there. So, I just want to kind of stick to the law. But I do want to begin by pointing out that Mr. Estomin -- Dr. Estomin, or whatever he is, he did say clearly that "Rate ADE is antithetical to the basic premise of industry restructuring." Now, we got a pilot program here that's on a short-term leash, but this was a very capable witness, and, you know, that was his view.

Mr. Fromuth did concede, this is sui generis, this state. And, we acknowledge that, that it sort of complicates things.

Now, one of the key issues is the
definition of "Default Service", which is -- it's
available if you would not otherwise be without a supplier, migrating customers would not otherwise be without a supplier. That's what default service is all about. If you read RSA 374-F, I won't bother with the cite. That's about provider-of-last-resort service, now that's what default service is. Default service should be designed to provide a safety net, to ensure universal
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access. That's what Default Service is. Is to make sure nobody goes without -- goes without electricity.

To make my argument as brief as possible, I'm just saying that, in this case, with Rate ADE, how can you possibly say, when the purpose of ADE is to lure customers back to PSNH, that they're otherwise without a supplier. The customer has a supplier, they're going to leave them and go to Public Service. They have a supplier. This has got -- so, ADE has got nothing to do with one of the purposes of 374-F, which is safety net, universal access.

Now, I've always felt that Rate ADE encourages the long-term use of Default Service, which would be wrong, unlawful, to encourage long-term use of Default Service. In this case here, when we get this three year pilot program, I would have to concede that, probably premature or untimely maybe to push too hard on that, but, certainly, the statute is very clear. You know, it says you "may discourage" -- "may discourage misuse". There's nothing in there that says you may "encourage long-term use".

Now, one of the arguments here, halfway through already, Rate ADE does not include the operating costs of the Scrubber. 125-0:18 says "the costs of the \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
boy, this is a really awkward citation, and I won't even give it, but it's in RSA 363-B:3, IV [369-B:3, IV?], "PSNH shall apply all" -- "shall supply all transition service and default service offered in its retail electric service territory from its generation assets and, if necessary, through supplemental power purchases." They are not -this is the law. They are not -- ADE is not being supplied through PSNH's generation assets.

Finally, one of the key points here is that there's been a couple of -- Commissioner Harrington raised this issue, and it came up again today, I think it's a fairly key aspect of this proceeding, where we've heard at least twice, maybe three times, that the law says that "as competitive markets develop, the Commission may approve alternate means of providing transition or default services." Let me continue. The Commission may do that. Which are designed to minimize customer risk, not unduly harm the development of competitive markets, and mitigate against price volatility. Those are what the purposes are. If you're going to do a alternate means here of providing default service, there's only three permissible purposes: Minimize customer risk, not unduly harm the development of competitive markets, and mitigate against price volatility. So, this is not an open-ended loophole \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}

Scrubber should be recovered", "must" or "shall be", it's mandatory, it "shall be recovered through Default Service rate". ADE is a Default Service rate. You've got to recover the costs of the Scrubber. That just doesn't mean non-operating costs, we don't have operating costs in there, because we'd have to do some allocations and analysis, okay? So, by law, I think the operating costs have to be included in that adder, as well as the non-operating costs.

Along the same line, there's nothing in there for the costs of marketing and implementation and promotion and customer service, and impact to customer service here I think is going to be large. I think there's going to be a lot of incoming calls. In any event, there is nothing in this rate, okay? And, I think that what it comes down to is the employees, they're not sitting around with nothing to do, I'm sure they're productively occupied. They're going to be transferred over to work on ADE, and they're going to be getting paid out of base rates. I think this is what Mr. Fromuth has in mind by saying, you know, this is really unfair. He's got to recover all of those costs, and Public Service isn't.

Two more things. The statute, the laws,
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that you can drive a truck through. There's very limited purposes for which you can have an alternative default service rate. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you.

## Ms. Miranda.

MS. MIRANDA: Thank you. PSNH's Alternative Default Energy rate is both inconsistent with this Commission's Order 25,230 [25,320?] and the restructuring act principles. In particular, in Order 25,230 [25,320?], the Commission indicated that the alternative Alternative Default Energy rate that would be proposed by PSNH must be cost-based, non-discriminatory, and avoid an adverse effect on competition.

First of all, it is not cost-based.
"Cost-based" is actual, prudent and reasonable costs. Well, what is the cost? PSNH testified that the marginal cost is the only cost associated with serving these customers. However, they're charging these customers for the non-operating costs of the Scrubber, a cost that they incur whether they have Rate ADE customers or not. So, it's not cost-based from that perspective. The cost associated with the Scrubber, as indicated today and earlier, is an embedded fixed cost, that applies whether there are ADE customers or not. And, it's just simply
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being reallocated to those customers. So, it's not cost-based.

The costs associated with marketing and
outreach, modifying the billing system, tracking
customers, tracking costs, none of those costs are included in Rate ADE. Now, PSNH argued "well, we're going to use our current employees. So, there's really no added cost." But every mailing that they send out, every piece of media that they do with respect to providing information to customers about Rate ADE is a cost they're not currently incurring. In addition, there are the costs associated with allocating current employees to use -- to be providing marketing and administrative services for Rate ADE that are not accounted for in the cost of Rate ADE.

In addition, they're using an average forecasted annual price. Forecast prices distort price signals to customers. And, they do not provide accurate price signals. In addition, at the last hearing day, PSNH testified that they're basing Rate ADE on forward market prices, but they're not making forward purchases. They're purchasing on the spot market. So, that the forecast is already going to be off, because they're basing that forecast on something they're not even going to purchase,
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are paying different rates. All customers are eligible to choose competitive suppliers, as was testified here today. Why should customers, who remain on competitive supply for 11 months and 29 days, pay a different rate than those who remain on competitive supply for 12 months and one day. And, in fact, as the witness for the OCA testified, that it was absolutely a non -- it was a discriminatory rate that was being imposed.

Everyone seems to say "well, yes, we understand there's potential negative effects on competition. Yes, we understand that it's a potentially discriminatory rate. But it's a pilot. So, you know, three years from now you can figure out if there was really a problem." Three years from now, as testified to today, those effects on competition will be long-term effects, where suppliers have exited the market, and you will have difficulty bringing them back, if you ever can.

The last was that Order 25,230 [25,320?]
said that it should not have a negative effect on competition. And, there will be negative customer choice effects. The stated benefit to this Rate ADE by PSNH is to mitigate migration. They have testified that it's partly to reverse migration. There's no migration back under today's circumstances, and the amount of the
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they're not making forward purchases.
There's a further distortion of the price to customers through the reconciliations. First of all, reconciliations in and of themselves distort the price the customers receive. But, in this case, PSNH is not even going to reconcile the costs to the customers that created them. They're going to violate cost causation principles and take costs associated with forecasts, inaccurate forecasts, whether they be under recoveries or over recoveries, and reconcile them back to an entirely different customer base, the Rate DE customers. Therefore, violating cost causation principles. And, further distorting the price that customers on Rate ADE are seeing from what the actual price would be.

In addition to violating the cost causation principles, inaccurate price signals distort customer behavior. Customers react to the appropriate price signal. If a customer is not given the appropriate price signal, they can't make accurate energy conservation and energy efficiency choices.

Order 25,230 [25,320?] also said that
the PSNH Alternative Default Energy rate had to be non-discriminatory. However, similarly situated customers \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
benefit, as Commissioner Harrington correctly pointed out today, is largely dependent on the amount of load that returns. If load doesn't return, there is no benefit.
So, the whole premise behind this is to reverse migration.
Therefore, by it's very nature, it's going to have a negative effect on customer choice.

In addition, as Attorney Rodier pointed out, Default Service is the -- the restructuring act calls for the discouragement of the long-term use of Default Service. And, again, the thought is "Well, it's a pilot. It's 36 months. Or, the customer can only remain on the rate for $\mathbf{2 4}$ months. Therefore, there's really no long-term use of Default Service."

However, if the long-term effects on
competition, permanent, irreversible effects on competition are felt today, or over the time of this pilot, and those suppliers don't return, what are the customer's choice? The customer's choice then is long-term use of Default Service.

In addition, it's an unnecessarily complex process. As Exhibit 15 shows in the flow chart, there are a variety of choices a customer has to make and understand before they can determine the value of the choice. Now, Section 374-F:3, III, says the customers are \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
supposed to be provided "clear price information". And, PSNH claims that Rate ADE creates a new benchmark that will benefit the competitive market. But it's not really a benchmark if a customer doesn't know which rate it will be paying, so -- or how much that rate will be. So, when a customer is coming back or potentially deciding whether to leave a competitive supplier for PSNH, it has to figure out "was it on ADE previously? For how long? How long was it on competitive supply? Is Rate ADE open? If it is open, what is that rate?" If it's closed, then the customer actually has to know what Rate DE is, because that's where they're going first, and then understand what Rate ADE will be when it reopens in the future. So, there's not really a benchmark, because they won't know that pricing for a very long time potentially into the future.

And, PSNH also claims that it's the same as an interim rate from a supplier. And, as was testified here today, that is not true. Customers who are choosing an introductory rate from a supplier will -- are not required to stay out on PSNH service for some particular time, required to be on a competitive supply for some particular time. They know what rate they're going to be charged after the introductory rate is over. They just do \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
the parties liked our witness and found him credible. And, I would say that it's on the basis of his testimony that the OCA entered into this Settlement Agreement. Residential customers will be better off under the terms of this Settlement Agreement than they would be without it.

It is important to note that this is a
stopgap measure. We are not saying that this is a pure policy implementation that should go on for indefinitely. We have a situation where residential customers are the last to migrate. That's consistent everywhere that competition has been introduced. It's only recently, perhaps within a year, that residential customers have had any options at all. And, so, the fact that they have not switched has certainly not been their choice up to this point.

Now, all of the policy arguments that have been made today assume full information, a sophisticated customer, market analysis. This may be true for large industrial customers, it may be true for some small commercial customers; it simply is not true for residential customers.

Residential customers have been directed by law to be the source -- or, the default customers have \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
not need to know as much information to make a decision about an introductory rate. And, lastly, the restructuring act, in particular Section 374-F:3, II, says that "customers should be responsible for the consequences of their choices."

However, through Rate ADE, what's happening is, DE customers, who decide not to make a choice, are benefiting from over recoveries from customers who do make a choice, and they're paying for under recoveries for those same customers. So, Rate DE customers are not taking the consequence of their own choice not to choose, and, to the extent ADE results in an under recovery, they're paying that cost, even though they chose not to go on competitive supply.

Thus, we ask that -- RESA asks that the Commission deny PSNH's request for approval of Rate ADE, not approve the Settlement Agreement that's been put forth, and send PSNH back to the drawing board, to come up with a alternative rate that is not counter to the restructuring act principles and satisfies the requirements of Order 25,230 [25,320?]. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Office of Consumer Advocate.

MS. CHAMBERLIN: Thank you. I'm glad \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
been directed by law to be the source of recovery for these rather large fixed costs. That is not a policy that can withstand scrutiny. It happened over time, it happened through a coincidence of events, but it cannot last. And, I fully expect that actions will overtake this Rate ADE, that this will not be a permanent rate. This is simply something to put into place, protect customers, residential customers a little bit more during the transition. There needs to be a final settlement of these issues that is simply outside the purview of this particular case.

There was a Rate ADE that was proposed previously. The Commission had some concerns with it. This proposal addresses those concerns. I would be happy if this rate was very temporary, that we did other things that would move the market forward. But that takes time. There's regulatory lag. There's -- we have a new legislature coming in, a new governor, all sorts of things are going on. Whatever's going to happen is not going to happen as fast as January 1, which is when this rate will take effect. And, even if one customer takes it, for whatever reason, that's a little bit of a benefit to the residential customers, because they have not switched.

Now, it may, as Mr. Rodier has pointed
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out, cause residential customers to go get a supplier, because they will now suddenly have knowledge about their
options that they didn't have before. It's not -- it
doesn't unduly burden competition. It is not a purely competitive rate, as has been pointed out, but it's not an undue burden to protect residential customers during the transition. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Ms. Amidon.

MS. AMIDON: Thank you. Staff
participated in the development of the Partial Settlement Agreement. And, we believe it is a just and reasonable resolution of the issues it addresses, and it is in the public interest. We also believe that the Commission can enact this rate pursuant to the authority to approve an alternate means of providing default service, under RSA 374-F:3, V(e).

As you know the one issue that we disagree is the -- with the Company is the term of service for the rate. Staff recommends a 12-month term of service for the myriad reasons it referred to in its testimony, and I won't repeat them here. I will say, though, in balance, we believe that the concerns we have that support the 12-month term of service outweighs any rationale \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}

In response to the Commission's concern, PSNH did offer redesigned Rate ADE. And, in its testimony, Staff agreed that the adjustments provided by -- proposed by PSNH, in fact, address the Commission's concerns, and the OCA just a few months ago agreed. Staff, the Company, the OCA presented a settlement of most of the terms relevant to the implementation of Rate ADE, in line with the revised proposal of the Commission, subject to some minor amendments, and PSNH believes that this Settlement presents a fair and reasonable means to implement a new rate, and that the Settlement should be affirmed.

As to the one issue outstanding under the Settlement, the length of the term of service, testimony presented, we believe, showed the 24-month term is the more appropriate choice, because it effectively balances the interests and benefiting customers remaining on Rate DE, with the interest in recovering costs to provide service. And, as Dr. Estomin testified, 24-month term of service provides more benefits and prevents -- and presents a term of service more attractive to customers.

The 12 months proposed by Staff, while appealing, perhaps, insofar as it might sound right, is simply too short to fully recognize the full benefits of \{DE 11-216\} [Day 2] \{11-26-12\}
advanced by the Company to support a 24-month term of service. And, we request that the Commission approve our recommended 12-month term of service. Thank you. CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Mr.
Fossum.
MR. FOSSUM: Thank you. The Commission itself laid the groundwork for the proposal that was presented by the Partial Settlement Agreement in this docket. More than a year ago, in Order 25,256, in docket DE 10-160, the Commission concluded, consistent with RSA 374-F, that it is "reasonable that PSNH be allowed to charge customers who return to its Default Service an alternative Default Service rate reflecting the marginal cost to serve that load." The Commission then outlined various considerations that would be accounted for in that rate, and directed PSNH to file tariffs and supporting documentation to support that rate.

Consistent with the directive of the
Commission and its conclusion that an alternative Default Service rate for returning customers is reasonable, PSNH presented its initial proposal for Rate ADE. However, not agreeing with the first proposal for Rate ADE, the Commission did reaffirm its earlier decision to require a proposed alternative default rate.
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the rate.
As to the issues beyond the Settlement, first, I did want to point out that there was a prior motion to dismiss this filing, as contrary to various provisions of RSA 374-F, RSA 369-B, and RSA 378, and the Commission denied that motion. As noted in PSNH's objection to that motion, this rate has been proposed consistent with multiple Commission orders produced over more than a year, and none of those orders have been the subject of a motion for rehearing or appeal by those who now seek to have the Commission discard it. The Commission has consistently reaffirmed that it directed PSNH to create this rate, and it should not now entertain arguments, such as the one Mr. Rodier just made, that the rate is unlawful on its face.

I'd also like to point out that the adoption and implementation of the ADE is supported by the restructuring law. RSA 374-F:1, as the Commission has heard, states clearly that the most compelling reason to restructure is to "reduce costs to customers". To the extent that customers avail themselves of Rate ADE, will be because they perceive it as beneficial to reducing their costs. And, if customers perceive the rate is beneficial and migrate to it, that migration may incent
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other suppliers to find a way of reducing costs to their customers. In this way, Rate ADE will be directly serving the purpose of the law, to reduce costs.

Importantly, customers are not required
to take or remain on Rate ADE, and may depart for competitive supply, just as customers do on Rate DE. And, if customers believe that there is a way to reduce their costs by switching suppliers, they are still free to do so. In this way provides another choice to customers, as anticipated by the Legislature in RSA 374-F:3, II, where it noted that "allowing customers to choose among electricity suppliers will help ensure fully competitive and innovative markets."

Further, customers returning to Rate ADE would be contributing to PSNH's fixed costs and providing a means to spread costs over a wider base of customers, plus benefiting the customers who remain on Rate DE.

I would also point out that RSA 374-F promotes the development of default service appropriate to the circumstances of each utility. Rate ADE is another option for customers to examine in determining their energy needs, and allows PSNH to provide a default service appropriate to its particular circumstances.

I would also note that, in the
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contract binding customers to ADD -- ADE, and no penalties for returning to competitive supply. In such
circumstances, there is simply no perceptible harm to competition, and it is just another offering in the marketplace, and one that customers are free not to take.

For these reasons, we request the
Commission accept and approve the Partial Settlement Agreement that was filed here, and set the term of service at 24 months, and permit PSNH to implement the rate as soon as possible.

CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Thank you. Thank you for everyone's attention in providing very succinct closings. We appreciate that after a long afternoon. Unless there's anything further?
(No verbal response)
CHAIRMAN IGNATIUS: Seeing nothing from
my colleagues and from any parties, we will take all of this under advisement, and issue an order as soon as we can. Thank you. We're adjourned.
(Whereupon the hearing ended at 4:53 p.m.)
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Commission's last order in this docket, it referenced provisions of RSA 369-B:3, IV(b)(1) as applying here. In that statute, in RSA 369-B:3, IV(b)(1)(A), it provides that PSNH is to supply default service to recover on its generation assets in a manner approved by the Commission, and ADE does precisely that. Redesigned Rate ADE, as it is in the Settlement Agreement, provides a Default Service offering allowing PSNH to recover on its generation assets, and does so in a manner acceptable to the Commission, because it addresses the Commission's concerns, as expressed in its orders and in Docket 10-160.

As to some of the arguments about the introduction of Rate ADE being harmful to competition, I point out, as did Ms. Chamberlin a few months ago, that an alternative default service offering is appropriate under RSA 374-F:3, V(e), if it -- yes, under (e), if it "does not unduly harm the development of competitive markets", and the term "unduly" is indeed a meaningful term there. By offering Rate ADE, PSNH is providing another option to the marketplace, based on its costs, including the non-operating costs of the Scrubber.

No customers are compelled to take service on Rate ADE. Customers remain free to leave Rate ADE and return to competitive supply. There are no
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assigned [5] 14/6 81/19 83/16 108/20 121/9
assigning [1] 51/17
associated [9] 39/6 47/21 49/21 119/9
136/17 136/22 137/3 137/12 138/8
Association [3] 1/15 5/2 47/14
assume [8] 27/13 40/14 41/24 51/5 57/21
73/8 74/19 143/18
assumes [2] 22/2 42/5
assuming [10] 5/21 19/24 24/5 58/14
72/12 73/4 73/13 83/18 95/6 122/13
assumption [1] 63/20
at [125] 5/23 7/14 7/22 7/24 8/15 8/18 9/10 9/11 9/14 11/1 11/23 13/1 13/8 13/12 13/14 13/16 13/19 14/12 15/9 15/21 16/3 20/11 22/20 28/4 29/12 32/16 33/2 34/6 38/5 41/6 44/9 44/20 48/11 50/2 52/5 55/4 57/4 57/12 57/16 57/22 58/15 60/2 61/11 61/12 61/17
62/5 62/9 64/4 65/15 66/9 66/9 66/11 67/3

| A | basically [15] 22/13 31/19 31/22 32/1 39/3 57/4 58/13 60/1 61/7 69/16 87/10 116/17 118/17 124/5 124/7 | bet [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| at... [72] 68/6 68/12 68/18 68/19 68/24 |  | 18/19 20/17 26/11 26/15 26/17 50/22 56/16 |
|  | basing [2] 137/20 137/23 <br> basis [25] 7/22 7/24 11/7 13/13 21/20 |  |
|  |  | between [7] 7/4 36/24 64/23 69/3 78/5 |
| 73/12 74/1 74/15 75/22 77/17 77/24 78/21 | basis [25] 7/22 7/24 11/7 13/13 21/20 21/23 34/12 34/16 56/21 61/4 62/8 71/1 71/8 71/9 71/15 72/6 73/11 79/3 79/16 109/23 114/1 115/24 119/17 123/11 143/2 Bay [2] 41/11 41/15 | 125/6 131/22 |
| 79/5 79/9 80/19 80/20 81/11 83/4 83/11 |  | beyond [1] $148 / 2$ |
| 9 84/15 84/19 85/12 85/13 86/9 87/8 |  |  |
| 9 95/7 96/23 97/10 103/18 105/6 107 |  |  |
|  | be [314] |  |
| 8 117/15 118/3 118/23 119/5 | bearing [2] 56/9 131/2 | bills [1] 127/19 |
|  | leat [1] $38 / 3$ | binding [1] $151 / 1$ bit $[8] 53 / 567 / 695 / 14112 / 9$ 131/1 131/12 |
| 131/4 135/13 137/19 143/14 151/9 |  | 144/8 144/22 |
|  | because [60] 8/5 10/20 19/22 23/14 30/14 |  |
|  | 33/17 36/8 38/22 39/1 39/14 39/18 43/11 | blandishment [1] 42/10 |
|  |  |  |
|  | \| 57/23 61/1 61/23 74/19 76/8 79/4 82/12 |  |
| ention [1] 151/1 |  |  |
| rney | 110/17 111/9 113/1 113/4 115/20 115/22$115 / 22$ 116/18 118/21 119/3 119/8 120/15 |  |
|  |  |  |
| active [2] 17/18 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{llll} 121 / 4 & 121 / 9 & 123 / 14 & 124 / 10 \\ 13127 / 12 & 128 / 22 \\ 131 / 17 & 137 / 23 & 141 / 11 & 141 / 14 \\ 144 / 23 \end{array}\right.$ |  |
|  |  |  |
| ributes [1] 97/15 | 145/2 147/16 148/22 150/10 | both [11] 27/7 37/7 57/14 59/16 60/14 |
| Atty [3] 11/4 11/4 98 | become [3] 12/22 119/12 120/24 becomes [1] 68/11 <br> been [48] 5/18 5/19 6/10 12/16 12/24 | 66/14 71/2 114/3 122/20 126/22 136/7 |
| GUST [6] 2/10 3/5 8 |  |  |
|  |  | bottom [5] 72/2 75/3 97/9 97/10 99/24 box [6] 53/9 54/8 54/8 55/18 55/19 56/19 |
| authority [5] 80/6 96/18 104/8 104/24 145/15 | $\left\|\begin{array}{l} \text { been }[48] 5 / 185 / 196 / 1012 / 1612 / 24 \\ 20 / 2428 / 23 \\ 32 / 12 \\ 39 / 15 \\ 40 / 1941 / 2246 / 11 \end{array}\right\|$ |  |
| authorization [1] 14/2 |  |  |
|  | 56/12 57/1 57/2 57/13 57/14 81/22 83/18 84/16 91/12 91/19 96/24 103/17 108/20 117/5 118/6 118/6 120/6 121/12 125/22 |  |
| availability [3] 14/3 114/23 120/18 |  |  |
|  | 117/5 118/6 118/6 120/6 121/12 125/22 |  |
| 3 105/8 105/21 113/17 114/9 117/12 | 143/23 144/1 145/5 148/7 148/9 before [20] 4/15 6/3 6/13 18/9 26/10 55/2 |  |
|  |  |  |
| rage [1] 137/16 <br> id [7] 17/12 17/14 18/14 19/3 19/15 | 66/11 73/21 76/20 78/9 80/17 85/18 89/11$90 / 18$ 94/18 94/22 113/14 113/16 140/23 |  |
|  |  |  |
| ids [2] 19/18 |  | brings [2]broadcast [1] 108/13broken [1] $51 / 3$ |
| are [10] 42/15 44/6 44/7 50/9 65/8 |  |  |
|  | beginning [8] 71/17 72/9 72/17 74/16 781 | broken [1] $51 / 3$ |
| away [4] 91/8 91/9 91/23 124/12 | 113/8 117/4 188/3 |  |
|  | begins [3] 28/2 75/2 97/18 behalf [5] 4/18 5/1 47/13 88/13 96/14 | built [1] 91/17 |
|  |  | bulk [1] 14/19 |
|  | behavior [2] 107/6 138/18 behind [1] 140/4 |  |
|  | being [37] 7/22 8/5 8/10 8/14 8/23 15/24 17/13 20/21 24/10 36/13 39/5 40/6 40/23 | business [6] 57/11 57/21 59/17 92/8 110/7 |
|  | lith 20/21 24/10 36/13 39/5 40/6 40/23 | businesses [1] 126 |
|  | 91/10 94/18 107/8 109/10 109/11 109/22 |  |
| 54/2 54/6 54/7 54/15 55/15 56/8 56/9 57/13 | 3 124/12 124/14 124/15 125/12 125/18 128/5 | 21/7 23/11 23/21 25/4 31/1 32/6 34/9 36/22 |
| 59/12 62/18 62/24 63/17 64/23 65/18 | 130/9 135/7 137/1 139/8 150/13belabor [1] 41/7 | 40/2 42/15 43/8 44/3 45/9 45/18 48/8 48//11$53 / 5$$56 / 13$$56 / 2457 / 19$$58 / 158 / 8$$58 / 21$ |
|  |  |  |
| 72/1 74/10 75/17 75/21 75/23 76/6 7 | belief [5] 86/12 107/1 107/3 109/8 114/2 | 59/3 59/14 62/3 62/18 64/8 65/5 65/9 66/5 |
| 76/18 76/19 7/12 78/1 80/21 81/181/2 | believe [29] 4/10 16/12 16/13 17/21 23/2 | 59/3 59/14 62/3 62/18 64/8 65/5 65/966/5 |
| 析 | 28/3 28/8 31/13 32/4 37/22 41/10 46/13$50 / 1362 / 1364 / 1566 / 18$$73 / 19$80/3 | 79/9 80/10 82/20 83/10 84/5 84/885/2 89/1 |
| 82/21 83/7 83/17 83/20 83/24 84/3 84/7 |  | 89/8800/20 91/1592/4 103/4 103/9 107/14 |
| 84/4 | 96/12 97/17 99/12 107/17 110/17 145/12 |  |
| /4 106/16 122/3 122/22 124/14 124/1 | 145/14 145/23 147/15 149/7 | 108/10 109/14 110/22 114/1 115/4 115/9 |
| 27/8 128/9 128/16 128/24 129/3 |  | 121/11 122/5 123/19 124/3 125/8 129/4 |
| 1 |  |  |
| 141/6 142/18 |  | 135/2 137/8 137/21 138/5 139/12 141/3 |
| back-and-forth [2] | believes [2] 106/18 147/9 <br> below [10] 9/13 14/10 14/12 14/12 65/9 <br> 91/5 108/1 111/16 115/5 118/5 | 144/4 144/16 145 |
|  |  |  |
| 23 67/24 68/10 115/16 127/20 | 91/5 108/1 111/16 115/5 118/5benchmark [4] 117/19 141/2 141/4 141/14benchmarked [1] 118/7 | bu |
|  |  |  |
| ball [3] 64/10 64/24 65/12 <br> base [9] 40/6 40/10 40/14 40/23 52/21 93/12 134/20 138/11 149/16 based [24] 22/11 34/15 34/15 35/1 45/5 51/12 55/11 55/20 61/13 61/17 62/24 101/21 101/23 103/8 107/4 109/9 109/11 112/14 136/12 136/14 136/15 136/21 137/2 150/20 basic [2] 49/10 132/9 | benchmarked [1] 118/7 <br> beneficial [2] 148/22 148/24 <br> benefit [19] 20/9 37/11 53/1 58/3 58/10 | C |
|  | 59/7 61/10 62/1 68/23 82/18 82/20 83/13 84/15 125/20 139/21 140/1 140/3 141/3 144/22 <br> benefited [1] 52/5 <br> benefiting [4] 58/22 142/8 147/17 149/17 benefits [6] 17/8 19/5 20/3 21/24 147/20 147/24 best [3] 84/4 86/12 105/12 | calculated [6] 14/22 22/11 34/14 62/4 <br> 70/11 95/9 <br> calculation 77 38/9 52/1 62/7 73/14 102/7 <br> 112/22 117/20 <br> calculations [1] 108/9 <br> calendar [1] $73 / 12$ <br> calibrate $[1]$ 117/19 <br> call [2] 131/4 132/4 |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
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curve [1] 118/7
customer [93] 7/13 8/7 8/23 10/5 10/10
12/22 12/24 15/8 15/10 15/18 16/11 18/8 22/6 22/20 22/22 22/23 23/23 25/1 28/2 28/15 38/20 40/19 40/22 41/15 43/11 48/18 48/19 50/3 50/6 50/14 50/15 50/20 52/21 53/9 53/12 53/16 53/24 54/5 55/21 60/7 62/23 63/16 64/1 64/7 64/13 65/11 68/6 68/8 68/13 75/11 82/5 82/6 82/14 91/17 93/7 94/7 95/3 95/6 98/19 99/3 99/10 99/19 105/13 105/16 106/1 106/7 106/10 106/12 106/23 114/21 122/2 123/9 126/11 128/12 128/12 129/8 133/7 134/12 134/12 135/17 135/22 138/11 138/18 138/19 139/20 140/6 140/11 140/22 141/4 141/6 141/11 143/19 144/21
customer's [2] 140/18 140/18
customers [167]
D
daily [1] 114/1
damage [1] 123/21
data [2] 38/8 38/13
date [3] 30/5 30/7 124/18
dates [1] 74/7
day[13] 1/4 15/13 23/20 68/18 69/11 69/12 72/11 93/9 94/1 108/19 118/3 137/19 139/5
days [1] 139/4
DE [55] 1/6 4/3 10/11 14/6 14/10 14/13 15/6 16/10 19/19 19/21 19/24 20/10 24/14 35/20 36/23 37/8 42/4 45/2 48/9 48/18
49/16 52/9 52/15 56/8 57/18 58/4 59/6 59/7
59/21 60/1 62/1 71/5 71/7 71/23 74/2 81/15
81/20 82/2 82/5 83/2 94/10 101/22 102/7
103/8 112/14 113/11 120/19 138/11 141/11
142/7 142/10 146/10 147/18 149/6 149/17
deadline [1] 74/4
deal [3] 53/17 94/15 108/13
dealing [1] 53/10
decade [1] 91/13
December [4] 72/12 72/16 73/4 79/18 decent [1] 78/19
decide [3] 59/16 76/18 142/7
decided [1] 53/16
deciding [1] 141/6
decision [9] 10/9 10/21 30/18 60/3 75/1
84/11 87/9 142/1 146/23
decision-making [1] 75/1
decisions [4] 11/24 74/22 93/17 93/17 decrease [3] 13/24 14/2 48/15
deems [2] 37/18 37/24
deep [3] 109/12 110/17 115/15
default [121] $1 / 77 / 2$ 14/5 15/10 24/2 24/7
24/9 24/15 24/20 25/2 25/3 33/18 33/22
35/4 35/9 35/10 35/13 35/18 35/21 36/10
36/13 36/15 36/19 36/21 36/23 37/4 37/12 37/14 37/18 37/23 37/24 38/2 49/14 49/22
49/22 50/9 50/16 50/16 50/17 50/23 51/21
51/23 52/2 52/4 52/9 52/11 53/2 53/11 54/4
58/4 58/22 60/6 61/18 62/21 62/22 63/23
64/1 65/1 65/4 69/11 69/16 74/23 76/9
76/11 77/14 79/1 79/10 79/13 82/18 83/16
83/16 84/5 84/9 91/10 92/7 100/1 100/15
100/22 101/2 104/9 104/10 104/18 104/24
105/7 105/20 106/18 113/11 114/8 121/24
125/13 132/17 132/20 132/23 132/23 133/1 133/13 133/15 134/2 134/3 135/4 135/15
135/21 136/2 136/7 136/11 138/23 140/8
140/9 140/13 140/19 143/24 145/16 146/12
146/13 146/19 146/24 149/19 149/22 150/4 150/7 150/15
deferred [1] 60/9
defines [1] 105/20
definition [1] 132/17
definitions [2] 101/20 105/20
degree [1] 127/3
delay [2] 74/11 123/14
denied [2] 45/23 148/6
Deno [1] 1/11
deny [1] 142/16
depart [1] 149/5
department [1] 42/16
depend [6] 15/9 15/9 29/12 40/2 40/3 58/13
dependent [2] 91/10 140/2
depending [7] 10/5 24/10 39/19 40/24 48/10 48/14 67/18
depends [5] 40/7 40/8 43/17 71/14 121/2
depicts [1] 10/4
deploy [1] 39/14
deployed [1] 39/22
describe [2] 72/3 111/14
described [5] 11/12 13/10 55/2 86/20 92/3
describes [1] 78/3
description [1] 13/9
design [4] 30/11 31/8 100/14 100/20
designed [4] 60/7 74/16 132/24 135/17
desirable [1] 114/18
desire [1] 111/5
despite [1] 17/21
detailed [1] 109/24
determine [4] 46/17 69/12 94/16 140/23
determines [2] 35/15 60/10
determining [1] 149/21
detrimental [1] 68/11
develop [7] 30/11 31/7 45/3 49/18 60/5 61/14 135/14
developed [3] 45/11 46/16 52/22
developing [1] 58/8
development [9] 60/8 60/18 61/19 99/3
135/18 135/23 145/11 149/19 150/17 diagram [2] 10/4 53/4
did [33] 9/7 26/24 27/1 27/23 55/20 65/23
70/16 70/17 73/2 86/3 86/5 87/13 87/15
94/3 94/6 97/13 97/14 101/24 102/1 102/7
102/9 104/20 104/22 105/10 106/21 106/22
132/8 132/13 144/15 146/23 147/2 148/3
150/14
didn't [6] 23/21 27/21 34/18 82/7 127/14 145/3
difference [8] 7/4 11/22 43/14 64/23 78/5 112/16 125/6 125/11
different [22] 16/16 16/17 20/13 23/12
31/24 44/24 59/22 60/15 61/16 68/15 68/16
94/4 96/20 101/20 112/12 116/24 119/6
119/17 126/4 138/11 139/1 139/4
difficulty [1] 139/17
direct [12] 2/5 2/11 4/5 4/9 5/16 6/18
12/18 18/1 20/20 58/11 86/1 107/17
directed [4] 143/23 144/1 146/16 148/12
direction [2] 13/7 124/20
directionally [1] 124/19
directive [1] 146/18
directly [5] 61/21 87/18 88/2 100/12 149/2
Director [2] 96/6 96/17
disadvantage [4] 111/12 116/2 119/8 119/11
disagree [1] 145/19
disagreement [2] 16/22 17/3
discard [1] 148/11
disclosures [1] 108/22
discount [1] 20/4
discounted [5] 17/13 100/1 100/15 100/22

## 101/1

discourage [2] 133/19 133/19
discouragement [1] 140/9
discovery [2] 9/23 89/17
discriminatory [4] 136/12 138/24 139/7
139/12
discuss [1] 30/7
discussed [4] 72/6 74/2 106/24 117/9
discussion [6] 34/5 39/2 92/18 112/10
115/12 131/8
discussions [1] 30/9
disincentive [1] 129/3
dismiss [2] 45/23 148/4
distinction [1] 121/15
distort [3] 137/17 138/4 138/17
distorted [2] 123/22 124/18
distorting [1] 138/13
distortion [1] 138/2
distribution [1] 40/15
district [1] 41/12
divestiture [1] 99/13
do [116] 4/16 5/15 9/24 10/2 15/16 15/20
16/4 16/6 16/10 16/23 17/6 17/17 20/3 23/2
23/23 26/9 27/4 27/5 28/21 29/10 30/2 32/3
32/7 34/24 38/17 39/8 41/14 42/12 42/23
42/23 43/2 44/14 44/14 45/9 45/20 46/24
54/7 54/9 57/3 58/6 59/17 59/22 60/19 61/5

62/6 63/12 63/21 64/15 65/13 65/19 65/22
66/5 66/22 68/17 68/19 68/19 71/3 74/15
76/22 81/7 81/12 83/4 83/8 84/14 85/6 86/6 86/7 88/5 88/7 90/13 90/24 95/7 95/10 96/17 96/19 97/6 97/8 99/8 99/9 100/1 104/12 104/14 109/19 110/15 114/11 116/20 116/23 117/21 120/18 121/5 122/15 122/16 123/10 123/11 126/4 126/16 126/19 128/20 128/21 129/14 130/3 130/15 130/23 131/19 132/6 133/9 134/6 134/17 135/16
135/20 137/9 137/18 141/24 142/9 149/6 149/8
doable [1] 78/17
docket [21] 4/3 5/19 6/21 43/23 43/24 44/7 45/2 45/18 49/16 73/16 73/19 96/9 96/23 115/1 126/9 126/10 130/20 146/9 146/9 150/1 150/11
dockets [3] 78/23 79/1 79/8
document [3] 11/4 11/12 86/20
documentation [1] 146/17
does [67] 12/22 13/23 14/13 14/16 14/24
15/2 16/7 19/18 20/8 21/19 25/6 33/19
34/20 35/6 37/10 37/13 37/20 38/10 45/7
45/8 47/7 47/9 51/24 54/11 54/17 54/24
55/14 55/15 55/23 58/20 61/8 68/19 79/13 81/5 86/9 86/23 87/4 87/5 92/4 96/20 98/8 98/13 99/2 99/5 99/7 99/22 102/10 104/8 105/12 105/15 107/9 107/10 110/21 111/6 112/23 113/13 116/13 116/18 118/5 118/24 122/4 122/5 125/9 133/23 150/6 150/9 150/16
doesn't [15] 30/24 31/1 32/21 58/1 61/19
70/7 71/9 74/4 106/6 106/10 116/1 134/4
140/3 141/4 145/4
doing [14] 39/15 39/15 40/7 51/16 64/9
69/14 81/23 82/4 91/19 114/4 119/18
121/19 125/15 130/9
don't [52] 4/11 12/11 19/2 25/9 27/8 27/12
27/13 30/22 36/6 36/8 36/14 38/14 38/22
40/19 40/19 43/24 44/20 44/22 50/13 51/21
53/17 54/3 58/8 60/22 60/23 62/13 62/14
63/1 63/11 66/15 72/21 75/14 75/14 85/7
88/2 92/17 93/21 97/22 102/19 103/4 106/3
110/4 114/5 116/14 118/20 118/24 118/24
126/6 131/1 131/22 134/5 140/17
done [17] 41/24 58/9 58/10 68/10 70/10
70/24 71/5 71/8 73/12 73/19 81/22 83/18
123/21 124/4 128/10 128/11 130/9
door [1] 41/9
double [1] 109/1
down [16] 29/6 37/3 51/4 52/10 54/10
54/21 64/2 99/1 104/17 109/1 109/2 113/17
122/13 122/15 129/10 134/16
Dr [1] 17/17
Dr. [3] 16/3 132/7 147/19
Dr. Estomin [3] 16/3 132/7 147/19
draw [1] 113/17
drawing [1] 142/18
drive [1] 136/1
drop [1] 130/4
dropping [1] 125/14
Dubuque [1] 41/10
duly [1] 85/20
duration [2] 123/9 128/15
during [9] 8/17 14/3 34/6 52/16 52/16
79/17 93/20 144/8 145/6
dynamic [1] 55/11
E
each [7] 30/16 41/9 71/15 82/14 104/20
117/20 149/20
earlier [10] 44/18 49/16 57/24 68/2 71/19
79/22 87/6 96/9 136/23 146/23
easier [1] 10/20
economic [1] 114/4
edgewise [1] 30/20
education [2] 42/1 43/5
effect [18] 21/16 21/19 21/22 22/8 55/24
60/19 60/21 61/15 72/13 73/13 79/15
117/12 121/20 121/23 136/13 139/19 140/6
144/21
effectively [2] 120/7 147/16
effects [6] 139/10 139/15 139/16 139/21
140/14 140/15
efficiency [2] 93/17 138/21
effort [1] 125/23
efforts [2] 74/15 116/11
either [11] 15/16 19/8 24/14 24/15 26/14
36/24 78/4 100/6 120/14 128/13 131/21
elaborate [1] 113/13
elaborating [1] 107/16
elect [1] 106/19
electric [8] 55/21 97/20 98/10 114/14
120/8 120/20 129/17 135/4
electricity [22] 21/12 21/17 21/23 22/9
48/5 48/8 55/13 97/21 98/12 98/16 105/8
105/10 105/21 105/23 106/1 114/8 114/10
115/20 118/18 124/11 133/2 149/12
element [3] 99/10 99/20 110/1
elements [1] 99/4
eligibility [12] 7/11 12/21 18/3 18/7 19/1 20/12 42/7 75/6 76/20 76/24 77/4 77/9 eligible [16] 7/12 8/7 12/22 43/9 54/12 57/7 64/2 74/20 75/11 76/1 76/2 80/17 94/18 94/23 120/24 139/1
else [8] 6/7 39/24 45/15 50/10 61/6 84/23
113/15 118/23
elsewhere [2] 116/11 124/24
embedded [1] 136/23
employed [1] 39/5
employees [3] 134/16 137/7 137/12
enable [1] 114/21
enact [1] 145/15
encourage [3] 104/9 133/14 133/21
encourages [1] 133/13
end [24] 8/15 9/11 22/23 23/4 23/17 30/13
32/16 33/2 68/18 68/19 68/20 69/4 69/11
69/12 77/18 80/7 84/19 87/17 90/1 95/7
116/6 119/5 127/5 131/5
end-user [1] 119/5
ended [2] 135/24 151/20
ending [1] 8/11
ends [1] 22/21
energy [40] 1/7 1/15 5/2 7/2 25/2 25/3
25/4 47/14 49/14 52/2 59/18 70/11 87/4
87/5 88/10 88/12 91/11 91/21 93/5 93/17
93/22 96/11 97/4 101/15 106/21 108/10
114/22 115/4 115/5 117/23 118/9 118/23
119/2 127/7 136/7 136/11 138/20 138/21
138/23 149/22
engaged [1] 122/23
England [2] 87/14 89/8
enhance [1] 44/16
enhancements [3] 43/21 44/5 44/12
enormous [1] 109/2
enough [5] 41/5 89/19 114/7 123/24 124/3
enroll [1] 108/17
ensued [1] 131/9
ensure [6] 18/11 20/3 21/24 50/3 132/24
149/12
enter [1] 91/4
entered [2] 107/20 143/3
entering [2] 101/12 111/4
entertain [1] 148/13
entire [2] 8/17 22/3
entirely [1] 138/11
entity [5] 87/17 96/18 97/1 110/21 115/14
entrant [1] 121/12
entrants [1] 124/21

## E

entry [3] 87/19 111/2 128/13
environment [1] 110/3
equation [1] 118/3
equilibrium [1] 84/17
equipped [1] 128/4
equivalent [1] 110/20
erroneous [3] 107/2 107/3 107/8
erroneously [1] 106/18
errors [1] 86/8
especially [4] 8/9 20/8 52/16 52/18
Esq [6] 1/12 1/14 1/15 1/16 1/17 1/19
essence [1] 33/21
essentially [11] 7/4 10/9 14/21 18/3 20/13
24/3 25/5 49/23 91/11 108/16 125/21
establish [3] 90/1 104/18 104/24
established [2] 49/11 61/24
estimate [1] 83/8
estimated [3] 101/23 112/15 112/17
Estomin [5] 16/2 16/3 132/7 132/7 147/19 Estomin's [1] 17/17
etcetera [6] 33/9 112/24 112/24 113/3
130/4 130/4
evaluate [2] 73/17 77/21
evaluated [2] 31/18 32/20
evaluating [1] 78/11
evaluation [1] 32/2
even [15] 14/12 48/18 60/13 74/19 76/9
77/24 78/9 82/9 100/3 115/4 135/1 137/24
138/6 142/13 144/21
event [2] 128/18 134/15
events [1] 144/4
eventually [1] 127/6
ever [1] 139/17
every [7] 23/11 75/16 81/6 110/24 117/23
137/8 137/8
everybody [5] 55/23 56/2 114/16 118/4
118/23
everyone [6] 5/11 6/2 89/16 131/4 131/15

## 139/9

everyone's [1] 151/12
everything [1] 69/6
everywhere [1] 143/11
evidence [2] 4/4 4/16
evident [1] 91/19
exacerbated [1] 126/17
exactly [4] 41/11 54/24 96/5 107/21
examination [19] $2 / 5$ 2/5 2/6 2/6 2/7 2/11
2/11 2/12 4/9 4/10 5/12 5/17 6/18 12/9
12/14 47/19 48/3 86/1 93/1
examine [1] 149/21
$\begin{array}{llll} \\ \text { example [7] } & 10 / 7 & 12 / 3 & 70 / 12 \\ \text { 87/23 106/12 }\end{array}$
114/17 127/20
excerpt [1] 27/19
excess [1] 128/15
excessively [1] 20/5
exclude [1] $31 / 2$
exclusive [1] 87/11
exclusively [1] 89/1
excuse [5] 16/3 46/3 46/5 92/19 102/21
excused [2] 85/9 130/7
exhibit [17] 3/3 5/20 9/10 10/16 10/17
10/23 11/9 11/13 46/4 46/6 66/16 70/12
70/14 70/15 86/18 86/21 140/21
Exhibit 16 [1] 86/18
Exhibit 7 [1] 70/12
Exhibit 9 [2] 5/20 9/10
exhibits [3] 130/16 130/19 130/20
exist [5] 25/6 38/22 38/23 107/9 107/10
existence [2] 38/24 39/1
existing [2] 29/13 40/5
exists [2] 25/5 77/15
exit [1] 127/13
exited [1] 139/16
expansive [2] 109/4 115/10
expect [3] 50/7 50/14 144/5
expected [1] 64/22
expended [1] 125/22
expense [1] 52/5
experience [2] 126/19 127/1
expires [1] 95/4
explain [5] 14/16 20/22 53/23 76/10
102/20
explanation [1] 72/4
explicitly [1] 30/24
explored [1] 106/4
exploring [1] 44/13
expressed [2] 43/20 150/11
extend [3] 21/24 33/3 77/19
extended [5] 17/13 17/15 20/4 20/5 21/2
extent [8] 28/23 30/12 39/6 61/9 72/18
74/21 142/12 148/21
extra [3] 82/15 82/17 84/8
F
F:1 [5] 97/16 97/18 98/8 98/24 148/18
F:2 [2] 105/19 114/7
F:3 [9] 50/2 50/2 60/2 104/15 140/24 142/3
145/17 149/10 150/16
face [1] 148/15
fact [14] 16/9 38/24 45/23 56/7 90/17
107/1 109/3 109/9 109/11 123/3 128/18
139/6 143/14 147/4
factor [1] 118/2
factoring [1] 117/24
facts [1] 132/5
factual [1] 46/15
fair [5] 41/5 96/22 114/7 128/7 147/10
fairly [2] 83/19 135/12
familiar [4] 21/7 65/7 95/12 129/19
far [4] 59/17 69/13 89/14 130/11
fast [1] 144/20
favor [1] 18/2
February [1] 72/11
fee [1] 128/14
feel [3] 67/19 119/7 126/6
felt [3] 9/8 133/12 140/16
few [19] 6/22 11/21 12/19 23/14 23/17
25/13 27/21 47/17 49/5 69/21 70/1 75/16
77/1 77/2 79/23 110/14 112/5 147/5 150/14
fewer [3] 73/5 126/24 126/24
field [1] 122/9
Fifteen [2] 11/10 11/11
figure [7] 30/1 56/18 64/2 64/10 130/8
139/13 141/7
figured [1] 51/23
figuring [1] 61/19
file [3] 14/1 33/3 146/16
filed [8] 5/19 6/21 30/8 46/11 78/7 79/20
123/15 151/8
filing [10] 25/17 26/3 73/18 74/5 74/7 78/3
78/8 78/10 79/14 148/4
filings [1] 82/1
final [2] 81/21 144/9
Finally [2] 9/22 135/9
financial [6] 109/18 110/15 114/4 115/15
116/4 116/19
financially [1] 109/14
find [6] 9/7 46/7 109/1 125/23 127/6 149/1
finding [3] 61/18 80/4 100/4
finds [1] 35/8
fine [3] 44/6 81/21 86/10
finish [2] 131/3 131/13
firm [1] 91/15
first [45] 4/16 5/13 12/3 12/17 15/13 17/6
18/1 23/20 25/19 29/16 31/15 32/6 34/7
34/10 54/15 56/3 59/24 65/15 70/3 75/7
84/12 87/3 88/16 88/19 89/2 91/6 92/20
94/1 96/5 101/3 106/16 108/19 111/4 113/9
|113/12 113/13 113/16 115/11 116/2 131/19
136/14 138/3 141/12 146/22 148/3
fit [1] 54/9
five [3] 11/3 40/20 99/1
fixed [11] 14/14 14/17 14/20 15/1 47/21
51/12 52/21 126/12 136/23 144/2 149/15
flat [1] 34/11
flew [1] 41/16
flipped [1] 74/10
floating [1] 127/5
flock [2] 120/23 121/7
floodgates [1] 32/8
flow [7] 10/9 10/19 53/4 59/7 62/21 84/18

## 140/21

flowing [1] 112/18
fluctuations [1] 115/5
focus [1] 90/9
focuses [1] 90/17
focusing [1] 124/5
fold [2] 62/16 92/1
folks [6] 91/8 123/12 126/2 127/14 127/19
128/8
follow [8] 5/17 10/20 18/24 46/24 52/6
80/13 110/13 111/3
follow-up [2] 46/24 110/13
following [5] 18/10 21/21 63/9 70/2 73/9
follows [1] 28/15
Foods [1] 88/4
footnote [1] 97/15
footnotes [1] 100/13
force [1] 120/11
forced [3] 50/9 98/19 127/13
forecast [12] 71/14 71/16 72/11 72/17
72/22 73/6 73/14 91/7 93/12 137/17 137/22 137/24
forecasted [10] 70/8 70/22 70/23 71/3
72/7 72/14 72/15 72/18 73/6 137/17
forecasting [2] 70/5 71/2
forecasts [6] 71/19 71/20 72/18 72/24

## 138/9 138/9

forever [1] 131/6
forgive [1] 66/16
forgotten [1] 74/9
form [1] 129/11
forth [11] 18/12 18/16 18/17 19/17 19/19
19/20 68/7 68/14 81/12 112/11 142/18
forthcoming [1] 125/19
forward [12] 13/23 44/16 62/5 118/7
118/12 118/14 118/22 121/4 137/20 137/21

## 138/1 144/16

Fossum [11] 1/12 2/5 2/12 2/22 4/18 55/3
79/23 96/1 98/5 103/5 146/5
found [4] 9/9 30/3 100/6 143/1
foundation [1] 64/19
four [1] 98/24
frame [1] 55/14
franchise [1] 87/11
frankly [1] 123/22
free [5] 66/4 76/11 149/8 150/23 151/5
Freedom [9] 87/3 87/4 87/13 89/23 96/6
96/9 96/17 96/20 96/23
fresh [3] 55/15 55/23 56/2
FROMUTH [20] 2/10 3/5 4/22 85/11 85/15
85/20 85/24 86/3 87/2 89/24 90/16 92/10
92/23 96/4 109/5 125/5 130/7 131/24
132/13 134/20
Fromuth's [3] 4/9 45/24 46/9
front [7] 10/1 16/5 27/4 27/24 29/17 38/14
104/12
frustrate [1] 91/1
fulfilled [1] 99/17
fulfilling [1] 8/12
full [12] 8/12 8/16 75/15 75/24 76/1 118/15
118/17 119/16 126/2 130/20 143/18 147/24
function [1] 39/19
funding [1] 111/15
funds [1] 62/20
further [9] 25/10 46/21 69/2 95/23 111/20
138/2 138/13 149/14 151/14
future [5] 56/14 120/15 127/4 141/13 141/16

G
gain [1] 92/2
gaming [12] 18/14 18/18 19/3 27/21 28/24
67/22 67/23 68/2 68/4 68/7 68/15 129/4
gave [1] 110/14
general [3] 38/7 39/17 79/14
generally [2] 21/9 114/2
generated [1] 78/12
generating [1] 99/14
generation [6] 113/12 113/18 135/5 135/8 150/5 150/8
generis [1] 132/14
geographically [1] 100/19
get [59] 4/12 4/15 6/3 20/11 27/17 30/1
30/16 30/20 31/5 31/20 32/5 36/10 41/6 41/23 42/14 42/23 43/2 43/3 46/1 50/16
51/3 53/7 55/18 57/16 57/18 57/23 57/24 59/4 59/12 61/14 61/21 62/18 62/20 71/9 72/10 73/4 73/8 74/13 75/6 75/11 75/12 77/9 80/21 81/2 82/7 82/10 82/15 82/17 83/3 83/20 83/22 108/17 113/19 115/21 121/5 122/3 126/21 133/15 145/1 get it [1] 46/1
gets [5] 52/1 52/2 55/2 71/5 71/7 getting [3] 43/3 43/11 134/19 give [9] 5/16 9/17 13/7 46/4 70/16 72/3 87/23 131/15 135/2
given [4] 12/18 28/11 123/6 138/19 gives [2] 8/8 34/20
glad [2] 74/10 142/24
go [76] 4/5 4/14 6/7 8/13 12/3 28/17 29/7 31/15 32/6 41/12 41/17 44/16 50/16 52/8 53/12 54/5 54/20 54/23 55/14 55/15 55/24 56/13 57/21 60/20 62/16 64/16 64/23 65/13 65/18 67/14 74/23 75/13 75/14 75/15 75/15 75/17 75/21 76/3 76/12 76/15 76/19 77/6 77/13 78/1 79/7 79/15 81/1 81/14 81/15 83/24 84/13 84/20 87/3 87/5 89/12 92/21 103/4 108/10 109/13 110/8 117/18 118/18 124/24 127/12 128/9 129/3 130/23 131/6 131/7 131/11 131/19 131/20 133/8 142/14 143/9 145/1
goal [2] 58/21 61/21
goes [12] 30/14 37/11 43/5 72/13 73/13 91/3 108/6 116/16 118/2 129/5 133/2 133/2 going [82] 9/9 17/24 18/12 18/16 19/15 19/16 27/19 27/20 29/12 32/19 32/23 35/12 35/13 38/4 41/7 41/18 41/22 44/23 51/8 51/13 54/10 58/12 58/18 60/23 62/1 62/15 64/3 64/11 64/14 67/1 67/18 68/21 69/2 69/12 70/10 72/1 74/18 76/5 78/3 78/7 82/9 83/17 83/22 83/23 84/11 84/13 84/17 84/19 89/19 90/4 105/4 110/1 112/20 114/23 118/14 120/3 120/11 121/4 121/16 121/18 121/21 123/7 123/18 125/1 132/3 133/8 134/13 134/14 134/18 134/19 135/20 137/6 137/23 137/24 138/6 138/7 140/5 141/12 141/23 144/19 144/19 144/19 going-forward [1] 118/14 gone [1] 54/2
good [37] 4/17 4/20 4/21 4/23 5/3 5/4 5/7 5/8 5/10 6/7 7/23 10/13 26/24 31/20 33/11 47/14 47/16 64/9 64/18 65/20 66/18 68/10 69/10 77/8 78/9 78/11 85/19 92/4 92/22 92/24 115/13 115/16 119/1 119/24 120/1

126/22 128/22
gorilla [1] 91/4
got [19] 29/16 32/6 42/23 46/13 58/6 61/2 61/16 80/14 112/5 121/3 125/15 127/21
131/4 131/5 132/10 133/9 133/9 134/3
134/22
gotten [1] 4/4
governor [1] 144/18
Granted [1] 67/11
great [4] 27/2 108/12 111/12 124/9
green [4] 12/4 54/8 55/19 56/19
grew [1] 126/9
Grid [1] 87/18
ground [2] 38/3 121/17
groundwork [1] 146/7
grow [1] 126/15
guaranteed [1] 127/18
gubernatorial [1] 91/13
guess [14] 19/12 20/11 49/9 53/21 54/4
58/21 61/11 68/1 82/12 84/3 103/15 111/3 112/12 119/14

## H

had [42] 4/3 4/5 4/5 7/17 7/21 8/23 16/22
17/24 18/1 18/19 22/19 23/21 34/5 34/11
39/2 53/24 54/1 57/13 64/18 66/11 66/13
74/9 76/9 96/9 96/24 112/12 112/19 114/17
114/18 115/15 122/21 124/19 125/7 125/7
125/7 127/10 127/11 127/12 130/23 138/23
143/13 144/13
halfway [1] 133/22
Halifax [2] 96/11 97/3
Hall [4] 28/8 32/4 34/5 39/3
Hall's [5] 7/17 20/1 28/5 28/12 28/19
HAMPSHIRE [17] 1/1 1/4 1/6 1/12 4/19
87/10 87/24 88/17 89/3 91/12 97/20 98/10
111/16 114/12 115/20 117/14 129/15
hand [4] 44/15 44/17 53/8 124/7
handed [1] 99/2
handing [2] 11/4 98/5
handle [3] 56/17 64/18 119/1
happen [21] 10/6 13/23 16/19 16/20 18/15
23/7 23/8 23/11 23/15 31/23 32/1 32/9 58/2
58/24 63/3 82/4 107/6 124/22 129/14
144/19 144/20
happened [4] 52/19 78/20 144/3 144/4
happening [2] 122/17 142/7
happens [4] 13/22 14/4 61/24 62/23
happy [3] 97/24 130/4 144/14
hard [7] 4/13 61/18 68/13 118/8 121/20
125/21 133/17
hard-wired [1] 125/21
harkens [1] 127/8
harm [8] 60/7 60/18 61/19 124/4 135/18
135/22 150/17 151/3
harmful [6] 61/21 63/2 107/22 108/2 108/5
150/13
harness [1] 97/12
Harrington [10] 1/9 2/7 2/12 15/17 49/7
80/11 112/4 120/5 135/10 140/1
has [59] 10/12 21/22 25/17 28/15 32/16 34/17 35/19 41/22 43/20 43/21 43/24 44/1 56/9 58/22 65/6 79/4 81/22 83/18 84/16 91/19 96/23 99/14 103/17 104/23 104/24 108/8 108/12 108/14 109/3 109/18 109/23 109/24 110/17 110/24 115/23 116/20 116/22 118/4 118/6 123/8 124/6 124/6 124/8 125/9 127/8 133/7 133/9 133/9 134/20 140/22 141/7 141/11 143/12 143/15 144/24 145/5 148/7 148/12 148/18
hasn't [1] 46/11
hasten [2] 127/10 127/11
have [247]
haven't [6] 23/10 82/7 84/22 85/2 91/23
100/5
having [13] 6/10 7/15 17/14 23/17 24/1
66/23 68/24 69/7 78/9 100/3 109/1 110/14 128/13
he [8] 7/17 7/22 17/17 34/6 41/23 102/22 132/8 132/8
he's [3] 39/3 102/23 134/21
head [1] 29/19
hear [3] 23/21 94/3 103/19
heard [8] 6/22 33/9 41/7 87/22 108/18 110/9 135/13 148/19
hearing [11] 12/17 15/14 17/6 23/20 32/23
33/7 34/6 85/13 94/1 137/19 151/20
hearings [1] 79/18
held [1] 60/14
help [13] 12/20 18/14 19/2 20/21 53/4 53/6
59/6 67/6 74/24 82/2 102/17 122/12 149/12
helpful [3] 10/18 35/2 131/15
helping [1] 124/7
helps [4] 8/3 8/4 21/4 81/21
here [56] 6/3 11/21 13/8 29/19 29/24 30/15
30/20 36/2 36/18 40/18 41/6 42/20 44/15
44/16 46/1 49/10 56/18 59/3 60/13 61/21
74/1 82/1 85/18 87/23 88/13 91/24 94/1
96/14 97/22 100/18 101/11 105/3 108/19
112/13 115/8 116/3 116/8 117/4 121/17
122/10 126/21 127/21 129/4 130/5 132/3
132/10 133/15 133/22 134/13 135/9 135/20
139/2 141/19 145/22 150/2 151/8
here's [2] 34/21 37/2
herewith [2] 11/13 86/21
hesitate [1] 39/17
high [2] 20/5 88/3
higher [8] 17/14 29/8 63/13 63/18 65/1
109/6 113/20 117/18
highlighted [1] 7/3
him [2] 122/11 143/1
his [9] 4/5 5/15 90/1 90/2 90/11 102/22
114/17 132/12 143/2
historical [1] 33/5
hit [2] 72/21 73/3
hmm [1] 89/5
holiday [1] 26/24
home [2] 62/16 123/14
hope [1] 127/4
hoped [1] 126/16
hoping [1] 49/11
host [8] 90/23 92/6 127/13 128/9 128/14
128/19 128/24 129/3
hour [4] 70/24 117/11 128/14 130/4
hours [1] 78/5
households [2] 88/20 88/21
how [59] 8/11 10/8 11/22 12/22 14/8 18/22
24/10 30/20 31/18 31/18 31/21 34/24 41/23 42/8 53/7 55/13 56/17 57/1 58/13 58/16
58/17 59/22 61/19 62/4 62/11 62/13 63/1
65/13 66/22 66/22 66/22 67/8 67/12 67/22
69/8 72/4 73/10 74/18 79/13 81/5 83/4 83/6
84/16 89/24 94/16 94/21 95/9 115/16 118/8
119/1 121/2 122/16 122/16 123/15 126/20
133/5 141/5 141/8 141/8
how's [1] 68/22
however [10] 19/17 19/18 43/17 55/4 64/19 136/18 138/24 140/14 142/6 146/21 hybrid [1] 129/16
I
I'd [7] 48/22 96/4 103/19 130/3 131/18 131/21 148/16
I'Il [6] 19/1 19/12 30/13 64/2 83/24 112/8
I'm [84] 4/5 5/21 14/7 15/22 18/10 20/11 21/21 21/21 27/18 27/19 27/20 28/13 28/16 30/2 30/4 31/6 31/16 37/19 38/4 41/6 44/7 45/14 46/8 46/12 50/1 50/9 52/6 53/6 53/6 56/18 58/17 59/12 60/2 61/18 62/18 63/15
64/11 65/3 65/7 65/8 65/9 65/21 70/4 70/14

I'm... [40] 73/24 74/10 76/15 76/23 83/3
83/10 83/17 84/13 89/12 90/1 90/3 95/5 97/24 100/3 103/3 105/18 106/5 107/14 107/14 107/15 109/21 112/8 112/24 113/19 113/24 115/8 115/21 116/14 120/3 120/24 122/8 124/5 125/17 129/19 130/4 130/12 132/3 133/4 134/17 142/24
I've [10] 46/13 66/2 66/2 80/2 80/14 99/2
112/5 114/17 121/3 133/12
I-a [1] 105/20
idea [7] 7/23 8/9 44/13 78/9 82/1 91/24 101/11
identifiable [1] 34/8
identification [6] 10/17 11/9 11/14 86/16
86/22 130/19
identified [1] 9/23
identify [1] 38/12
if [164]
Ignatius [3] 1/9 2/8 2/13
ignores [1] 16/18
II [3] 50/2 142/3 149/10
III [1] 140/24
immense [1] 108/21
impact [8] 23/16 66/19 66/23 67/7 68/3
69/4 123/16 134/12
impacts [6] 45/4 45/5 49/12 68/24 69/9
122/14
implement [3] 104/9 147/11 151/9
implementation [10] 21/15 24/21 24/23
26/12 30/5 74/12 134/11 143/9 147/7
148/17
implemented [4] 24/5 29/22 55/16 120/6
implementing [1] 122/1
implied [1] 42/8
imply [2] 54/12 54/24
implying [1] 112/13
important [4] 77/10 89/18 122/20 143/7
Importantly [1] 149/4
imposed [1] 139/8
impression [1] 28/23
in [354]
inaccurate [2] 138/9 138/17
incent [1] 148/24
include [8] 33/4 34/8 35/18 38/10 70/6
74/16 118/5 133/23
included [12] 35/10 35/12 35/17 36/3
36/22 37/8 38/20 72/16 103/11 103/13
134/8 137/6
includes [1] 30/24
including [3] 38/1 88/3 150/20
incoming [1] 134/14
inconsistency [2] 36/18 102/20
inconsistent [1] 136/7
incorporated [1] 71/7
increase [3] 48/8 48/15 83/23
increased [6] 13/16 50/5 91/2 99/2 99/10 99/19
increases [1] 13/22
increasing [1] 42/19
increasingly [1] 126/11
incremental [1] 39/6
incumbent [2] 120/15 128/5
incur [1] 136/20
incurred [3] 39/1 47/24 104/4
incurring [1] 137/11
indeed [1] 150/18
indefinitely [1] 143/9
independent [5] 79/11 115/19 119/3 123/8 123/9
indicate [1] 101/3
indicated [4] 47/20 48/4 136/10 136/22
indication [1] 66/17
indicator [1] 69/15

Indirectly [1] 88/18
individual [3] 22/6 22/10 55/12
individuals [1] 40/2
induce [1] 84/6
induced [3] 124/14 124/15 128/12
inducement [4] 63/22 64/15 90/24 108/17
industrial [1] 143/20
industry [8] 97/20 98/11 99/5 99/11 99/21
101/4 126/20 132/9
ineligible [2] 105/23 114/11
influx [1] 65/16
inform [1] 74/24
information [17] 33/4 67/2 78/7 79/20
86/12 89/18 108/24 114/2 123/3 123/8
123/8 123/15 123/20 137/10 141/1 142/1
143/18
informed [1] 50/4
initial [4] 13/19 55/18 124/13 146/21
initially [2] 77/9 79/16
injure [1] 90/19
innovative [2] 127/23 149/13
input [1] 31/11
insofar [1] 147/23
instance [12] 57/10 65/24 66/8 72/10 75/7
93/22 94/9 94/17 111/11 113/12 113/14
119/4
insurance [4] 15/19 16/4 16/14 16/18
intended [1] 19/5
intent [2] 57/24 128/23
intention [1] 124/13
interest [5] 43/20 46/20 60/11 145/14
147/18
interested [2] 44/11 44/13
interesting [1] 89/18
interests [1] 147/17
interim [3] 74/24 78/8 141/18
internal [1] 114/6
Interrogatories [6] 2/7 2/8 2/8 2/12 2/13
2/13
interrupting [1] 109/7
interruption [1] 32/13
intervene [2] 73/16 96/10
into [39] 4/15 9/3 28/1 31/11 35/9 38/3
51/4 55/24 56/14 60/20 64/5 71/7 72/13
73/13 75/11 79/15 89/19 91/16 92/1 101/13
108/6 108/10 108/24 110/8 110/23 111/2
111/15 116/16 116/18 118/2 120/11 121/11
121/14 124/21 128/5 128/23 141/15 143/3
144/7
introduced [1] 143/12
introduction [3] 107/7 115/1 150/13
introductory [12] 87/2 94/4 94/7 94/18
94/23 95/2 95/4 95/6 95/8 141/20 141/24
142/2
investment [4] 91/15 116/22 125/8 126/6
investors [3] 110/11 125/7 126/3
involved [4] 75/7 81/5 117/5 126/5
irreversible [1] 140/15
is [529]
isn't [21] 8/20 28/10 30/17 33/16 35/11
35/11 35/20 36/15 40/10 41/22 50/22 54/11
69/11 79/8 83/13 89/22 97/18 107/1 108/19 juxtaposition [1] 92/5
120/16 134/23
ISO [2] 89/7 113/21
ISO-New [1] 89/7
isolated [1] 111/11
issue [7] 16/21 18/1 132/4 135/11 145/18
147/13 151/18
issued [1] 45/10
issues [9] 17/24 45/11 46/15 90/9 100/11
132/16 144/10 145/13 148/2
it [336]
it's [127] 4/13 7/10 7/16 7/23 8/20 10/9
10/9 10/13 10/20 12/16 13/14 14/18 16/11
18/17 19/5 19/15 19/16 25/16 25/23 26/14

26/16 27/9 28/5 28/7 30/22 31/18 32/1
34/2 34/15 34/15 34/22 35/22 35/23 36/23 37/14 40/9 43/6 44/17 45/5 45/24 48/17
56/23 56/24 58/12 59/2 59/3 60/11 60/22
61/13 63/21 66/12 66/23 68/8 68/10 68/12 69/15 70/8 70/17 70/18 70/24 71/18 74/18 76/4 76/4 78/17 81/13 82/1 82/2 84/19 86/10 86/11 86/11 87/17 87/17 87/21 89/17 103/1 107/13 108/4 108/4 109/10 109/11 110/20 110/20 113/4 114/1 115/9 117/1 118/8 121/8 121/20 123/3 124/3 125/20 127/23 127/24 128/17 128/18 129/3 129/5 130/24 131/17 131/18 132/4 132/17 134/1 135/2 135/12 136/21 136/24 137/1 139/11 139/12 139/22 140/5 140/5 140/10 140/11 140/20 141/3 141/10 141/17 143/2 143/12 145/3 145/5
items [1] 87/20
its [25] 14/14 14/17 15/1 49/17 72/7 72/16
74/13 77/22 92/8 95/11 113/12 135/4 135/5
145/21 146/12 146/19 146/21 146/23 147/2
148/15 149/23 150/4 150/8 150/11 150/20
itself [5] 14/18 33/7 117/20 124/8 146/7 IV [5] 46/17 135/2 135/2 150/2 150/3

## J

James [1] 1/14
January [16] 28/17 29/21 29/22 30/6
55/16 55/24 56/1 65/6 72/13 73/5 73/9
73/13 73/21 79/19 95/17 144/20
January 1 [2] 30/6 144/20
January 1st [13] 28/17 29/21 29/22 55/16
55/24 56/1 65/6 72/13 73/5 73/9 73/13
73/21 79/19
Jim [1] 4/21
job [2] 39/19 40/3
Joey [3] 1/15 4/24 47/13
joint [1] 96/10
Jon [1] 5/1
Jonathan [1] 1/16
July [3] 28/18 79/21 95/17
July 1st [2] 28/18 79/21
jump [1] 29/6
jumping [1] 112/8
June [2] 46/2 46/15
jurisdictional [1] 104/20
just [95] 5/16 7/10 7/16 8/23 9/4 9/9 10/13 11/1 11/3 11/16 12/16 12/19 12/21 14/18 15/23 19/3 20/17 22/18 23/6 27/13 27/19
29/18 30/15 30/23 30/24 31/6 31/19 33/16 34/2 34/22 35/6 35/23 36/20 37/22 38/8
38/17 46/8 46/12 46/13 47/2 47/17 51/3
53/4 53/7 53/7 59/12 60/1 60/17 62/16 63/9 65/18 68/5 68/8 69/10 70/1 72/3 72/3 72/6
72/22 73/24 74/9 80/12 80/13 81/5 81/13
82/4 82/9 83/16 83/24 87/3 88/9 89/5 90/1
90/20 91/15 99/18 106/23 108/7 108/10
109/2 110/14 112/20 116/5 120/5 130/4
132/5 133/4 134/4 136/24 141/24 145/12
147/5 148/14 149/6 151/4
justify [1] 122/7

## K

keep [8] 15/19 21/1 27/8 31/22 76/5 81/5 109/2 132/3
key [7] 99/4 99/10 99/20 121/7 132/16 135/9 135/12
kicks [1] 78/14
kilowatt [3] 78/5 117/11 128/14
kilowatt-hour [2] 117/11 128/14
kilowatt-hours [1] 78/5
kind [9] 6/3 16/18 41/18 58/16 67/12 68/24
88/2 114/23 132/6
know [93] 5/17 6/2 7/23 8/20 12/17 16/16
know... [87] 20/23 22/15 23/5 23/8 23/10 27/17 30/19 31/20 34/15 34/16 34/16 34/17 34/24 40/19 40/19 41/5 41/13 45/9 48/22 51/1 53/5 54/3 56/23 57/2 57/4 57/4 59/19 60/12 60/23 61/3 61/5 62/4 62/5 62/6 62/10 Liner [1] 88/3
62/11 62/12 62/14 63/24 64/4 64/7 66/1 $\mid$ little [14] 4/13 53/5 56/16 59/13 67/6 72/4 66/4 66/5 66/23 67/10 67/15 68/1 68/6 68/9 68/18 68/22 68/24 69/2 69/7 69/9 76/4 78/18 81/24 82/4 90/2 92/17 95/7 99/14 108/21 109/3 114/5 115/23 119/2 121/21 122/17 129/3 129/4 129/14 130/12 131/1 131/2 132/12 133/19 134/21 139/12 141/4 141/11 141/14 141/23 142/1 145/18 knowing [2] 64/3 69/6
knowledge [5] 86/12 105/12 123/8 126/20 145/2
knows [1] 62/13
L
labor [1] 110/6
lack [1] 77/22
$\operatorname{lag}$ [1] 144/17
laid [1] 146/7
lapsed [1] 123/2
large [7] 52/20 67/9 109/12 127/3 134/13 143/20 144/2
largely [3] 128/9 128/17 140/2
last [15] 4/3 45/8 80/4 100/8 100/13
100/13 100/16 106/17 131/20 132/22
137/19 139/18 143/11 144/5 150/1
lastly [1] 142/2
late [2] 131/1 131/12
later [1] 7/20
law [12] 21/11 105/7 105/12 105/15 132/6
134/7 135/7 135/13 143/24 144/1 148/18
149/3
laws [1] 134/24
LCR [1] 1/23
Leader [1] 88/4
leads [1] 64/1
leash [3] 30/19 31/22 132/11
least [17] 7/14 13/1 13/16 33/2 65/15
75/22 77/17 78/21 80/19 80/20 81/11 84/15 103/18 111/7 114/13 120/21 135/13
leave [17] 15/18 16/11 28/18 28/19 50/15
61/22 63/22 64/16 75/12 76/11 76/18 77/2
124/24 128/8 133/8 141/7 150/23
Lee [3] 1/15 4/24 47/13
left [15] 4/14 9/2 28/24 42/3 52/20 53/8
54/5 56/8 56/8 57/12 83/5 84/12 90/9 126/12 126/24
left-hand [1] 53/8
legislature [3] 129/18 144/18 149/10
length [3] 17/3 34/6 147/14
lengthy [1] 39/2
less [5] 51/5 64/14 75/18 114/18 119/9 let [13] 27/17 29/18 33/16 38/8 46/1 49/9 49/9 64/12 65/18 67/22 70/11 87/2 135/16 let's [12] 4/16 8/18 26/22 38/6 41/11 73/8 81/13 85/9 85/10 109/22 115/18 131/7
letter [2] 101/17 101/19
letters [1] 82/1
level [3] 107/22 120/11 122/9
levels [2] 73/6 120/12
life [1] $50 / 22$
light [2] 16/9 16/9
like [25] 5/15 10/11 29/5 30/19 31/12
33/24 34/20 39/17 40/24 41/3 42/10 43/23 66/10 78/24 91/21 96/4 98/1 103/19 117/5 119/14 125/6 126/3 130/3 131/19 148/16 liked [1] 143/1
likely [5] 16/11 23/3 63/17 64/21 84/19
limit [5] 8/6 21/20 23/23 80/6 131/14
lines [3] 16/3 27/19 100/14
limited [1] 136/1
limiting [1] 77/12
line [12] 28/13 28/14 70/21 99/1 100/8
100/8 100/13 100/16 100/18 115/2 134/10 147/8
Liner [1] 88/3

89/12 100/3 100/4 112/9 112/21 115/3
144/8 144/22
live [1] 41/9
load [3] 140/2 140/3 146/14
located [1] 87/21
logic [2] 75/24 77/7
Logistics [6] 87/4 87/5 89/23 96/7 96/9 96/17
long [21] 24/10 57/1 69/8 75/14 79/13
94/16 94/22 104/10 124/6 133/13 133/14
133/21 139/15 140/9 140/13 140/14 140/19
141/8 141/8 141/15 151/13
long-term [9] 104/10 133/13 133/14
133/21 139/15 140/9 140/13 140/14 140/19
longer [6] 22/14 23/22 67/11 77/10 115/6 127/23
look [27] 7/24 9/10 9/14 11/1 11/23 13/8
28/9 44/9 45/3 48/11 57/22 61/11 61/12
68/6 68/12 68/24 70/12 70/21 72/7 73/12 79/5 83/11 86/9 115/8 116/23 118/22 118/22
looked [5] 7/22 57/4 66/11 71/15 117/15
looking [17] 13/12 29/21 29/22 31/16
44/16 50/2 56/1 60/2 62/5 67/3 69/24 72/22
84/9 114/7 115/8 122/14 128/24
looks [1] 55/4
loop [1] 82/4
loophole [1] 135/24
lose [3] 61/1 84/8 121/24
lost [2] 22/4 55/17
lot [14] 18/12 19/18 29/12 69/3 78/7 84/21
90/8 112/10 115/12 115/15 121/13 124/23
130/2 134/14
lots [5] 10/14 16/16 16/16 31/24 32/9
low [2] 93/21 123/23
lower [17] 32/5 41/23 42/3 43/3 48/4 48/18
49/21 57/16 61/17 84/2 84/4 84/5 84/13
101/13 112/21 120/19 122/3
lowering [4] 21/22 22/8 52/8 52/13
loyal [5] 41/15 41/22 42/4 42/6 42/9
luck [1] 42/4
lure [3] 90/24 91/24 133/6
M
madam [8] 5/14 10/24 46/22 86/15 89/21
92/9 100/3 130/3
made [10] 18/23 25/17 65/20 65/21 77/23
84/11 114/20 118/13 143/18 148/14
magic [1] 56/23
mailing [1] 137/8
main [2] 7/9 100/8
maintain [1] 120/13
major [2] 112/16 121/6
make [34] 19/4 30/20 31/4 39/17 41/6
41/11 46/13 50/22 63/10 74/5 74/22 77/19
77/22 80/14 83/7 84/11 93/4 93/16 105/3
112/20 116/9 116/21 121/18 122/4 122/5
123/11 128/3 133/1 133/3 138/20 140/22
142/1 142/7 142/9
makes [2] 30/23 117/3
making [8] 75/1 83/22 108/4 108/6 121/14
130/20 137/21 138/1
management [1] 93/5
managing [3] 88/5 96/6 96/17
Manchester [2] 41/9 88/4
mandatory [1] 134/2
manner [3] 70/10 150/5 150/9
many [14] 21/13 31/18 45/10 46/15 52/17
67/12 83/4 83/6 91/16 91/16 91/21 111/18

## 127/11 128/18

March [2] 72/12 72/15
margin [8] 58/14 61/6 110/5 110/11
117/24 118/5 118/10 122/7
marginal [38] 13/12 13/14 13/18 14/18 22/12 51/4 51/10 51/11 51/13 55/5 58/15 61/2 70/5 71/14 71/15 72/7 72/14 72/15 72/19 78/6 82/13 101/23 102/2 102/14 102/19 103/10 103/13 103/15 104/1 104/2 109/24 112/15 118/14 118/15 118/16 119/15 136/16 146/13
mark [2] 10/16 11/9
marked [3] 11/13 86/16 86/21
market [63] 14/21 15/9 16/19 21/2 22/13
22/15 29/12 43/20 44/5 44/11 44/12 44/16 48/10 54/2 58/15 61/4 61/14 61/17 63/2 64/5 64/20 64/21 67/11 69/1 69/17 76/6 87/14 87/17 88/11 88/16 89/2 91/4 107/5 107/6 107/21 108/17 109/13 110/3 110/22 110/23 111/2 113/16 113/21 115/4 116/9 116/18 117/2 118/12 118/23 121/11 123/21 123/22 124/4 124/6 124/21 126/2 126/8
137/20 137/22 139/16 141/3 143/19 144/16 market-based [1] 61/17
marketers [4] 60/24 117/17 117/21 124/22 marketing [15] 38/7 38/10 38/18 38/19
40/21 40/21 41/19 74/15 108/20 108/24
112/23 113/2 134/11 137/3 137/13
marketplace [19] 22/9 67/18 68/9 90/19
91/2 101/7 101/9 101/13 108/14 116/19
117/3 117/16 118/8 120/17 121/15 124/18
124/19 150/20 151/5
markets [14] 60/4 60/8 60/19 60/20 61/11
61/20 97/13 99/4 121/8 135/14 135/18
135/23 149/13 150/17
marketwise [1] 32/1
marking [1] 10/23
match [8] 7/11 7/17 8/2 18/5 18/6 18/19
20/17 58/18
matched [1] 18/3
matches [1] 58/17
materials [2] 38/19 113/2
matter [1] $45 / 23$
matters [3] 4/12 4/15 130/15
Matthew [2] 1/12 4/17
matured [1] 124/6
may [28] 5/14 10/15 22/5 29/6 48/19 56/7
56/7 60/5 77/7 79/20 85/22 98/3 109/13
109/14 110/18 122/10 123/4 131/24 133/19
133/19 133/20 135/14 135/16 143/19
143/20 144/24 148/24 149/5
maybe [11] 10/3 55/18 59/2 67/13 72/2
72/4 76/23 80/13 122/12 133/17 135/13 me [49] 4/13 4/22 16/3 26/7 27/17 29/17 29/18 32/7 33/16 34/2 36/6 38/8 38/15 39/20 41/7 43/19 45/8 46/1 46/3 46/5 47/1
49/9 49/9 53/4 55/17 61/20 63/12 64/12
64/16 65/18 66/16 67/6 67/22 70/11 72/4
75/5 80/3 80/10 87/3 92/19 102/17 102/21
102/23 107/11 118/8 122/12 125/15 128/21 135/16
mean [20] 23/7 31/1 35/6 35/7 40/4 54/17
54/20 56/13 56/22 78/17 78/21 81/23 82/2
83/4 83/8 92/18 105/21 113/14 127/11
134/4
meaning [2] 33/23 41/2
meaningful [1] 150/18
means [17] 32/21 32/22 51/20 53/23 54/11
54/14 60/5 60/10 100/21 105/8 114/8
114/15 135/15 135/20 145/16 147/10
149/16
meant [1] 115/12
measure [5] 66/22 121/20 122/16 127/18
measure... [1] 143/8
measurement [1] 116/6
mechanical [1] 70/1
mechanism [2] 116/24 128/7
media [3] 108/24 121/6 137/9
meet [4] 26/3 26/8 80/20 101/6
meets [1] 26/14
Megawatt [1] 70/24
Megawatt-Hour [1] 70/24
memorized [1] 46/13
memory [1] 73/24
mention [2] 23/21 87/22
mentioned [7] 7/18 18/19 20/20 23/21
45/24 66/14 129/9
merely [3] 108/7 110/13 110/22
Merrimack [2] 70/22 113/20
met [3] 42/6 76/24 127/16
method [1] 119/15
methods [1] 38/1
metric [1] 126/5
metrics [1] 122/17
Michael [1] 1/9
mid [2] 79/19 87/5
mid-year [1] 79/19
middle [2] 79/20 112/10
middleman [1] 88/3
might [14] 10/14 10/18 18/16 18/16 22/5
28/23 28/24 40/15 68/6 68/7 74/20 106/12 124/24 147/23
migrate [3] 124/12 143/11 148/24
migrated [6] 45/4 45/6 49/15 100/23
127/15 128/4
migrating [3] 49/13 91/9 132/19
migration [11] 52/11 69/13 122/22 123/17
124/23 126/11 139/22 139/23 139/23 140/4
148/24
million [1] 129/23
mind [5] 27/8 90/2 124/11 125/11 134/21
minded [1] 124/19
mindfulness [1] 126/23
mini [1] 117/5
mini-resumé [1] 117/5
minimize [3] 60/7 135/17 135/22
minimized [1] 50/4
minor [1] 147/9
minutes [3] 85/10 110/14 131/14
Miranda [10] $1 / 15$ 2/7 2/11 2/19 4/24
47/11 47/13 92/21 131/22 136/5
mischaracterizes [1] 102/22
missed [1] 80/2
missing [2] 113/4 130/12
misunderstanding [1] 76/23
misuse [1] 133/20
mitigate [10] 8/3 8/4 20/21 45/3 49/12
60/8 82/2 135/18 135/23 139/22
model [1] 127/8
modifications [1] 77/23
modified [1] 31/21
modify [2] $33 / 377 / 20$
modifying [1] 137/4
moment [2] 27/22 129/9
money [1] 111/5
month [45] 7/6 7/8 8/1 8/10 8/13 8/13 8/15
8/24 9/4 10/7 11/20 12/4 12/5 17/8 17/12
17/18 18/2 18/6 53/20 53/21 53/22 55/19
56/5 57/2 66/17 68/17 73/12 74/11 75/16 77/3 77/8 78/8 78/13 79/17 80/7 83/14 93/9 93/20 108/23 145/20 145/24 146/1 146/3 147/15 147/19
monthly [6] 13/13 13/13 55/5 71/15 72/6 78/4
months [87] 7/15 7/16 11/22 11/23 11/24 13/2 13/15 16/13 17/22 18/9 21/20 21/24

26/15 26/16 28/16 33/2 54/21 54/22 56/20
56/21 56/22 56/22 56/24 57/4 57/15 57/15 57/15 57/17 62/9 64/2 64/10 65/20 65/21 65/23 66/2 66/6 66/9 66/9 67/14 69/4 71/17 72/15 72/24 73/5 73/20 74/20 74/21 75/8 75/15 75/16 75/18 75/19 75/19 75/22 75/24 76/1 76/22 77/1 77/2 77/5 77/18 77/20 78/16 78/21 78/24 80/17 80/19 80/20 81/1 81/2 81/6 81/8 81/8 81/11 81/13 84/8 84/12 123/24 124/2 139/4 139/5 140/11 140/12 147/5 147/22 150/14 151/9
more [43] 8/9 8/10 13/15 17/18 36/6 43/22
46/1 48/19 51/5 55/6 64/4 64/4 64/5 67/6
69/22 71/19 72/4 72/19 72/23 73/17 75/14
75/21 77/14 78/24 82/8 84/14 84/19 91/12
100/3 104/15 112/9 115/10 119/12 123/18
124/20 124/20 134/24 144/8 146/9 147/16
147/20 147/21 148/9
moreover [3] 104/7 112/22 113/10
most [10] 23/3 64/21 83/21 93/7 97/19
98/9 101/3 122/23 147/6 148/19
motion [5] 45/23 148/4 148/6 148/7 148/10
motivation [4] 116/13 116/15 117/2 117/2 motivations [1] 117/1
motive [1] 111/5
mouth [1] 63/12
move [9] 18/17 29/3 38/4 68/15 90/4 90/4
128/13 128/23 144/16
movement [6] 67/4 67/15 67/17 68/13 68/14 129/16
movements [1] 16/19
moving [3] 19/16 68/7 80/13
MPEU [2] 87/16 87/23
MPEUs [2] 87/14 89/23
Mr [53] 2/5 2/6 2/11 $2 / 12$ 2/18 2/22 4/5 4/9 5/11 5/15 6/20 7/17 9/7 9/22 10/23 12/16 16/2 20/1 21/5 23/20 25/16 26/22 26/23
27/17 28/5 28/8 28/19 32/4 38/17 39/2 45/20 45/24 46/9 49/9 55/3 69/24 75/5 79/22 85/15 85/16 86/3 89/11 89/24 95/24 103/5 109/5 122/10 130/1 131/21 132/7 144/24 146/4 148/14
Mr. [22] 4/9 4/22 6/2 6/12 28/12 34/5 47/15 63/10 85/9 85/11 87/2 90/16 92/10 92/23 96/4 96/13 114/12 125/5 130/7 131/24 132/13 134/20
Mr. Fromuth [12] 4/22 85/11 87/2 90/16 92/10 92/23 96/4 125/5 130/7 131/24

## 132/13 134/20

Mr. Fromuth's [1] 4/9
Mr. Hall [1] 34/5
Mr. Hall's [1] 28/12
Mr. Mullen [6] 6/2 6/12 47/15 63/10 85/9 114/12
Mr. Rodier's [1] 96/13
Ms [9] 2/5 2/6 2/7 2/11 2/19 2/20 2/21 85/5 145/8
Ms. [8] 25/12 47/11 92/21 111/22 111/24
131/22 136/5 150/14
Ms. Amidon [1] 111/24
Ms. Chamberlin [3] 25/12 111/22 150/14
Ms. Miranda [4] 47/11 92/21 131/22 136/5
much [15] 42/3 43/17 90/22 106/8 107/10
108/23 109/6 112/18 115/10 116/24 119/5
119/12 132/2 141/5 142/1
MULLEN [25] 2/4 4/5 4/9 5/15 6/2 6/9 6/12
6/17 6/20 9/7 9/22 12/16 21/5 23/20 25/16
26/23 27/17 38/17 47/15 49/9 63/10 69/24 85/9 114/12 122/10
Mullen's [1] 5/12
multi [1] 33/16
multiple [1] 148/8
must [15] $7 / 1312 / 2433 / 2134 / 1935 / 3$

117/21 134/1 136/12
my [49] 5/1 7/2 7/4 7/7 7/21 8/21 9/4 19/1 22/4 28/7 28/10 29/17 29/19 30/14 30/17 42/15 43/19 45/8 45/23 53/6 58/11 63/20 64/23 68/1 73/24 90/17 91/7 91/15 91/20 92/12 93/14 95/18 98/8 107/9 107/13
108/22 114/1 114/1 122/5 122/6 123/7
123/19 125/17 126/1 127/1 127/20 132/3 133/3 151/17
myriad [1] 145/21
myself [1] 126/3
N
nature [2] 40/3 140/5
near [1] 99/23
necessarily [4] 18/18 31/2 37/5 67/23 necessary [2] 30/12 135/5
need [9] 18/23 36/8 46/16 66/16 83/8
86/24 90/5 121/4 142/1
needed [1] 9/8
needs [3] 50/20 144/9 149/22
negative [7] 66/23 68/3 124/1 139/10
139/19 139/20 140/6
neighbor [1] 43/3
NEPOOL [3] 89/7 89/10 89/24
net [2] 132/24 133/10
Nevertheless [1] 16/1
new [43] 1/1 1/4 1/6 1/12 4/18 13/4 13/21 39/16 41/23 57/3 60/9 61/23 62/20 65/16 72/18 72/18 75/23 78/18 87/9 87/14 87/24 88/17 89/3 89/7 91/12 92/8 97/20 98/10
107/20 107/20 108/1 111/15 114/12 115/20
117/13 121/12 121/17 127/23 129/15 141/2
144/17 144/18 147/11
newcomer [1] 88/11
newer [1] 101/13
next [6] 41/9 43/19 53/20 85/15 101/16 102/5
nine [3] 74/11 74/20 74/21
no [83] 1/23 2/3 2/17 3/3 3/3 6/8 9/15 11/7
14/15 20/16 23/14 23/17 23/19 28/9 28/24
30/22 34/12 38/21 38/23 39/13 44/7 46/8
46/21 46/21 47/2 50/13 51/23 52/12 54/10
54/14 54/20 54/21 56/9 56/23 56/23 62/1
67/11 70/16 71/13 71/22 77/3 77/10 79/24
83/18 84/14 85/7 86/10 87/10 94/3 94/12
95/22 96/21 98/20 100/7 102/4 102/16
103/19 106/6 106/13 107/24 108/3 111/18
111/23 115/6 123/8 125/18 127/23 129/23
129/24 130/11 130/13 130/17 130/21
130/22 137/7 139/23 140/3 140/12 150/22
150/24 151/1 151/3 151/15
nobody [7] 50/10 52/24 56/4 56/5 58/19
114/12 133/2
noes [1] 12/1
non [27] 14/22 26/22 33/12 34/2 34/7
34/13 35/11 35/23 37/7 49/13 61/16 66/8
70/7 70/23 92/20 114/21 115/19 119/2
128/17 131/19 134/5 134/9 136/12 136/19
138/24 139/7 150/21
non-competitive [1] 61/16
non-cost [1] 128/17
non-discriminatory [2] 136/12 138/24
non-energy [1] 119/2
non-migrating [1] 49/13
non-operating [14] 14/22 33/12 34/2 34/7
34/13 35/11 35/23 37/7 70/7 70/23 134/5
134/9 136/19 150/21
non-PSNH [1] 114/21
non-public [1] 115/19
non-residential [1] 66/8
non-settling [3] 26/22 92/20 131/19
none [4] 89/15 110/9 137/5 148/9
nonetheless [1] 113/22
nor [1] 121/10
normalized [1] 128/15
normally [1] 55/4
North [2] 41/11 41/15
not [175]
note [2] 143/7 149/24
noted [2] 148/6 149/11
notes [1] 29/18
nothing [15] 11/6 27/12 34/17 34/18 66/11
80/5 111/19 112/1 130/14 133/9 133/20
134/10 134/15 134/17 151/16
notice [2] 11/6 33/8
notification [1] 123/11
notion [2] 91/8 91/24
Notwithstanding [1] 60/3
November [1] 1/4
now [86] 14/7 15/23 16/21 17/24 19/8
20/20 21/2 21/5 23/2 23/8 29/14 29/23 30/2 30/9 31/14 32/16 32/20 36/15 39/16 40/4 40/7 40/20 41/18 45/8 50/8 53/15 55/17 56/17 58/10 58/17 61/4 61/16 62/19 65/1 65/4 65/6 69/3 69/6 74/21 74/21 76/8 76/14 77/13 80/3 81/21 83/6 84/14 88/9 89/5 89/20 91/6 95/19 97/10 98/18 98/24 101/2 104/12 104/17 106/16 107/14 114/14 114/17 115/10 117/9 117/20 118/16 121/9 123/18 125/15 127/21 129/24 131/11 132/10 132/16 132/22 133/12 133/22 137/6 139/13 139/14 140/24 143/17 144/24 145/2 148/11 148/13
number [21] 8/14 42/19 46/2 46/4 46/6 46/14 56/23 56/24 58/12 58/18 67/2 67/9 73/20 78/5 83/23 87/24 99/15 117/6 118/4 122/21 127/1
numbered [2] 97/11 105/6
numbers [4] 34/15 71/3 83/4 100/8 numerical [1] 122/19

## 0

O:18 [6] 33/17 33/20 37/3 37/13 37/20 133/24
oath [1] 6/13
objection [5] 10/23 11/7 130/19 130/22 148/7
objective [4] 110/21 110/22 110/23 111/1 objectives [2] 109/14 116/21
obligation [1] 77/19
obtaining [2] 24/8 87/9
obvious [1] 123/5
obviously [14] 48/13 65/19 90/21 91/5
91/14 109/24 114/3 117/18 118/22 121/5
124/14 126/2 127/8 127/16
OCA [15] 6/23 7/6 7/6 17/8 30/7 30/10
30/16 31/1 31/4 31/7 66/3 139/6 143/3
147/5 147/6
OCA's [2] 4/4 26/16
occupied [1] 134/18
occurs [1] 13/20
October [1] 28/19
October 1st [1] 28/19
off [13] 4/14 6/4 29/18 44/23 48/23 50/16
64/11 75/15 76/1 131/7 131/8 137/23 143/4
off-the-record [1] 131/8
offer [10] 10/15 91/10 106/14 108/6 108/6
108/11 108/16 108/16 127/5 147/2
offered [6] 43/12 108/1 108/2 109/10 109/11 135/4
offering [25] 43/18 65/4 65/8 65/10 69/18
89/3 90/17 90/24 91/4 100/21 107/5 108/5
108/13 109/19 109/20 109/22 111/9 111/16 111/17 121/16 122/8 150/8 150/15 150/19 151/4
offerings [2] 62/11 126/15
offers [2] 10/19 50/10

Office [2] 1/18 142/22
oh [6] 46/10 76/13 89/6 103/3 113/7 132/1 okay [105] 6/22 12/3 15/22 17/21 27/10
27/19 27/24 28/8 28/14 28/23 29/11 29/23
30/12 30/23 31/14 32/10 33/8 33/11 34/2
35/2 36/6 36/15 36/18 37/2 37/13 37/15
38/3 38/6 38/24 39/11 39/14 40/13 41/2
41/5 41/14 41/22 42/2 42/12 42/17 44/8
44/14 45/17 46/10 47/4 47/6 48/17 48/24
50/1 50/20 51/7 51/11 51/16 51/20 52/10
52/13 53/4 53/15 53/20 54/10 54/17 55/10
55/23 56/16 59/2 59/10 60/13 60/17 62/18 63/4 63/16 65/18 66/14 67/22 68/4 69/6
69/19 75/16 81/21 82/20 82/24 83/3 83/14 85/4 86/11 86/15 86/23 87/13 88/5 88/9
88/22 89/5 90/7 92/14 101/16 103/21
110/13 112/20 114/7 114/23 115/12 117/9 119/20 120/3 134/7 134/15

## on [241]

once [8] 24/5 75/11 76/2 76/24 77/4 80/20 81/17 95/20
one [81] 7/4 7/10 10/3 13/13 18/15 20/6
21/13 22/18 22/19 26/14 29/16 31/14 34/10
34/23 36/6 37/1 38/3 38/8 39/23 41/15
41/22 42/4 42/5 44/1 44/15 50/22 51/1 51/2 51/4 52/15 57/7 57/14 57/15 57/15 57/17
57/20 60/1 60/22 65/9 66/9 66/21 67/5 68/6 68/11 68/21 69/5 69/15 70/17 74/10 81/21 83/10 87/13 88/9 88/22 91/17 98/17 98/24 101/8 101/21 106/4 111/7 112/16 112/17
116/3 116/8 117/21 120/22 121/12 122/12
124/21 128/8 132/16 133/10 133/22 135/9
139/5 144/21 145/18 147/13 148/14 151/5
one's [1] 126/5
one-page [1] 10/3
ones [1] 49/13
ongoing [1] 123/11
only [20] $11 / 22$ 17/3 17/3 24/23 49/1 56/11
56/13 57/12 62/22 81/9 89/6 95/19 96/14
108/4 116/8 128/6 135/21 136/17 140/11
143/12
onto [1] 51/7
open [15] 19/24 32/8 43/24 44/9 54/24
54/24 55/4 55/8 73/2 73/16 125/18 128/20
135/24 141/9 141/10
open-ended [1] 135/24
opening [1] 126/9
operate [3] 72/5 88/2 114/2
operating [24] 14/22 33/12 34/2 34/3 34/7
34/13 35/11 35/11 35/23 36/3 36/22 37/7
37/7 70/7 70/23 110/7 127/24 133/23 134/5
134/5 134/7 134/9 136/19 150/21
operation [2] 79/5 110/2
operations [1] 125/12
opining [1] 107/14
opinion [3] 22/22 65/23 108/4
opportunities [1] 68/9
opportunity [13] 14/13 14/17 14/24 19/4
31/11 32/17 33/8 39/11 89/17 114/13 116/9
116/10 131/16
opposed [1] 22/10
opt [1] 77/1
option [15] 24/1 24/13 24/15 44/24 57/12 60/22 61/8 61/17 62/17 76/8 83/15 98/21 104/9 149/21 150/19
options [8] 23/23 52/17 57/20 64/6 77/12 114/24 143/14 145/3
opts [1] 75/11
or [125] 9/13 9/14 9/20 10/11 10/12 11/24
15/9 15/16 20/3 20/4 21/3 22/14 22/21 23/9 23/14 23/17 24/9 24/14 24/19 25/6 25/8 32/1 33/3 33/24 34/19 35/6 35/13 36/2 38/10 38/20 41/3 41/23 44/1 45/15 48/1 48/15 50/17 51/5 54/9 54/17 55/1 55/8
55/13 55/24 56/7 56/22 56/22 57/3 58/23

59/21 60/6 60/17 61/6 61/18 66/7 66/16
66/22 67/13 68/10 68/11 69/5 69/9 70/8
70/16 71/14 73/17 74/23 75/16 75/19 77/19 77/20 77/22 77/23 78/4 79/24 81/8 81/15 83/2 83/14 83/17 84/12 84/23 86/8 86/9 87/5 91/6 91/10 93/9 95/8 101/11 107/5 109/1 109/2 109/19 110/2 110/22 111/16 115/15 115/16 115/17 115/22 120/15 121/12 122/4 126/20 127/23 127/24 128/14 129/5 129/10 131/21 132/7 133/17 134/1 135/15 136/20 136/24 138/10 140/11 140/16 141/5 141/6 143/24 148/10 149/5 oral [1] 130/24
order [31] 5/21 7/12 29/23 44/4 45/9 45/21 45/22 46/1 46/14 46/16 47/3 58/6 58/21
59/7 64/10 68/2 70/2 73/23 76/2 82/3 92/18 92/19 131/15 136/8 136/9 138/22 139/18 142/21 146/9 150/1 151/18
orders [5] 30/15 49/17 148/8 148/9 150/11
organized [1] 112/8
oriented [1] 4/13
original [6] 7/21 26/1 26/14 73/6 95/11 95/15
originally [1] 9/15
other [59] 4/12 4/15 10/11 10/18 12/11
18/13 19/12 20/9 22/19 30/6 30/17 31/14
33/23 39/21 40/12 41/1 41/2 41/9 41/16
44/17 57/19 58/4 58/10 60/22 61/10 66/9
68/23 78/3 81/4 82/18 83/19 87/13 87/20
88/10 89/6 101/22 106/3 108/11 109/14
110/24 110/24 111/4 115/19 116/6 116/23
116/23 117/17 125/12 126/19 127/1 127/2
129/19 129/20 129/23 129/24 130/11
130/15 144/15 149/1
others [7] 34/8 43/6 57/22 65/10 65/21
68/7 91/16
otherwise [17] 29/1 32/1 39/21 82/10
82/16 82/21 83/1 105/9 105/13 105/16
105/22 106/1 114/10 114/13 132/18 132/19 133/6
ought [1] 119/6
our [11] 30/20 79/14 90/18 110/8 110/11
118/21 129/17 131/2 137/7 143/1 146/2 ourselves [1] 109/1
out [65] 9/12 21/1 29/23 30/1 36/9 41/6
42/4 49/9 50/8 51/8 56/18 57/1 57/2 57/11
57/20 57/21 60/22 61/17 61/19 62/17 62/19
64/6 64/8 64/10 67/6 74/18 75/4 75/16 77/5 78/14 80/13 81/17 81/23 83/15 83/21
107/11 107/13 108/14 108/24 111/10
114/15 117/12 118/18 121/5 121/7 122/12
125/14 126/9 127/22 130/8 132/5 132/7
134/20 137/8 139/13 140/1 140/8 141/8
141/21 145/1 145/5 148/3 148/16 149/18 150/14
outages [1] 79/6
outcome [3] 64/19 66/1 66/12
outcomes [3] 16/17 23/7 23/12
outfit [1] 128/6
outlined [1] 146/14
outreach [7] 38/11 38/18 40/21 41/19 42/1
112/24 137/4
outside [1] 144/10
outstanding [1] 147/13
outweighs [1] 145/24
over [19] 4/14 34/21 52/21 54/23 55/13 78/12 78/20 102/7 118/8 123/16 127/15 134/19 138/10 140/16 141/24 142/8 144/3 148/8 149/16
overtake [1] 144/5
own [5] 15/16 113/12 113/17 117/21 142/11

## P

p.m [4] 1/4 85/12 85/13 151/21

| P | $32 / 1633 / 3$ 52/17 57/5 72/12 75/17 75/21 76/20 77/4 77/9 77/18 78/13 78/23 80/8 | 97/12 113/14 113/17 113/21 114/3 117/6 <br> 129/1 135/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| page [40] 2/3 2/17 3/3 9/10 10/3 13/9 | 81/10 83/14 94/10 95/8 127/20 | practices [1] 113/24 |
| 13/11 15/21 16/3 25/22 27/6 28/9 28/13 | periods [2] 13/19 75/13 | precipitate [2] 42/17 42/22 |
| 30/3 33/1 70/3 70/16 70/18 72/2 75/2 75/3 | permanent [2] 140/15 144/6 | precipitated [1] 44/3 |
| 77/16 78/2 80/4 89/22 96/5 97/9 99/24 | permissible [1] 135/21 | precisely [1] 150/6 |
| 100/9 101/16 101/17 104/5 104/17 105/5 | permit [1] 151/9 | precluded [2] 30/21 30/22 |
| 105/6 106/16 112/9 112/10 113/7 114/24 | permitted [2] 60/12 | preface [1] 94/15 |
| Page 1 [1] 89/22 | perspective [2] 62/12 136/2 | preference [2] 9/5 131/23 |
| Page 2 [1] 97/9 | petition [1] 96/10 | preferred [1] 17/22 |
| Page 3 [2] 99/24 105/5 | petitioned [1] 96/10 | prefile [1] 86/3 |
| Page 4 [1] 75/3 | phrase [4] 44/18 98/9 99/6 103/15 | prefiled [5] 7/7 58/11 89/15 89/16 89/19 |
| Page 5 [2] 9/10 104/5 | pick [2] 5/11 124/24 | preliminary [1] 89/6 |
| Page 52 [1] 27/6 | picked [1] 125/12 | premature [1] 133/17 |
| Page 6 [2] 70/3 72/2 | pictorial [1] 10/13 | premise [2] 132/9 140/4 |
| Page 8 [3] 30/3 33/1 77/16 | picture [1] 61/12 | prepare [1] 5/22 |
| pages [1] 105/5 | piece [1] 137/8 | prescribed [1] 99/13 |
| paid [5] 39/5 39/15 48/20 52/9 134/19 | pilot [19] 7/22 8/10 8/14 8/15 22/21 23/4 | PRESENT [1] 1/9 |
| paint [1] 68/13 | 31/16 32/16 32/19 33/2 68/17 77/18 78/13 | presented [5] 65/11 146/8 146/21 147/6 |
| panel [1] 28/4 | 80/8 132/10 133/16 139/12 140/10 140/17 | 147/15 |
| paragraph [11] 25/23 97/10 101/18 104/6 | pioneer [1] 87/14 | presently [1] 62/22 |
| 104/7 105/6 105/19 106/17 112/21 113/9 | pipes [1] 128/6 | presents [2] 147/10 147/21 |
| 113/9 | place [7] 45/18 58/3 73/8 79/10 123/7 | Presiding [1] 1/9 |
| parentheses [2] 101/22 101/24 | 127/23 144/7 | pressed [1] 118/11 |
| part [9] 12/17 17/6 31/6 33/16 34/19 35/6 | plan [2] 66/22 121/1 | pressures [1] 117/17 |
| 51/16 61/13 115/21 | planning [1] 93/12 | presumably [3] 82/9 84/3 123/1 |
| Partial [6] 5/18 6/24 131/18 145/11 146/8 | plant [2] 113/20 113/22 | pretty [6] 30/19 62/6 64/9 64/18 106/8 |
| $151 / 7$ | plants [2] 79/5 114/3 | 129/19 |
| Participant [1] 87/17 | play [1] 74/18 | prevent [1] 122/1 |
| participants [1] 26/22 | player [1] 116/19 | prevents [1] 147/20 |
| participate [1] 114/21 | players [3] 58/16 101/8 126/7 | previous [2] 20/1 28/9 |
| participated [1] 145/11 | playing [1] 122/9 | previously [12] 6/10 6/17 18/13 41/8 54/1 |
| participation [1] 78/16 | please [16] 6/15 6/20 9/18 11/2 11/18 19/2 | 54/14 55/20 56/4 76/9 117/9 141/8 144/13 |
| particular [20] 20/16 22/18 23/6 23/19 | 38/12 46/4 63/12 85/23 86/16 90/16 97/10 | price [51] 14/8 14/8 14/9 14/12 14/21 15/8 |
| 46/14 71/17 93/9 93/9 94/17 104/19 105/1 | 98/4 104/15 105/19 | 22/13 58/15 60/9 61/4 61/5 61/17 64/3 |
| 107/15 113/7 119/5 136/9 141/21 141/23 | plenty [1] 124/2 | 69/16 69/17 74/1 90/24 91/5 91/18 93/4 |
| 142/3 144/11 149/23 | plus [8] 62/7 82/13 108/11 109/24 110/10 | 93/7 93/8 93/15 93/16 93/20 108/6 110/9 |
| parties [12] 5/20 10/19 29/20 30/1 49/3 | 118/16 119/16 149/17 | 113/21 115/4 118/7 118/11 119/4 119/10 |
| 73/16 92/20 92/21 131/19 131/20 143/1 | PNE [15] 1/13 4/22 31/12 42/10 88/10 | 120/10 120/12 128/10 128/10 128/19 |
| 151/17 | 88/13 88/16 88/18 89/1 96/7 96/14 96/20 | 135/19 135/24 137/17 137/17 137/19 138/3 |
| parties' [1] 78/15 | 96/24 96/24 122/2 | 138/5 138/13 138/15 138/17 138/19 138/20 |
| partly [1] 139/23 | pocket [1] 109/12 | 141/1 |
| pass [1] 51/5 | pockets [2] 110/18 115/15 | priced [2] 61/2 119/2 |
| pass-through [1] 51/5 | point [20] 5/24 20/7 38/5 42/2 71/3 77/24 | prices [22] 13/23 15/9 16/19 22/16 29/8 |
| past [4] 55/14 56/8 56/11 108/21 | 78/11 86/9 87/9 89/16 106/4 107/11 127/3 | 29/12 62/5 64/20 64/21 72/8 72/14 72/15 |
| Patnaude [1] 1/23 | 127/4 130/24 143/16 148/3 148/16 149/18 | 72/19 73/14 107/21 108/1 111/16 118/23 |
| pay [6] 17/14 48/19 127/19 128/13 128/14 | 150/14 | 120/9 123/23 137/17 137/21 |
| 139/4 | pointed [7] 36/9 83/21 111/10 140/1 140/7 | pricing [7] 48/15 63/21 93/13 119/11 |
| paying [13] 43/10 43/15 48/9 48/12 52/5 | 144/24 145/5 | 120/14 120/16 141/15 |
| 59/5 63/19 82/14 93/8 139/1 141/5 142/9 | pointing [1] 132/7 | primarily [1] 52/20 |
| 142/13 | points [2] 10/21 135/9 | primary [1] 21/10 |
| pedal [1] 121/8 | policy [13] 15/19 16/4 16/14 16/18 25/20 | principles [5] 136/9 138/8 138/13 138/17 |
| pejorative [1] 129/5 | 91/12 114/6 117/1 124/8 128/22 143/9 | 142/20 |
| penalties [1] 151/1 | 143/17 144/2 | prior [10] 23/4 33/2 45/2 77/18 78/8 80/7 |
| penny [2] 34/11 34/23 | pool [3] 87/19 88/1 126/12 | $92 / 6 \text { 110/13 126/10 148/3 }$ |
| people [46] 18/15 18/17 19/16 19/17 21/1 | poor [2] 106/13 127/19 | private [7] 111/10 111/13 111/14 117/2 |
| 22/2 29/2 29/2 31/12 31/19 32/5 39/4 39/14 | POR [1] 43/23 | 117/23 124/10 126/7 |
| 39/18 39/20 42/12 42/13 42/19 42/23 49/21 | portion [1] 111/7 | probably [8] 7/23 32/11 55/15 114/19 |
| 51/17 52/4 52/5 57/14 57/14 58/6 58/19 | portraying [1] 107/8 | 116/4 124/3 129/7 133/17 |
| 61/22 63/22 68/6 77/21 78/9 78/11 82/21 | pose [1] 114/5 | problem [7] 36/14 110/18 116/6 117/22 |
| 83/20 83/21 84/3 84/6 84/16 84/18 108/17 | posed [2] 111/1 116/2 | 122/5 126/16 139/14 |
| 117/12 120/22 125/7 131/1 131/14 | position [7] 7/5 7/5 8/21 12/5 53/22 96/20 | procedural [2] 4/12 130/15 |
| per [3] 95/16 95/20 128/14 | 107/4 | proceed [1] 6/16 |
| perceive [2] 148/22 148/23 | positions [2] 96/2 | proceeding [2] 86/4 135/12 |
| percent [8] 13/16 13/17 55/6 72/19 72/21 | possibility [2] 23/22 122/4 | process [16] 31/12 52/3 71/6 71/17 72/10 |
| 73/3 83/23 104/16 | possible [12] 15/5 15/7 23/13 30/17 48/17 | 72/17 73/19 73/23 75/1 78/14 78/15 79/10 |
| perceptible [1] 151/3 | 48/22 57/19 63/21 65/17 106/7 133/4 | 79/11 127/5 129/6 140/21 |
| perception [1] 90/21 | 151/10 | prodigal [1] 42/2 |
| performance [1] 33/5 | possibly [5] 40/24 62/9 64/8 124/22 133/5 | produced [1] 148/8 |
| performed [2] 84/22 84/24 | potential [9] 8/4 23/6 23/12 28/24 65/14 | product [2] 109/13 121/14 |
| performing [1] 39/4 | 66/1 66/12 120/21 139/10 | productively [1] 134/18 |
| perhaps [5] 32/24 102/17 123/17 143/13 147/23 | potentially [3] 139/11 141/6 141/15 pound [1] 91/4 | profit [12] 110/5 110/11 110/21 110/24 111/5 116/9 116/15 116/16 116/21 117/24 |
| period [33] 8/16 9/1 9/4 13/1 13/15 17/15 <br> 20/4 20/5 21/2 22/3 22/21 23/4 32/2 32/2 | power [20] 14/19 14/21 51/5 82/13 87/18 <br> 87/19 88/1 88/7 88/19 88/20 93/9 93/18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 118/5 125/8 } \\ & \text { program [21] } \end{aligned} \text { 39/16 41/19 42/1 45/12 }$ |

## $P$

program... [17] 72/23 73/1 74/16 75/7
75/15 76/1 76/3 77/4 77/6 77/9 77/21 82/20
83/7 84/15 122/2 132/10 133/16
programs [1] 38/11
project [1] 40/5
projected [1] 13/12
projections [3] 13/18 55/5 55/6
projects [1] 39/22
promote [1] 108/12
promotes [1] 149/19
promoting [1] 121/14
promotion [2] 40/21 134/12
promotional [2] 38/19 113/2
proposal [17] 26/11 45/3 45/7 45/15 49/18 52/22 57/3 59/24 68/17 69/4 81/24 95/15
144/14 146/7 146/21 146/22 147/8
proposed [18] 14/9 34/10 34/11 38/9 46/18 65/6 74/7 95/11 95/15 109/23 112/22 117/10 136/12 144/12 146/24 147/4 147/22 148/7
proposing [2] 95/19 100/20
proposing...a [1] 100/15
prospective [1] 70/24
protect [2] 144/7 145/6
provide [26] 14/13 14/16 14/24 19/3 19/5
20/3 20/7 37/10 58/3 58/10 69/10 72/11
83/12 83/13 88/5 97/24 98/21 105/12
105/15 118/15 118/16 119/15 132/24
137/18 147/19 149/22
provided [9] 9/23 11/19 19/14 22/1 58/5
95/2 123/4 141/1 147/3
providence [1] 87/4
provider [12] 88/12 91/22 101/15 108/12
108/15 114/16 114/20 123/5 127/7 128/8 129/2 132/22
provider-of-last-resort [1] 132/22
providers [8] 101/9 114/15 117/23 118/9
120/13 120/17 120/20 120/23
provides [8] 20/9 61/8 61/9 69/5 147/20
149/9 150/3 150/7
providing [14] 14/19 19/5 38/2 60/6 67/1
68/23 135/15 135/21 137/9 137/13 145/16
149/15 150/19 151/12
proving [1] 127/22
provision [6] 29/19 29/24 62/8 72/5 74/9 80/1
provisions [3] 75/3 148/5 150/2
prudent [2] 59/20 136/15
PSNH [125] 3/4 4/4 6/23 7/6 7/6 9/23
11/19 12/12 13/11 14/1 14/13 14/17 14/20 14/24 15/10 17/7 17/11 24/13 25/8 25/17 25/22 26/16 27/21 28/3 30/6 30/10 30/16 31/1 31/3 31/6 33/3 34/9 34/11 35/15 39/21 41/8 41/15 42/22 43/10 44/23 45/2 47/19 47/20 47/24 48/4 48/19 49/18 55/4 62/6 62/12 63/18 63/23 64/13 64/16 65/6 66/3 67/1 68/3 72/6 72/11 74/13 76/13 77/18 78/3 81/19 90/22 93/12 94/3 94/10 94/17 95/11 100/14 100/20 105/2 106/18 108/2 108/4 108/18 108/21 109/3 109/10 109/23 110/17 111/6 111/11 111/12 111/16 113/10 114/1 114/21 118/5 119/8 121/16 122/9
123/7 123/7 125/6 125/8 129/18 133/6 135/2 136/12 136/16 137/6 137/19 138/5 138/23 139/21 141/2 141/7 141/17 141/21 142/18 146/11 146/16 146/20 147/2 147/4 147/9 148/13 149/22 150/4 150/8 150/19 151/9
PSNH's [28] 17/11 24/2 24/9 24/19 26/3 38/8 38/9 49/14 65/4 70/10 70/13 76/1 79/5 99/14 101/10 107/1 107/3 107/22 111/2 112/22 118/2 118/11 125/12 135/8 136/6 142/16 148/6 149/15
public [47] $1 / 2$ 1/6 1/12 4/18 32/5 35/13
42/9 46/19 50/11 53/5 53/12 53/19 54/5 54/6 57/13 58/1 59/17 60/11 61/12 61/23 63/1 80/21 81/14 82/8 82/15 83/5 83/24 91/12 91/20 101/12 110/10 110/12 115/18 115/19 115/23 116/5 116/13 117/1 119/2 119/4 121/3 124/7 124/12 126/7 133/8 134/22 145/14
publicized [2] 121/2 121/19
PUC [5] 1/19 42/12 91/14 104/8 115/1 purchase [1] 137/24
purchases [4] 113/16 135/6 137/21 138/1
purchasing [1] 137/22
pure [1] 143/8
purely [1] 145/4
purpose [23] 18/6 18/7 18/10 18/20 18/24 19/9 19/10 19/13 20/2 20/16 20/19 21/10 49/10 49/12 52/10 58/8 58/9 62/2 62/20 97/11 98/14 133/5 149/3
purposes [8] 16/1 19/8 20/14 98/17
133/10 135/19 135/22 136/2
pursuant [2] 46/17 145/15
purview [1] 144/10
push [1] 133/17
put [14] 51/7 58/3 63/11 66/9 77/10 78/14
89/19 108/14 110/17 120/23 121/4 121/13 142/17 144/7
puts [1] 116/18
putting [2] 81/23 108/24
Q
Q-TECH-001 [1] 3/4
qualifying [2] 18/9 103/2
quantify [1] 122/20
question [39] 15/23 15/24 16/2 18/11
21/21 26/10 28/3 28/7 28/8 28/10 28/14
30/14 32/12 33/16 36/2 36/5 37/19 39/21
41/8 43/19 45/8 46/12 48/22 64/12 68/1
80/11 81/22 84/21 96/13 98/8 102/23 103/2
103/5 103/17 103/22 105/18 109/8 116/12 118/20
questioner [1] 27/8
questioning [4] 47/8 54/9 55/3 63/9
questions [30] 12/19 15/17 25/12 25/13
27/21 29/15 32/4 42/20 46/22 47/17 49/1
49/3 53/6 63/5 65/19 69/22 70/1 80/10 87/2
89/17 95/23 111/22 111/23 112/3 112/6
119/21 122/11 129/23 129/23 129/24
quick [2] 12/18 65/19
quickly [2] 41/5 87/3
quite [1] 112/8
quotation [1] 97/16
quote [1] 115/1

## R

raised [5] 17/24 18/2 22/19 126/10 135/11
random [3] 118/1 123/10 127/15
rate [343]
rate-setting [2] 79/11 79/13
Rated [1] 101/22
ratepayers [2] 1/17 5/6
rates [40] 16/20 20/4 20/5 31/5 35/9 35/10
35/13 35/19 36/11 36/14 36/20 37/1 37/5
37/14 37/23 38/1 40/6 40/10 40/11 40/12
40/14 40/15 40/23 60/14 62/14 81/15 83/24
84/2 84/5 84/7 84/9 84/10 94/4 95/16 95/19
113/11 115/5 117/17 134/20 139/1
rather [5] 25/2 34/22 113/22 124/11 144/2
rational [2] 63/16 83/21
rationale [2] 56/19 145/24
re [7] 1/6 39/14 39/22 91/4 101/12 120/3
128/13
re-ask [1] 120/3
re-deploy [1] 39/14
re-deployed [1] 39/22
re-enter [1] 91/4
re-entering [1] 101/12
re-entry [1] 128/13
reached [1] 123/20
react [4] 8/11 31/21 97/22 138/18 reactions [1] 8/17
read [17] 27/19 28/1 28/11 28/12 38/8
60/17 97/13 98/1 99/2 101/2 101/24 102/7
104/20 105/10 106/21 112/12 132/21
readily [1] 34/7
reading [6] 27/13 46/7 46/8 46/13 100/18 113/1
reads [4] 28/15 102/5 104/17 106/18
ready [1] 85/16
reaffirm [1] 146/23
reaffirmed [1] 148/12
real [1] 113/21
real-time [1] 113/21
realities [1] 126/23
reality [1] 90/22
realize [1] 118/10
reallocated [1] 137/1
really [21] 8/20 8/21 9/13 23/10 30/14
53/24 68/12 78/13 82/6 92/20 108/19
120/16 121/1 132/3 134/21 135/1 137/7 139/14 140/12 141/3 141/14 reason [24] 8/8 8/18 9/2 23/3 27/20 53/15 59/16 74/11 77/6 77/8 91/18 93/21 97/19 98/10 101/4 101/6 105/13 105/16 106/8 106/19 106/24 116/8 144/22 148/19 reasonable [9] 46/19 56/24 57/5 59/20 136/15 145/12 146/11 146/20 147/10 reasons [15] 7/9 9/12 22/17 22/19 25/20 25/23 66/2 66/4 68/16 106/9 114/4 123/5 128/10 145/21 151/6 reassess [1] 69/6
rebuttal [1] 7/17
recall [13] 7/12 15/17 15/24 17/6 17/16
17/17 17/20 23/23 32/3 32/7 45/9 79/5 122/10
recalled [1] 6/9
receive [5] 20/4 43/9 82/16 93/8 138/5
received [2] 52/7 78/6
receiving [1] 12/24
recent [1] 11/7
recently [2] 8/23 143/12
Recess [1] 85/12
recognize [1] 147/24
recollection [6] 15/16 16/2 16/7 25/24
45/16 95/18
recommended [8] 7/8 7/21 8/1 30/11
30/21 31/8 31/9 146/3
recommends [1] 145/20
reconcile [3] 59/22 138/6 138/10
reconciliation [9] 52/3 71/5 71/7 71/22
78/23 79/1 79/8 79/10 79/12
reconciliations [2] 138/3 138/4
record [9] 28/1 47/13 69/7 78/19 79/14
85/15 131/7 131/8 131/11
recover [13] 14/14 14/17 15/1 33/21 35/14
35/15 47/20 52/21 125/24 134/4 134/22
150/4 150/8
recoverable [1] 35/16
recovered [13] 33/18 35/3 36/10 36/13
36/19 36/24 37/4 40/6 40/9 40/23 52/1
134/1 134/2
recoveries [4] 138/10 138/10 142/8

## 142/10

recovering [1] 147/18
recovery [8] 37/11 39/9 61/14 110/9
110/22 125/21 142/13 144/1
red [1] 53/8
redesigned [3] 46/19 147/2 150/6
redirect [4] 85/6 91/8 116/11 130/1
reduce [8] 21/11 97/20 98/11 98/15 101/5
reduce... [3] 148/20 149/3 149/7
reducing [4] 21/16 101/5 148/22 149/1
refer [2] 13/7 70/12
reference [4] 9/12 15/20 44/4 105/3
referenced [2] 9/16 150/1
references [1] 9/19
referred [3] 125/5 129/4 145/21
referring [4] 40/15 45/16 47/3 55/8
reflecting [1] 146/13
reflective [1] 22/13
refresh [3] 16/7 73/24 90/2
refreshing [1] 16/1
regarding [5] 12/21 16/4 90/12 122/11 125/8
regardless [1] 51/14
regular [6] 49/22 51/21 52/9 77/14 83/16
84/5
regularly [1] 78/12
regulated [1] 59/19
regulatory [2] 114/3 144/17
rehearing [1] 148/10
rejected [2] 25/19 95/12
related [1] 27/21
relation [4] 7/18 11/17 45/14 52/22
relationship [5] 69/16 88/1 122/24 128/23
129/1
relative [2] 48/14 109/17
relatively [2] 109/7 110/15
relax [1] 130/10
relevance [1] 120/17
relevant [2] 99/16 147/7
relief [1] 33/6
remain [13] 6/13 16/13 22/22 22/23 24/18
73/2 115/4 139/3 139/5 140/11 149/5
149/17 150/23
remaining [6] 8/22 13/15 49/14 72/12
72/24 147/17
remarks [1] 131/14
remember [3] 4/13 66/15 68/3
reopens [1] 141/13
reoriented [1] 6/3
repeat [2] 95/5 145/22
rephrase [1] 103/5
report [2] 30/8 30/8
reported [1] 123/16
reporter [4] 1/23 32/13 85/21 131/6
reports [2] 78/3 123/18
reps [1] 121/7
Reptg [5] 1/12 1/13 1/15 1/17 1/19
request [7] 14/2 33/3 38/13 77/19 142/16
146/2 151/6
requested [3] 33/6 45/2 49/17
requests [1] 38/9
require [1] 146/23
required [12] 19/17 22/3 29/2 59/4 94/8
94/9 94/14 94/16 94/21 141/21 141/22

## 149/4

requirement [3] 18/7 19/1 75/6
requirements [5] 87/19 118/15 118/17
119/16 142/21
requires [4] 20/17 23/9 23/9 70/5
requiring [3] 18/8 75/24 77/8
RESA [2] 92/20 142/15
reset [3] 10/6 77/5 81/9
Resident [2] 88/19 88/23
residential [20] 1/17 5/6 41/17 44/17
52/18 53/10 66/8 66/8 88/16 89/2 115/2
143/4 143/10 143/13 143/22 143/23 144/8
144/23 145/1 145/6
resisted [1] 42/10
resolution [2] 73/23 145/13
resolved [1] 79/4
resort [1] 132/22
resorting [1] 113/16
resources [4] 108/13 109/4 115/15 121/13 respect [6] 11/20 45/11 100/11 107/6 122/8 137/9
respects [1] 91/21
respond [3] 34/23 120/13 127/14
response [17] 3/4 6/8 9/23 9/24 11/20
28/7 37/19 38/8 46/12 47/19 48/3 96/13
130/13 130/17 130/21 147/1 151/15
responses [1] 38/4
responsible [5] 50/7 50/14 50/20 59/11
142/4
restate [1] 98/8
restrict [1] 76/5
restricting [1] 76/8
restrictive [1] 77/15
restructure [4] 97/19 98/10 101/4 148/20
restructured [3] 99/5 99/11 99/20
restructuring [10] 21/8 21/11 50/5 101/8
132/10 136/9 140/8 142/3 142/20 148/18
result [5] 79/7 117/16 123/24 124/1 124/3
resulting [2] 45/6 50/5
results [2] 30/9 142/12
resume [1] 85/10
resumed [4] 2/4 2/5 6/18 85/13
resumé [1] 117/5
retail [10] 1/15 5/2 47/14 62/19 70/23 99/4
105/9 105/22 114/9 135/4
rethink [1] 128/13
retire [1] 116/22
retirement [1] 110/2
retreat [1] 91/11
return [15] 24/12 24/13 24/19 76/13 76/20
90/23 110/11 111/5 116/15 126/5 128/19
140/3 140/17 146/12 150/24
returning [11] 14/4 17/19 19/23 20/8 24/7
25/1 48/19 99/23 146/20 149/14 151/2
returns [2] 15/10 140/3
reveal [1] 123/18
revenue [13] 51/8 52/1 52/7 53/3 58/4
71/6 78/6 82/15 82/17 83/12 84/8 92/2 125/19
reversal [1] 128/22
reverse [3] 44/19 139/23 140/4
reversed [2] 124/5 124/8
review [13] 15/16 18/20 18/21 19/3 20/13
32/17 66/16 66/17 67/5 78/15 79/19 89/17

## 122/12

reviewed [1] 15/13
reviews [1] 13/14
revised [2] 69/2 147/8
reword [1] 55/18
right [66] $5 / 11$ 6/6 8/21 11/8 13/7 23/24
27/11 27/15 28/7 28/10 29/5 29/5 30/2
31/10 33/19 35/22 37/9 39/20 40/1 40/4
40/7 42/10 42/22 43/13 43/16 44/11 45/18
46/23 54/7 56/16 58/17 63/4 63/10 65/1
65/4 65/6 70/20 71/18 72/1 73/2 75/6 80/9
85/8 86/13 87/11 88/14 88/24 91/6 94/15
95/1 95/21 96/16 97/17 103/14 106/6
107/14 112/2 113/8 115/10 119/20 121/9
129/22 130/5 130/6 131/10 147/23
risk [3] 60/7 135/17 135/22
road [3] 27/20 64/2 122/13
Robert [1] 1/10
Robinson [4] 1/15 1/16 4/24 47/13
Rodier [17] 1/14 2/6 2/11 2/18 4/22 10/23
11/5 26/22 45/20 75/5 85/16 89/11 130/1
131/21 140/7 144/24 148/14
Rodier's [1] 96/13
role [6] 92/5 92/5 96/5 100/21 101/10
128/5
room [2] 84/24 131/2
roost [1] 123/14
rose [1] 52/15

RSA [29] 21/8 33/17 46/17 46/17 46/18
97/12 97/16 97/18 98/8 98/15 98/24 100/11 101/3 101/20 104/15 105/19 132/21 135/2 145/16 146/10 148/5 148/5 148/5 148/18 149/10 149/18 150/2 150/3 150/16
ruled [1] 44/1
rulings [1] 91/14
run [3] 75/20 81/9 113/22
running [13] 12/4 12/6 53/21 53/22 54/11
54/17 54/18 54/19 54/20 54/21 55/19 56/6 113/20
runs [2] 81/17 83/15
S
safety [2] 132/24 133/10
said [26] 7/22 13/22 16/10 16/22 18/5 22/6 32/15 34/6 34/12 37/22 44/9 45/10 57/23 58/11 76/13 79/24 87/10 102/13 107/16
111/4 111/8 117/4 118/6 121/10 138/22
139/19
Saint [1] 88/3
sake [1] 30/13
salaries [1] 40/5
sales [3] 38/19 40/21 70/24
same [30] 13/18 13/23 19/8 19/10 22/15
25/5 28/11 $43 / 8$ 43/10 58/16 59/10 59/21
60/14 62/3 63/9 68/13 70/10 74/1 84/11 96/24 97/3 99/12 107/22 116/13 118/17
118/22 127/17 134/10 141/17 142/10
Sandy [1] 1/11
satisfies [1] 142/20
satisfy [1] 114/20
saw [2] 67/9 124/23
say [44] $8 / 20$ 10/14 18/16 19/2 19/15
19/16 22/10 29/1 30/18 30/24 32/21 34/18 34/21 40/14 40/24 42/6 42/23 63/15 68/14 69/6 70/7 70/21 74/4 81/13 83/22 84/13 85/10 88/11 96/22 99/22 102/10 107/21
110/20 113/10 113/20 115/6 115/19 127/10 129/20 132/8 133/5 139/9 143/2 145/22
saying [25] 23/23 31/10 34/22 36/15 36/19 37/2 37/5 39/4 39/11 49/20 59/14 60/13 61/18 76/24 82/12 91/3 102/23 113/1 115/18 119/14 129/10 129/12 133/4 134/21 143/8
says [36] 9/12 11/22 12/4 29/2 29/19 30/1
30/23 31/6 33/1 33/17 33/20 33/21 34/17
36/9 37/3 50/2 50/6 55/19 60/3 70/22 78/21
80/2 80/5 99/20 100/19 102/22 104/11
112/21 113/10 115/2 133/19 133/20 133/24
135/13 140/24 142/3
scale [1] 121/21
scenario [7] 23/11 65/11 114/5 119/13
120/21 120/22 121/22
scenarios [2] 84/21 129/19
Schaefer [2] 1/16 5/1
schedule [1] 88/7
scheduled [1] 65/5
scheme [1] 63/21
schizophrenia [1] 44/15
Scott [6] 1/10 2/8 2/13 63/6 83/21 119/23 screwed [1] 117/3
Scrubber [29] 14/22 33/13 33/15 33/18
33/22 34/4 34/14 34/19 35/3 35/12 35/19
35/23 36/10 37/4 47/21 51/13 51/17 70/7
70/22 73/15 110/2 110/4 125/24 133/24
134/1 134/4 136/19 136/22 150/21
scrutiny [1] 144/3
seat [1] 85/18
second [5] 25/16 26/3 60/2 73/9 106/17 seconds [1] 11/3
section [21] 9/11 9/11 9/13 13/9 13/11
28/11 28/14 32/19 33/1 60/17 70/5 72/2
74/3 77/17 78/2 80/4 101/17 104/6 104/17
140/24 142/3

| S | shorter [6] 8/4 8/6 21/3 22/14 22/17 66/6 should [39] 5/13 7/23 8/22 9/6 9/13 9/20 | soon [3] 41/16 151/10 151/18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Section 2.2 [2] 32/19 80/4 | 16/24 22/16 22/22 22/23 30/5 31/21 31/23 | sophisticated [1] 143/19 |
| Section 2.2.3 [2] 9/11 74/3 | 50/3 50/7 50/14 69/1 69/2 71/11 71/12 | sophistication [1] 91/3 |
| Section 2.2.4 [1] 13/9 | 71/13 77/23 77/24 91/9 92/19 104/18 | sorry [9] 14/7 15/4 22/4 28/13 28/16 70/14 |
| Section 2.3.1 [1] 70/5 | 113/10 128/11 129/2 129/6 129/7 132/23 | 95/5 103/3 109/21 |
| Section 2.3.3 [1] 72/2 | 134/1 139/3 139/19 142/4 143/9 147/11 | sort [9] 73/17 88/11 117/5 122/7 123/6 |
| Section 2.3.3.1 [1] 13/11 | 148/13 | 127/12 127/13 128/6 132/15 |
| Section 2.4 [1] 78/2 | showed [1] 147/15 | sorts [3] 79/6 106/9 144/18 |
| Section 2.4.3 [2] 33/1 77/17 | shows [3] 10/10 10/20 140/21 | sound [2] 33/19 147/23 |
| Section 374-F:3 [1] 140/24 | side [1] 52/19 | sounds [2] 20/17 119/14 |
| Sector [1] 90/1 <br> see [33] 8/9 8/10 8/16 8/18 18/22 18/22 | sight [1] 67/20 <br> sign [1] 61/22 | source [8] 82/15 82/17 88/18 111/15 $114 / 22$ 119/11 143/24 144/1 |
| 31/3 33/7 36/14 44/20 44/22 44/22 49/9 | signal [3] 93/7 138/19 138/20 | sources [1] 119/3 |
| 58/8 58/9 58/16 60/22 60/23 62/14 62/17 | signals [6] 93/4 93/15 93/16 137/18 | speak [2] 84/23 96/18 |
| 63/1 65/13 67/4 67/12 67/19 68/18 70/1 | 137/19 138/17 | specific [3] 43/22 46/1 100/3 |
| 70/21 100/1 116/8 118/8 123/24 124/2 | signatories [2] 12/11 16/23 | specifically [1] 104/16 |
| seeing [6] 82/3 126/21 130/14 130/22 | signature [1] 87/8 | specificity [1] 123/19 |
| 138/14 151/16 | significant [6] 6/23 9/1 23/16 57/5 64/22 | spelled [2] 107/11 107/13 |
| seek [1] 148/11 | 116/19 | spend [5] 108/12 108/23 109/2 109/4 |
| seeking [2] 39/9 90/22 | significantly [1] 67/9 | 125/24 |
| seem [2] 61/20 115/17 | silk [1] 41/12 | split [2] 65/23 66/7 |
| seemingly [1] 59/23 | similar [1] 122/2 | spoke [1] 14/7 |
| seems [4] 50/1 103/7 128/21 139/9 | similarly [1] 138/24 | spot [1] 137/22 |
| seen [2] 85/2 123/17 | simple [2] 109/8 125 | spots [1] 11/21 |
| sees [1] 30/18 | simplicity [1] 7/10 | spread [1] 149/16 |
| select [1] 114/13 | simply [10] 103/15 107/15 125/21 127/15 | spring [1] 29/5 |
| sell [2] 88/20 115/20 | 136/24 143/21 144/7 144/10 147/24 151/3 | Staff [14] 1/19 5/9 30/6 30/10 30/16 31/1 |
| send [2] 137/8 142/18 | since [7] 12/16 12/17 27/24 38/6 53/10 | 31/4 31/7 32/21 145/10 145/20 147/3 147/6 |
| sending [1] 121/7 | 106/23 118/6 | 147/22 |
| sense [5] 77/22 107/4 122/4 122/5 128/22 | single [2] 119/9 124/19 | Staff's [1] 12/5 |
| sensitive [1] 59/19 | single-minded [1] 124/19 | stages [1] 96/23 |
| sentence [6] 10/4 28/2 30/13 80/5 102/5 | sir [1] 117/8 | stair [1] 87/20 |
| 106/17 | sit [1] 130/8 | stair-step [1] 87/20 |
| September [1] 79/17 | sitting [1] 134/17 | stand [2] 6/10 7/3 |
| serious [1] 123/21 | situated [1] 138/24 | standard [7] 25/3 60/14 91/10 125/13 |
| serve [4] 8/22 20/13 48/1 146/14 | situation [11] 40/18 52/19 53/24 55/8 | 127/5 127/16 128/3 |
| served [22] 7/13 8/7 8/15 8/24 10/10 12/23 | 55/11 57/6 59/15 124/9 129/15 129/21 | standing [1] 7/1 |
| 19/23 24/10 24/14 25/1 25/1 25/7 25/8 54/1 | 143/10 | standpoint [2] 90/20 122/20 |
| 54/14 56/4 56/7 67/10 76/13 78/5 83/2 | situations [1] 10/5 | start [8] 6/3 19/12 49/9 55/15 55/23 72/23 |
| 83/11 | six [4] 62/9 78/24 84/11 99/1 | 75/23 121/23 |
| serves [1] 46/19 | size [1] 122/21 | started [3] 8/23 10/12 73/5 |
| service [239] | skip [1] 30/13 | starting [4] 28/1 53/8 64/21 131/4 |
| Service's [1] 50/11 | slate [2] 55/23 56/2 | starts [3] 13/9 28/14 56/2 |
| services [4] 60/6 88/5 135/16 137/13 | slightly [2] 65/9 112/12 | state [10] 1/1 91/15 91/16 99/24 100/14 |
| serving [3] 116/9 136/17 149/2 | slow [1] 52/10 | 103/7 116/4 127/16 128/17 132/14 |
| Session [1] 3/4 | small [3] 52/18 126/12 143/21 | stated [8] 21/13 46/15 62/2 66/2 66/3 |
| set [22] 9/12 14/8 57/3 62/7 62/12 62/13 | smaller [3] 52/21 74/12 115/3 | 81/24 98/17 139/21 |
| 71/19 71/19 72/9 73/10 73/11 74/1 74/2 | so [224] | statement [5] 39/17 99/9 107/15 113/13 |
| 74/6 79/4 79/16 107/21 117/1 118/4 126/3 | so-called [1] 87/3 | 115/6 |
| 127/12 151/8 | some [67] 8/18 9/2 16/22 20/9 20/24 23/9 | STATEMENTS [1] 2/17 |
| setting [3] 13/19 79/11 79/13 | 27/11 27/12 32/3 32/10 36/18 37/10 40/5 | states [16] 87/21 96/6 97/11 101/19 |
| settled [1] 128/5 | 41/18 43/6 44/14 51/20 57/6 57/7 62/8 | 102/18 104/7 105/7 117/7 126/19 127/1 |
| settlement [35] 5/18 5/19 6/24 7/19 7/20 | 66/18 67/4 67/15 68/4 68/6 73/20 74/6 | 127/2 127/12 127/24 129/10 129/20 148/19 |
| 9/7 9/15 9/16 12/11 13/8 25/22 26/6 26/7 | 74/22 79/6 81/4 82/2 83/6 83/13 86/3 87/19 | stating [3] 17/7 46/12 97/19 |
| 29/15 29/15 29/17 69/24 72/1 77/16 80/1 | 94/10 99/16 111/15 113/4 115/19 117/24 | statute [13] 21/8 21/14 36/9 97/24 99/9 |
| 131/18 131/18 142/17 143/3 143/5 144/9 | 119/1 119/7 120/14 122/7 122/11 122/17 | 99/12 99/16 99/20 101/8 104/12 133/18 |
| 145/11 146/8 147/6 147/10 147/11 147/14 | 123/6 123/13 123/20 124/4 125/11 125/14 | 134/24 150/3 |
| 148/2 150/7 151/7 | 126/22 127/4 127/18 127/24 128/2 129/11 | stay [15] 19/17 22/2 29/3 49/22 50/17 52/4 |
| settling [6] 26/22 30/1 92/20 92/21 131/19 | 129/17 134/6 141/21 141/22 143/20 144/13 | 67/13 67/13 74/22 77/1 77/10 84/1 131/1 |
| 131/20 | 147/9 150/12 | 131/12 141/21 |
| setup [1] 74/6 | somebody [11] 24/24 40/4 40/18 53/10 | stayed [6] 42/9 48/21 69/8 81/14 81/19 |
| seven [4] 117/10 117/18 118/1 120/7 | 53/11 54/3 58/22 74/20 76/12 114/17 122/2 | 82/9 |
| seventh [1] 99/1 | someone [6] 57/10 75/4 76/17 77/10 82/4 | staying [1] 50/23 |
| shall [14] 30/7 30/8 30/8 30/10 31/7 32/19 | 129/6 | step [2] 87/20 129/18 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}33 / 3 & 33 / 4 \\ 135 / 3\end{array}$ | someplace [1] 113/14 <br> something [25] 11/17 29/24 30/15 31/23 | Steven [4] 1/23 2/4 6/9 6/17 stick [1] 132/6 |
| 135/3 share [2] 110/22 126/24 | $\begin{gathered} \text { something [25] } 11 / 17 \text { 29/24 30/15 31/23 } \\ 39 / 2441 / 345 / 145 / 1549 / 1060 / 1260 / 23 \end{gathered}$ | still [21] 4/8 8/14 17/21 24/8 24/12 25/7 |
| shareholders [2] 111/6 125/9 | 62/15 66/10 66/13 68/10 74/5 109/18 113/8 | 25/7 29/20 50/11 51/23 62/18 63/13 64/11 |
| she [1] 41/23 | 120/3 121/5 127/10 128/11 129/16 137/24 | 66/5 80/12 82/7 84/13 86/11 104/12 130/8 |
| shied [1] 91/23 | 144/7 | 149/8 |
| shift [1] 39/18 | sometime [1] 117/6 | stockholders [1] 125/20 |
| short [6] 30/19 31/16 31/22 120/21 132/11 | sometimes [1] 108/23 | stocking [1] 41/12 |
| 147/24 | somewhat [2] 20/13 61/7 | stopgap [1] 143/8 |
| short-term [1] 132/11 | son [1] 42/2 | straight [2] 51/3 113/19 |

## s

straightforward [1] 109/8
stream [1] 63/9
Street [3] 41/10 41/11 41/15
strength [4] 109/18 110/15 116/5 116/19 striking [2] 119/12 130/19
structure [3] 62/20 115/22 117/22
subject [4] 38/7 49/16 147/9 148/10
submarines [1] 116/17
submitting [1] 116/14
subparagraphs [1] 60/4
subpart [1] 104/16
subsequent [1] 45/9
substantial [2] 91/17 111/15
substitute [1] 11/23
success [2] 77/22 77/22
successful [3] 83/7 109/14 124/23
successfully [2] 108/16 118/9
succinct [1] 151/12
such [6] 30/9 30/12 60/10 84/24 148/14
151/2
suddenly [1] 145/2
suggested [1] 32/3
suggesting [3] 32/7 65/22 120/8
suggestions [1] 122/15
sui [1] 132/13
summarize [5] 5/15 6/20 7/9 13/11 90/16 summarized [2] 6/2 25/22
summarizes [1] 25/24
summary [7] 12/18 18/1 19/6 92/11 92/13 104/6 111/7
summer [1] 29/8
sums [1] 109/2
sunset [1] 101/10
supplemental [1] 135/6
supplied [1] 135/8
supplier [76] 7/14 13/1 24/9 24/11 24/19
28/16 29/7 32/6 41/18 48/21 50/17 53/9
53/13 53/17 54/5 54/7 54/22 56/20 57/11
57/11 57/14 57/20 57/20 62/24 63/2 63/13
63/17 64/16 75/9 75/12 76/19 80/17 81/1
81/16 82/5 83/6 83/17 84/1 84/2 84/6 84/10 88/2 88/10 94/8 94/22 94/24 95/7 100/21 101/10 105/10 105/14 105/17 105/23 106/2 106/8 106/10 106/13 106/20 106/24 107/20 108/7 114/10 114/14 119/17 121/23 122/22 122/24 132/19 132/20 133/7 133/7 133/9 141/7 141/18 141/20 145/1
supplier's [1] 29/6
suppliers [36] 1/15 20/24 22/7 25/8 43/12 43/17 48/12 58/14 61/1 61/3 61/22 62/3 62/10 62/13 62/16 62/19 64/20 65/3 65/8 65/15 69/13 69/18 82/22 83/1 94/5 100/23 117/13 118/18 120/8 127/15 139/2 139/16 140/17 149/1 149/8 149/12
suppliers' [1] 65/2
supply [44] 5/2 15/18 16/12 18/8 24/12 25/4 29/4 47/14 48/14 48/23 49/15 54/16 57/2 64/15 67/5 67/15 67/16 67/17 74/23 75/17 75/21 76/12 76/15 81/10 88/18 92/8 105/8 105/21 106/20 113/11 114/9 117/6 127/7 129/17 135/3 139/3 139/5 141/9 141/22 142/14 149/6 150/4 150/24 151/2 support [8] 7/6 11/21 22/16 33/5 66/6 145/23 146/1 146/17
supported [3] 66/2 66/3 148/17
supporting [1] 146/16
suppose [1] 66/12
supposed [3] 59/11 59/18 141/1
Supreme [1] 87/10
sure [25] 9/19 19/4 19/7 19/22 21/21 31/24
41/6 45/14 53/6 58/17 63/10 63/15 65/3
65/9 70/1 76/16 80/14 98/2 105/18 112/20
121/14 121/18 128/3 133/1 134/17

Susan [2] 1/175/5
Suzanne [2] 1/195/8
switched [2] 143/15 144/23
switches [1] 62/24
switching [2] 81/6 149/8
sworn [6] 6/11 6/13 6/17 85/18 85/21 85/24
system [2] 50/21 137/4
systems [1] 74/13
T
table [1] 116/12
take [35] 4/16 5/13 9/3 23/14 44/9 50/9
54/5 58/7 58/12 58/19 58/23 59/5 61/9 72/7
74/17 76/14 79/10 79/24 80/24 84/7 85/9
87/18 92/20 94/23 98/19 100/20 123/7
123/12 128/4 138/8 144/21 149/5 150/22
151/5 151/17
taken [3] 85/12 96/23 96/24
takes [7] 52/24 79/6 85/18 95/6 101/14
144/16 144/21
taking [11] 31/19 48/5 48/20 51/16 53/9
59/8 67/2 68/8 127/18 129/18 142/11
talk [3] 33/11 38/6 115/14
talked [2] 66/19 68/2
talking [5] 32/23 72/3 101/12 118/1 120/6
talks [7] 56/20 60/17 89/22 89/23 89/23
114/8 115/2
targeted [1] 100/22
tariff [4] 45/3 49/18 56/3 57/3
tariffed [2] 31/3 31/4
tariffs [3] 42/21 61/15 146/16
tasks [1] 39/4
TECH [3] 3/4 3/4 9/24
TECH-001 [1] 9/24
TECH-01 [1] 3/4
technical [2] 3/4 13/10
telecom [1] 127/9
tell [9] 32/4 34/2 36/6 67/7 71/10 75/5
84/22 89/15 102/23
telling [1] 118/12
temporarily [1] 13/20
temporary [3] 35/16 35/17 144/15
ten [5] 85/10 91/1 91/14 102/7 124/6
tend [1] 49/21
tent [1] 62/16
term [78] 7/5 7/6 7/8 7/15 7/18 7/20 8/1
8/4 8/6 8/11 8/12 8/13 8/17 8/22 8/24 9/5
11/20 16/23 16/24 17/4 17/8 17/12 17/13
17/18 17/22 18/2 18/3 18/13 19/9 19/10
19/13 19/14 19/20 19/22 20/2 20/12 21/3
22/14 22/14 22/17 22/24 23/18 23/22 26/11
26/15 26/17 65/18 67/13 75/18 81/7 81/13
81/17 101/21 103/11 104/10 118/14 120/21
132/11 133/13 133/14 133/21 139/15 140/9
140/13 140/14 140/19 145/19 145/20
145/24 146/1 146/3 147/14 147/15 147/20
147/21 150/18 150/18 151/8
terminate [4] 8/19 33/4 77/20 80/7
terminated [6] 9/2 22/21 23/3 23/13 77/24
79/23
termination [1] 23/9
terms [14] 7/10 8/10 20/17 21/7 26/6 26/7
43/5 56/24 65/16 78/19 91/14 123/15 143/4
147/7
territory [2] 50/11 135/5
test [2] 32/2 76/24
tested [1] 80/3
testified [10] 96/14 107/17 136/16 137/20
139/2 139/6 139/14 139/22 141/18 147/19 testify [1] 94/3
testifying [1] 88/13
testimony [57] 3/5 5/16 6/3 6/21 6/23 7/3
7/7 7/17 7/21 17/17 20/1 26/15 26/16 45/24 46/9 58/11 66/15 70/13 86/3 89/15 90/11

90/16 90/17 91/23 96/5 97/6 97/10 97/11
99/23 101/16 101/17 101/19 102/2 102/5 102/10 102/12 102/14 102/18 102/22 103/7 104/5 105/5 105/7 106/16 107/12 107/13 107/15 109/17 110/14 110/16 112/9 114/24 117/4 143/2 145/21 147/3 147/15
text [2] 10/14 100/9
than [46] 13/16 25/3 30/6 34/22 42/3 44/23 48/18 48/20 55/6 57/16 61/17 63/13 63/18 64/11 64/14 65/2 72/19 75/14 75/18 75/21 77/15 78/22 84/2 84/4 84/5 84/19 91/13 94/4 109/6 112/19 113/20 113/22 114/18 115/10 116/23 117/18 119/6 119/10 119/17 120/19 124/12 130/15 139/4 143/5 146/9 148/9
thank [78] 6/15 9/22 11/11 11/15 12/8 12/10 12/13 15/3 16/21 25/9 25/11 25/14 26/20 26/21 26/23 27/1 38/4 47/5 47/10 47/12 48/3 48/24 49/1 49/2 56/17 63/5 63/7 66/14 69/19 69/21 80/9 81/21 85/4 85/5
85/7 85/9 86/23 90/14 92/15 92/22 95/11 95/22 95/24 96/2 97/6 98/14 99/23 101/16 104/3 105/4 111/19 111/21 114/7 119/21 119/22 120/18 121/22 122/10 125/2 125/3 129/24 130/7 132/2 136/3 136/4 136/6 142/21 142/22 142/24 145/7 145/8 145/10 146/3 146/4 146/6 151/11 151/11 151/19 that [891]
that's [141] 5/18 5/19 9/23 10/20 12/4
13/22 14/12 14/19 14/20 14/20 15/7 17/23 18/13 18/15 20/6 20/18 21/6 21/13 23/1
23/24 24/17 26/18 26/19 31/14 32/11 33/11 33/14 33/20 35/2 35/17 35/20 35/21 36/1 36/5 40/1 44/3 44/6 48/22 49/23 50/13
50/19 51/1 51/1 51/1 51/8 51/11 55/3 55/20 56/13 57/19 58/18 60/12 60/15 60/23 62/15 62/17 63/5 64/18 65/5 65/7 65/9 65/17 66/1 66/1 66/11 66/12 66/12 66/21 67/5 68/1 68/21 69/15 69/15 69/19 71/7 73/8 74/2 75/16 76/22 78/11 79/24 80/10 80/18 82/14 82/17 82/20 84/13 87/12 88/11 88/12 88/15 88/22 89/4 90/4 90/24 91/18 92/3 92/9 93/14 93/24 94/13 95/1 95/18 95/21 96/8 96/16 96/20 97/17 103/14 104/11 106/5 106/6 108/20 109/22 110/1 111/1 111/18 112/18 113/6 117/7 118/5 119/5 119/21 121/3 121/12 121/21 122/17 125/2 126/6 126/22 129/6 129/13 132/10 132/20 132/22 132/23 133/1 141/12 142/17 143/11 144/22 their [72] 8/12 8/16 8/22 20/19 22/24
38/24 39/19 40/3 40/5 40/8 40/12 43/3 50/8 50/15 50/23 52/13 54/15 55/11 55/13 59/12 61/1 61/4 61/4 61/14 62/10 62/14 62/16 66/3 74/24 84/1 88/6 88/7 91/7 91/23 93/4 93/18 94/15 95/8 98/22 106/20 107/4 107/5 109/20 113/17 115/21 115/22 116/11
117/21 119/14 120/9 120/14 120/14 120/24 121/7 121/8 121/10 122/21 123/1 123/4
125/8 127/7 127/19 129/1 131/14 142/5 142/11 143/15 145/2 148/23 149/1 149/7 149/21
them [32] 50/10 50/22 57/3 57/12 59/18 61/12 61/12 66/21 76/5 76/5 82/7 83/12 84/20 88/5 88/8 88/20 91/1 106/14 116/22 118/12 123/4 123/6 126/24 127/17 127/18 129/14 130/20 133/8 138/7 138/10 139/17 145/22
themselves [4] 117/19 127/22 138/4 148/21
then [95] 4/12 6/6 11/7 11/8 13/20 14/24 26/21 28/10 28/12 29/7 29/18 36/20 37/3 48/22 49/2 51/7 52/2 52/10 52/13 53/1 53/12 54/6 54/10 54/12 54/23 55/16 55/24 56/8 57/6 59/15 62/19 63/24 64/23 67/6 67/14 70/6 70/23 71/3 72/20 76/6 77/2 77/5
then... [53] 77/12 78/13 79/18 79/19 80/20 80/24 81/1 81/7 81/14 82/12 83/15 84/2 84/9 85/8 92/2 92/21 99/8 99/8 99/9 101/22 101/22 101/23 102/14 102/17 109/17 113/3 114/19 115/7 116/16 119/10 120/9 120/12 121/17 122/6 123/2 123/14 124/10 126/4 127/7 127/14 128/10 129/2 130/6 130/14 130/23 131/5 131/10 131/11 131/19 140/18 141/10 141/12 146/14
there [146] 5/12 5/18 7/15 8/14 9/15 10/22 11/21 13/3 15/22 16/24 18/16 19/14 23/16 27/18 29/19 29/24 30/4 31/10 31/11 31/13 32/10 32/15 33/1 33/8 33/15 34/12 34/16 36/18 38/17 39/11 40/4 40/20 41/18 41/19 45/10 48/15 48/17 50/8 50/8 51/14 52/7 52/17 52/20 53/1 53/2 55/10 55/14 56/4 56/21 57/20 57/21 57/22 60/22 61/17 62/1 62/17 62/19 64/5 64/6 64/7 64/8 66/10 67/15 67/16 69/3 69/24 70/4 70/9 73/16 74/5 75/6 78/19 79/8 80/1 80/3 81/4 81/4 81/22 83/3 83/8 83/13 84/16 86/8 86/8 87/22 92/18 97/15 100/16 101/18 101/18 101/19 102/12 103/9 105/20 105/24 105/24 106/9 108/19 112/10 112/19 114/3 114/12 114/15 114/15 114/19 115/7 115/12 115/14 116/3 117/12 119/8 119/11 120/16 122/1 122/17 123/5 124/15 125/18 126/11 127/2 127/3 127/4 127/22 129/2 129/9 130/8 130/12 130/18 132/5 133/20 134/6 134/11 134/15 136/24 137/11 139/13 139/20 140/3 140/22 144/9 144/12 148/3 149/7 150/18 150/24 151/3
there's [65] 4/12 4/15 6/7 9/11 16/16 16/16 16/22 18/12 18/22 19/22 20/6 20/16 20/23 20/23 23/7 27/12 28/24 31/24 32/9 32/23 34/17 34/18 35/16 36/24 39/6 40/12 40/24 42/21 43/14 56/17 56/23 56/23 57/19 64/22 69/2 71/22 77/3 77/8 78/7 79/3 84/14 84/21 87/10 99/15 100/7 101/17 121/15 125/22 126/4 130/2 133/20 134/10 134/14 135/10 135/21 136/1 137/7 138/2 139/10 139/23 140/12 141/14 144/17 144/17 151/14
therefore [4] 118/3 138/12 140/5 140/12 these [13] 38/22 39/3 39/4 41/16 59/10 116/20 117/21 126/3 136/17 136/18 144/2 144/9 151/6
they [193]
they're [57] 22/3 39/7 39/9 39/14 39/16 39/18 40/4 40/7 40/20 43/11 43/14 44/13 48/12 48/13 48/13 51/13 59/22 67/11 76/2 76/3 76/11 82/3 84/3 84/9 84/11 93/8 94/16 94/21 95/19 104/4 107/8 115/23 121/4 121/18 121/19 124/14 129/1 133/6 133/7 134/16 134/17 134/18 134/19 136/18 137/10 137/16 137/20 137/21 137/21 137/23 137/24 138/1 138/7 141/12 141/23 142/9 142/13
thing [13] 13/23 18/15 24/23 30/19 39/23 52/15 66/18 78/18 80/13 83/19 87/13 89/6 124/21
things [20] 10/6 10/11 20/6 23/8 31/24 32/9 34/21 51/4 56/18 66/18 68/21 79/6 110/8 111/3 122/13 130/3 132/15 134/24 144/15 144/18
think [117] 5/23 7/10 7/16 7/23 7/24 8/3
9/3 9/4 9/14 10/3 10/13 10/16 10/17 12/19 16/11 16/15 16/15 16/17 20/6 20/18 20/23 20/24 21/3 21/13 22/11 22/12 22/15 30/22 30/23 31/10 33/6 34/5 36/5 36/6 36/8 38/3 39/2 39/18 39/23 43/2 43/5 44/1 49/3 56/16 58/11 60/11 60/19 61/3 61/6 62/14 63/21 64/4 64/9 64/17 65/12 65/12 65/20 66/18

67/1 68/8 68/10 68/12 68/21 69/5 69/9 71/22 72/2 74/24 76/4 76/6 77/7 77/12 78/1 78/6 78/16 78/17 78/18 78/20 79/22 80/16 85/15 89/22 91/1 91/13 92/19 100/2 100/19 103/1 107/3 107/13 108/18 114/18 117/15 118/14 119/6 120/3 120/22 121/1 122/19 123/12 123/19 124/2 124/17 124/21 127/24 128/7 129/5 129/10 131/18 132/4 132/5 134/7 134/13 134/13 134/15 134/20 135/11 thinking [1] 23/5
thinks [1] 64/8
third [5] 32/11 101/18 104/5 104/7 115/2 this [224]
those [70] 9/3 9/13 9/18 11/24 13/6 13/13 13/18 17/21 19/8 20/7 20/17 21/3 21/4 21/24 22/12 22/15 24/20 24/21 25/23 26/4 26/8 31/5 31/9 34/7 35/14 37/1 39/10 43/8 43/9 45/4 45/6 47/24 48/24 49/14 51/22 51/23 55/6 61/15 67/12 71/16 72/8 72/14 74/14 74/14 74/17 79/3 79/7 84/16 93/16 97/1 108/1 108/14 110/8 116/7 125/14 125/23 126/23 126/24 128/1 134/22 135/19 137/1 137/5 139/4 139/15 140/17 142/10 144/14 148/9 148/10
though [9] 35/22 48/18 60/13 65/1 68/4 74/19 116/12 142/13 145/22
thought [12] 22/4 23/11 35/2 63/11 64/17 64/17 76/23 92/5 112/12 113/8 124/13 140/10
thousand [2] 83/8 83/9
three [14] 70/18 77/17 77/20 78/8 78/13 78/16 78/21 91/13 98/24 133/16 135/13 135/21 139/13 139/14
three-month [2] 78/8 78/13
three-page [1] 70/18
through [53] 4/4 4/5 9/9 15/16 16/4 20/9 23/11 27/20 28/1 32/3 33/18 33/22 33/23 35/3 36/10 36/13 36/19 37/4 40/6 40/9 40/23 41/24 49/20 49/23 51/5 52/3 58/4 61/15 63/1 71/5 72/12 72/16 73/19 73/23 78/15 79/7 79/9 79/10 90/3 91/13 92/10 108/9 125/13 126/21 129/18 133/23 134/2 135/6 135/8 136/1 138/3 142/6 144/4 throughout [2] 91/23 107/13
Thus [1] 142/15
tied [1] 90/22
till [2] 23/17 123/1
time [63] 8/6 9/1 13/15 14/3 15/10 21/2
22/20 24/24 25/16 25/19 28/4 29/13 32/11 34/21 35/18 36/7 39/19 39/23 40/8 40/9 41/12 42/20 54/15 55/14 56/3 57/5 57/12 61/18 64/4 74/1 75/14 77/21 78/20 78/23 79/4 79/7 79/9 79/13 81/9 83/15 90/8 93/9 94/10 113/21 118/9 120/24 121/11 123/13 123/13 123/16 123/20 123/24 124/2 124/3 127/17 127/20 128/16 140/16 141/15 141/22 141/23 144/3 144/16 times [3] 95/16 125/5 135/13 today [23] 5/22 23/21 42/5 47/19 78/18 86/13 87/23 88/13 96/14 99/16 101/12 101/14 108/19 111/18 121/21 135/11 136/22 139/2 139/15 140/2 140/16 141/19 143/18
today's [1] 139/24
together [2] 4/3 30/1
token [2] 43/8 62/3
told [3] 53/21 95/3 124/12
tomorrow [1] 107/21
too [4] 41/13 78/21 133/17 147/24
took[4] 5/21 54/6 69/8 127/17
top [5] 29/18 30/3 75/2 89/22 105/6
total [5] 35/7 70/22 128/23 128/24 131/17 towards [1] 52/8
track [3] 69/7 78/19 79/14
tracking [2] 137/4 137/5
trade [1] 118/24
traditional [1] 116/24
train [1] 22/4
training [1] 42/12
trajectory [1] 127/2
transaction [2] 116/7 116/16
transactions [1] 116/20
transcript [5] 5/20 15/13 15/21 27/4 27/9 transferred [1] 134/18
transition [10] 60/6 104/18 105/23 114/11
126/21 129/11 135/3 135/15 144/9 145/7
transmission [2] 40/11 41/2
transpired [1] 99/15
tree [1] 10/10
trend [1] 44/19
triangle [1] 12/3
tried [1] 132/4
trigger [2] 72/21 73/3
triple [1] 109/1
trouble [1] 100/4
truck [1] 136/1
true [11] 25/16 37/6 86/11 97/18 106/15 115/6 125/10 141/19 143/19 143/20 143/21 try [4] 41/7 49/12 125/23 131/14
trying [17] 20/11 23/6 23/12 50/21 52/6
53/7 56/18 59/2 59/13 62/18 73/24 80/13
83/3 89/5 90/1 113/19 115/21
turn [9] 16/21 26/22 27/6 33/1 70/3 77/16
97/9 104/15 105/19
Turning [1] 104/5
Twenty [1] 83/9
twice [2] 103/18 135/13
two [20] 10/4 33/16 41/8 41/14 51/4 56/1 57/14 59/15 64/23 80/24 81/2 81/6 84/12 95/16 98/24 100/14 101/20 111/3 131/22 134/24
two-part [1] 33/16
two-sentence [1] 10/4
types [1] 39/3
typically [4] 94/9 94/21 95/2 95/7
typo [1] 9/9
U
ultimately [1] 64/14
unanticipated [3] 31/23 32/10 32/15 uncertainty [1] 124/10
under [59] 6/13 7/13 8/7 8/13 8/24 10/11
12/5 12/23 13/3 15/8 16/15 19/23 24/14
25/1 25/2 25/5 43/9 44/22 54/1 54/15 55/3 56/4 56/8 59/8 61/9 61/23 67/3 67/10 69/8 75/4 76/9 76/11 76/13 77/11 77/13 83/2 83/11 100/10 101/18 101/20 103/11 103/13 104/6 105/20 106/17 113/9 114/24 119/12 122/24 138/9 139/24 142/9 142/13 143/4 145/16 147/13 150/15 150/16 151/18 underlying [1] 20/16
understand [14] 14/9 40/17 42/11 70/2 80/16 94/21 99/18 102/17 105/18 126/9 139/10 139/11 140/23 141/12
understanding [3] 93/14 96/4 125/17 understood [4] 17/7 63/10 110/16 121/15
undertaken [1] 122/21
undertaking [1] 91/22
undue [1] 145/6
unduly [7] 60/7 60/18 135/17 135/22
145/4 150/17 150/18
unfair [3] 111/1 125/16 134/21
Union [1] 88/4
unique [1] 129/21
universal [2] 132/24 133/11
unlawful [2] 133/14 148/15
unless [6] 5/12 6/7 61/24 61/24 64/22 151/14
unnecessarily [1] 140/20
until [4] 22/23 35/18 74/21 121/21
|59/21 63/11 63/18 64/12 64/14 66/17 66/17|were [56] 4/3 6/12 8/12 8/19 24/10 44/11

71/17 71/18 72/9 75/5 81/4 83/8 83/20 85/20 86/20 87/9 88/16 88/18 88/19 92/6 92/18 94/2 95/12 99/18 101/1 101/11 102/23 103/2 110/13 111/9 111/9 112/10 112/14 112/16 112/16 112/17 113/8 114/18 115/12 115/13 115/14 115/14 116/2 118/14 122/2 122/10 122/11 123/3 123/7 124/13 124/13 126/11 127/10 132/11 132/12 139/2 139/7 139/7 139/8 139/13 139/18 141/8 141/9 141/18 144/12 144/12 144/15 146/7 148/3 151/8
wasn't [5] 15/23 23/5 89/18 115/14 125/1 way [29] 19/13 26/24 31/21 34/14 34/21 44/1 44/20 62/22 64/8 64/12 67/5 68/11 68/11 69/5 69/6 76/14 82/8 83/10 104/16 123/17 124/6 125/23 126/22 128/1 131/2 149/1 149/2 149/7 149/9
ways [1] 116/23
we [139] 4/2 4/3 4/3 4/5 4/11 4/14 4/14 4/15 5/11 5/11 5/12 6/7 6/22 7/11 7/23 11/7 12/11 12/20 17/3 27/8 27/12 27/12 31/2 32/23 34/24 35/2 36/2 40/18 41/6 41/6 41/14 42/19 44/14 44/14 46/7 50/21 52/13 56/13 59/4 61/24 62/19 65/23 66/7 66/22 66/23 67/5 67/8 67/9 67/10 68/2 68/19 69/7 71/22 72/3 72/6 76/23 77/7 79/5 79/18 79/19 83/4 83/8 85/17 85/17 85/18 87/24 88/7 88/7 89/19 90/4 90/5 90/5 92/9 92/19 99/14 101/11 108/21 108/23 109/1 109/6 110/4 110/4 110/5 110/6 110/6 110/10 112/1 117/15 117/15 118/21 118/24 118/24 119/2 119/3 119/6 119/7 119/7 119/7 119/10 121/21 122/13 122/13 122/15 122/16 122/16 122/17 122/18 129/15 129/15 130/8 130/11 130/15 130/23 131/2 131/5 131/11 131/13 132/10 132/14 133/15 134/5 139/9 139/11 142/15 143/8 143/10 144/15 144/17 145/12 145/14 145/18 145/23 145/23 146/2 147/15 151/6 151/13 151/17 151/18
we'd [2] 30/19 134/6
we'll [9] 4/16 11/9 67/3 67/19 67/19 90/13 127/7 130/23 131/12
we're [21] 10/16 27/13 38/7 51/16 53/7 53/10 59/2 59/13 59/18 81/23 85/14 90/4 90/9 101/12 113/7 118/1 126/21 130/8 131/11 137/6 151/19
we've [15] 38/3 49/3 53/21 87/22 101/2
106/23 108/18 117/9 120/6 124/19 126/16 127/21 131/4 131/5 135/12
weeks [1] 6/22
welcome [2] 47/6 92/16
welcoming [1] 124/20
well [119] 8/20 14/1 16/15 18/15 19/12
19/15 19/22 20/6 20/18 20/23 21/15 21/22
22/2 22/11 23/5 27/24 29/16 29/18 30/18
31/3 31/15 32/3 34/5 34/19 34/22 34/24
35/2 35/11 36/3 38/6 38/7 39/17 39/23 40/9 40/11 42/8 43/5 43/19 43/23 45/19 48/11 48/16 50/4 50/20 51/1 51/10 52/15 54/8 57/1 57/19 58/8 59/24 61/11 62/5 63/3 63/24 64/2 64/6 64/21 67/1 67/9 67/12 67/22 68/6 68/14 68/21 69/15 76/4 76/11 76/22 76/23 77/12 78/1 83/1 83/19 83/22 84/13 84/21 88/22 89/21 90/5 91/5 92/3 97/22 98/17 100/18 105/19 106/11 107/3 107/13 108/6 109/22 110/20 111/3 113/7 113/16 113/24 116/2 116/17 117/15 118/20 119/19 120/11 121/2 121/18 122/5 122/19 124/2 125/17 127/1 130/2 130/7 132/2 132/4 134/8 136/16 137/6 139/9 140/10 well-tried [1] 132/4
went [6] 54/7 57/10 57/11 81/10 122/13 122/15

45/10 47/3 48/23 52/17 54/14 55/24 56/11 57/7 60/20 63/18 66/7 66/19 66/19 69/9 72/3 72/8 72/16 74/6 74/22 75/22 76/4 76/5 76/12 76/17 76/23 79/22 82/5 84/2 89/2 94/1 94/17 94/22 98/18 99/16 101/9 112/13 115/16 117/11 117/12 120/8 122/16 127/15 127/16 127/18 128/3 128/16 129/9 129/10 129/12 131/2
weren't [4] 39/22 57/8 69/9 124/23 what [146] $5 / 15$ 9/5 10/6 10/14 13/6 13/22 14/4 18/6 18/20 19/12 19/13 19/18 20/8 20/11 23/2 29/2 30/2 30/23 32/20 33/20 34/13 34/20 34/21 34/24 35/18 37/2 37/5 37/10 37/24 38/17 39/15 39/18 39/19 40/3 40/7 42/23 43/14 43/17 44/3 44/22 45/6 45/16 46/7 48/9 48/11 49/10 49/10 51/16 51/24 53/23 54/11 54/14 54/24 55/20 58/2 59/2 59/13 60/13 60/19 61/7 64/3 65/3 65/8 65/10 66/7 66/15 67/7 68/17 68/18 68/24 69/5 69/7 69/9 69/13 69/17 69/17 71/3 71/16 72/3 72/23 76/8 76/17 77/15 81/23 83/3 89/5 92/5 95/3 95/8 102/13 103/2 103/22 104/11 105/15 105/18 106/4 106/5 106/6 107/4 107/6 107/16 108/6 108/9 111/7 111/8 111/16 112/13 113/1 113/13 113/24 114/5 115/12 115/13 115/13 116/18 117/11 117/12 118/7 118/11 118/24 119/3 119/6 119/10 121/10 121/16 121/21 123/9 124/5 124/13 127/21 129/11 130/8 131/18 132/20 132/23 133/1 134/16 134/20 135/19 136/16 138/14 140/17 141/10 141/11 141/12 141/23
what's [16] 7/4 56/19 65/22 67/18 70/8 74/11 75/24 77/7 78/12 78/20 87/16 89/10 91/12 123/18 125/16 142/6
whatever [12] 35/15 37/16 43/11 53/15 56/22 59/16 61/5 61/6 83/14 106/19 132/8 144/22
Whatever's [1] 144/19
when [38] 4/3 7/3 9/9 10/5 13/22 27/17
29/5 29/8 32/4 34/9 37/3 40/14 52/19 55/4
72/8 72/10 78/14 79/14 84/17 93/3 93/15 94/7 95/2 95/11 114/3 115/11 118/4 122/10 126/1 127/14 128/8 128/19 131/13 133/5 133/15 141/5 141/13 144/20
whenever [1] 84/12
where [43] 4/14 11/21 12/20 22/11 40/8
40/18 41/13 44/15 45/2 50/2 52/17 53/24
55/11 55/12 55/15 55/17 57/6 57/23 59/15 62/19 64/11 64/19 68/11 68/19 82/20 84/20 91/5 91/6 100/13 100/19 100/24 101/11 107/11 116/3 124/18 124/24 129/1 129/15 135/12 139/16 141/12 143/10 149/10 whereas [1] 108/22
whereby [1] 52/1
Whereupon [3] 6/9 85/20 151/20
wherewithal [2] 116/4 116/20
whether [40] 10/10 10/11 15/18 15/24
21/2 22/13 23/8 23/9 25/5 30/7 31/20 31/20 36/2 45/12 45/14 46/18 47/24 56/11 59/21 68/10 71/10 71/11 71/11 71/13 74/22 75/19 77/23 77/23 81/8 84/24 99/18 109/19 109/20 115/16 121/11 129/4 136/20 136/23 138/9 141/6
which [50] 10/4 13/3 22/3 24/15 26/10 28/9 28/12 30/7 32/19 36/23 37/20 47/3 51/5 51/13 55/19 60/3 60/6 61/8 62/2 70/13 70/14 70/18 84/7 87/21 90/21 92/6 92/20 99/14 100/18 101/8 103/9 108/21 109/3 109/23 110/9 116/7 120/22 121/17 124/8 124/9 124/13 127/3 128/1 132/17 133/10 133/13 135/17 136/2 141/4 144/20 while [7] 12/17 77/11 110/4 113/7 121/13 130/8 147/22
who [34] 25/4 41/22 42/3 42/4 42/6 45/4 49/13 49/15 51/17 54/3 62/23 64/8 82/21 100/23 105/9 105/22 106/19 114/9 115/3 116/4 122/2 122/23 126/3 126/23 127/15 131/6 139/3 139/4 141/19 142/7 142/9 146/12 148/10 149/17 whole [7] 20/7 62/2 89/16 91/9 117/22 126/4 140/4
wholesale [1] 99/4
whom [2] 67/24 127/7
whose [2] 116/21 117/17
why [24] 4/11 12/10 23/2 30/15 31/15
33/15 34/2 34/2 36/7 43/6 44/21 48/23
50/21 52/13 56/22 76/19 77/9 89/19 102/17
102/23 103/4 105/24 106/3 139/3
wider [1] 149/16
will [70] $5 / 17$ 6/20 10/6 13/12 14/1 15/9
21/1 35/15 38/17 46/16 49/21 50/3 50/4
52/4 52/7 52/8 58/15 60/19 62/3 62/5 62/6
62/11 63/13 64/5 68/15 72/7 72/11 72/13
74/5 75/20 77/18 78/16 82/14 90/19 90/21
91/1 91/5 91/6 91/8 95/3 95/8 95/9 107/6
117/11 117/24 119/4 121/20 127/4 127/6
127/7 127/13 131/11 139/15 139/17 139/20 141/3 141/4 141/5 141/13 141/20 143/4
144/5 144/6 144/20 145/2 145/22 148/21
149/2 149/12 151/17
willing [1] 106/13
windfall [4] 65/14 65/16 120/19 120/22
wing [1] 29/18
wired [1] 125/21
wires [2] 33/24 128/6
wish [2] 90/4 122/3
within [1] 143/13
without [25] 59/24 60/9 75/13 83/2 105/9
105/13 105/16 105/22 106/1 106/7 106/24 114/10 114/13 116/10 116/14 116/15 120/16 128/11 128/24 132/18 132/20 133/2 133/2 133/7 143/5
withstand [1] 144/3
witness [12] 2/4 2/10 6/24 12/8 27/8 85/18
98/6 100/17 130/9 132/12 139/6 143/1
witnesses [3] 4/4 92/18 130/11
won't [8] 12/1 42/19 53/1 58/23 132/21
135/1 141/14 145/22
wonder [2] 32/20 41/23
wondering [3] 15/24 22/7 37/19
word [4] 19/1 30/20 42/8 121/5
words [7] 10/14 18/13 19/12 63/11 83/19
101/3 106/3
work [8] 30/10 30/17 31/7 78/16 81/5
131/2 131/3 134/19
worked [2] 83/19 126/20
working [16] 8/21 16/18 18/22 31/6 31/18
39/16 39/18 39/21 39/23 39/24 40/5 40/20
49/23 68/22 89/1 89/1
workings [2] 7/1 13/10
workload [2] 42/17 42/22
works [3] 53/7 56/17 84/17
worried [1] 52/13
worry [5] 110/4 110/5 110/5 110/6 110/7
worse [1] 44/23
would [220]
wouldn't [10] 8/16 14/1 21/23 43/10 53/2 56/12 78/17 82/16 93/3 101/5
writing [1] 107/16
written [1] 115/11
wrong [4] 27/13 29/16 71/18 133/14
wrote [1] 129/9

## Y

year [16] 32/7 65/15 71/16 73/9 73/13
73/14 79/19 84/19 91/7 93/13 95/16 95/20

133/16 143/13 146/9 148/9
years [11] 40/20 56/1 84/12 91/2 91/14
101/11 102/7 124/7 127/9 139/13 139/14 yes [134] 4/7 6/5 6/14 7/22 9/9 10/2 11/18 11/24 13/5 14/11 15/2 15/2 15/12 15/21 16/6 16/8 17/2 17/10 17/16 17/20 18/5
20/15 20/19 20/23 22/19 23/1 23/24 24/4 25/18 25/21 26/2 26/5 26/9 26/13 26/18 27/1 27/23 28/6 28/22 31/6 31/13 32/10 32/18 33/20 33/24 35/5 35/21 36/5 36/12 36/22 37/17 41/4 41/10 41/21 43/7 47/23 48/2 48/7 49/5 51/1 51/6 51/10 51/15 51/19 52/7 53/19 54/8 54/23 56/13 56/15 60/16 63/20 66/21 67/21 72/6 73/7 73/22 73/24 74/8 74/19 79/2 79/24 80/4 80/12 80/23 81/3 81/18 82/23 85/17 86/17 86/19 87/7 87/15 87/24 88/12 89/9 89/13 92/12 93/6 93/19 95/10 96/12 96/19 97/5 97/14 97/17 97/17 98/17 99/18 100/5 100/8 100/12 101/1 102/13 104/11 105/3 105/11 106/5 106/9 106/11 107/19 109/15 109/16 111/9 112/5 112/18 115/9 117/8 126/14 126/18 129/13 139/9 139/11 150/16
yet [6] 42/6 46/11 59/21 70/16 74/17 99/15 you [442]
you'd [7] 48/11 67/12 73/5 77/12 81/14 83/19 98/1
you'll [1] 72/21
you're [46] 21/5 28/10 29/14 31/10 36/15
36/18 37/2 37/5 39/11 39/23 40/14 40/17 44/5 45/16 46/7 47/6 49/20 59/14 60/13
71/2 71/18 75/11 76/8 76/14 77/4 77/5
80/17 82/9 82/12 82/12 84/17 85/9 88/10 88/13 92/16 96/6 96/14 113/1 119/14 121/11 121/12 121/12 121/16 130/7 130/9 135/20
you've [16] 12/18 32/6 32/11 55/17 58/6 61/2 61/16 65/20 76/24 80/3 117/5 120/3 125/5 125/15 126/19 134/3
your [79] 12/18 15/16 16/1 16/7 17/24
18/1 18/10 18/11 20/18 20/20 21/21 22/22 25/23 26/15 28/8 36/5 38/4 42/11 46/14 47/8 53/22 63/11 63/11 65/22 66/14 66/15 66/17 73/18 81/7 81/17 84/21 86/12 88/22 89/10 90/4 90/16 92/10 96/4 96/5 96/5 97/6 97/9 97/11 99/23 101/16 101/17 101/19 102/2 102/5 102/10 102/12 102/14 102/17 103/7 103/22 104/5 105/5 105/12 105/18 106/4 106/16 107/1 107/11 107/17 108/4 109/8 109/17 110/13 110/16 112/9 114/24 115/8 117/4 120/7 120/12 121/14 123/17 125/11 126/20
yours [1] 125/6
yourself [1] 81/24
yourselves [1] 131/23

